			1						
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA								
2	MIAMI DIVISION								
3	CASE NUMBER 13-21482-CIV-MORENO								
4									
5	VIVIAN KATSANTONIS, an individual, and JOANNE KATSANTONIS, an individual,								
6	, Plaintiffs	.,	Courtroom 13-3						
7									
	VS.		Miami, Florida						
8	 MARY REICH SHECHTMAN an	d	February 11, 2014						
9	HONEY SHERMAN, as co-trustees of the Shechtman Family Trust,								
10	_	,							
	Defendants	•							
11									
12		CH TRIAL PROCEEDINGS HONORABLE FEDERICO A.	MODENO						
13	_	ITED STATES DISTRICT							
14	APPEARANCES:								
15	APPEARANCES:								
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	Watt, Tieder, Hoff	CHRISTOPHER HARRIS, ESQ. Watt, Tieder, Hoffar & Fitzgerald, LLP						
17		8405 Greensboro Dr Suite 100 McLean, Virginia	rive						
18		,	703.749.1000 Fax: 703.893.8029						
19									
20	MARIELA M. MALFELD, ESQ. Watt, Tieder, Hoffar & Fitzgerald, LLP								
21		1200 Brickell Aven Suite 1800							
22		Miami, Florida 331	305.777.3572 Fax: 305.693.7797						
23			1 a.v. 303.033.7737						
24									
25									

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Pa	age
3		
4	Raul Santidrian	6
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Harris	
6		
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Soto	
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Harris	
9	Mary Reich Shechtman	. 34
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Soto	. 34
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Harris	. 47
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Soto	. 70
12	Honey Sherman	. 73
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Soto	. 73
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Harris	. 76
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Soto	. 94
16	Closing Argument by Mr. Harris	
17		
18	Closing Argument by Mr. Soto	
19	Rebuttal Closing Argument by Mr. Harris	
20	Reporter's Certificate	158
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

							4
1							
2				EXHIBITS			
3	Exhibits				ed for		
4					fication		dence
5	Description			Page	Line	Page	Line
6	Plaintiffs'	Exhibit	Numbers	s 23 and 27		6	3
7	Defendants'	Exhibit	Number	1		34	18
8	Plaintiffs'	Exhibit	Number	7		48	8
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
- 1	İ						

```
1
         (The following proceedings were held at 10:00 a.m.)
2
             THE COURT: Defendants are present, plaintiffs are
3
    present; counsel for both sides.
 4
             Call your next witness, please.
5
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, plaintiffs call Raul
6
    Santidrian.
7
             THE COURT: Right over here, please, sir. Thank you.
8
    Raise your right hand.
9
             RAUL SANTIDRIAN, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat. Tell us your name and
11
    spell your last name, please.
12
             THE WITNESS: My name is Raul Santidrian. Last name is
13
    spelled S-a-n, like Nancy, T, like Thomas, i-d, like David,
14
    r-i-a-n, Nancy.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead.
16
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, through this witness, and I
17
    apologize, we have lost our list of admitted exhibits, but I
    would like to move in 23 and 27 if they're not admitted yet.
18
19
             MR. S0T0: 27?
20
             MR. HARRIS: Yes.
             MR. SOTO: No objection, Your Honor, and I don't think
21
    they have been admitted.
22
             THE COURT: Okay. 27, the email between Santidrian and
23
    Joseph, will be admitted, and the other exhibit was which one?
24
25
             MR. HARRIS: 23, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: 23. The email between those two individuals will also be admitted. Okay. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Numbers 23 and 27 were received in evidence.) MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRIS: Q. Now, Mr. Santidrian, you were the buyers' real estate agent in connection with their attempted purchase of Condo Unit 3807 at TDR Trump Tower III in Sunny Isles, correct? Α. That is correct. Okay. And you were involved in an exchange of offers and counteroffers between the plaintiffs and the defendants in early February 2013? Α. Yes. Okay. Who did you deal with from the defendants in 0. connection with that exchange? Their agent, Sean. I believe Joseph is his last name. been awhile. Q. When, if ever, did you communicate directly with either Defendant Sherman or Shechtman? A. Never. Never met them.

you open it and look at Exhibits 13 and 14?

Q. You should have a black exhibit binder in front of you.

```
1
        Okay.
        Now, do you recognize Exhibit 14?
2
    Q.
3
        14?
    Α.
 4
   0.
        14.
5
       Yes, I do.
   Α.
6
   Q.
       Can you tell me what that is?
7
       It appears to be a counteroffer signed for 1 million 230, if
   Α.
    I'm doing this correctly.
8
9
             THE COURT: Did you introduce 14?
10
             MR. HARRIS: It's already been introduced.
11
             THE COURT: I didn't have it marked, but you may be
12
    right. Any objection?
13
             MR. SOTO: No, Your Honor. It was introduced
   yesterday.
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just didn't mark it.
16
   BY MR. HARRIS:
   Q. I'm sorry. I think I referred you to the wrong exhibit.
17
   The one I want to talk about is Exhibit 12.
18
19
   Α.
        12. Okay.
20
       Do you recognize that document?
   Q.
21
   Α.
       Yes.
22
   0. And what is that?
   A. A counteroffer for 1 million 330.
23
24
   Q. And how did you come to recognize this document?
```

Because it was sent to me by the Realtor for the seller.

- Q. And do you recall when that was sent to you?
- 2 A. The exact date, probably sometime around February 5th or

3 | 6th.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: Whose initials are they? Who signed it?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure who these initials are.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I'm assuming the seller.

THE COURT: But you don't know?

THE WITNESS: No.

- 10 ∥ BY MR. HARRIS:
- 11 | Q. And can you look at Exhibit 22, please?
- 12 | A. Okay.
- 13 \parallel Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 14 ∥ A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. And how did you come to recognize that document?
- $16 \parallel A$. That is the counteroffer that was sent to me by Sean on
- 17 | February 7th, in the morning.
- 18 | Q. And can you look for me at Exhibit Number 1, please?
- 19 | A. Okay.
- 20 | Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And how do you come to recognize that document?
- $23 \parallel A$. That is the executed contract that I sent to Sean with both
- 24 ∥ of my buyers' initials.
- 25 | Q. When, if ever, did you get permission to do that?

- A. When did I get permission to send it?
- 2 0. Yes.

- 3 A. On February 7th.
- 4 \ Q. Who did you get that permission from?
- 5 A. From Vivian and Joanne Katsantonis.
- 6 | Q. Okay. And you said you sent it to Sean Joseph on what date?
- 7∥A. On February 7th.
- 8 | Q. Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: When you sent it, did it have initials
- 10 | there where it says "sellers' initials"?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was the same page, just altering
- 12 the price.
- 13 ∥ BY MR. HARRIS:
- 14 | Q. And can you look at Exhibit 2, please?
- 15 \parallel A. Okay. It's an email.
- 16 | Q. And the top email, do you recognize that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- $18 \parallel Q$. Okay. And it talks about the fully executed contract?
- 19 A. Correct. I'm informing him that I'm sending him the fully
- 20 | executed contract.
- $21 \parallel Q$. And is that any of the exhibits that we've looked at so far?
- $22 \parallel A$. Yes, it's Exhibit 1, or is it 2? Yeah, 1.
- 23 | Q. So looking at this top exhibit, it has a date of February 7,
- 24 | 2013, at 8:26 p.m.?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1
        Is that the date and time that you sent --
    0.
 2
        Yes.
    Α.
 3
        -- Exhibit 1?
    0.
       Yes, it is.
 4
    Α.
 5
       To Sean Joseph?
    Q.
 6
    Α.
       To Sean Joseph.
 7
       And if you flip to the last page of this exhibit --
 8
             THE COURT: Before you go through it, you see in that
 9
    same exhibit that we're talking about, 2, you see the email from
10
    February 7th at 11:21 --
11
             THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
12
             THE COURT: -- where you say, "Sean, as per our phone
13
        conversation, have your seller sign the counter for 1.3 and
        send it to me tonight."
14
15
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. So it wasn't signed by the seller
17
    because this is after 8:26, right?
18
             THE WITNESS: No, no. This is 11:21 a.m.
19
             THE COURT: A.M.?
20
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Okay.
22
             THE WITNESS: In the morning.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
    BY MR. HARRIS:
24
```

So this email at 11:21 in the morning, on February 7th, you

Santidrian - Direct

```
1
        say, "Sean, as per our phone conversation, please have your
2
        seller sign the counter for 1.3."
3
       Correct.
    Α.
 4
       Why did you ask him for a signed counteroffer?
5
        Because we had a phone conversation. My clients had
6
    indicated to me that they were going to fly down the following
7
    day to take a look at another property that I was pushing. So
    this is, let's move on and go with this because they're not
8
9
    budging.
10
             So I said to Sean, get me your side to sign the
11
    contract; give it to me in my hand, so when I'm with them in
12
    person, I can -- literally this is my words -- I can twist their
13
    arm and convince them that they should accept the 1.3. So get
   me that signed and I'll do my best to get my buyers to sign. As
14
15
   it turns out, they didn't even have to fly down. I convinced
    them to sign that very day.
16
17
    Q. Okay.
18
             THE COURT: Whose initials do the plaintiffs say is on
19
    the Exhibit 1, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 as the seller?
20
             MR. HARRIS: You're asking me?
21
             THE COURT: Yeah, I'm asking you. You're the
22
    plaintiff.
23
             MR. HARRIS: What was the question? I'm sorry.
24
             THE COURT: Whose initials are these?
25
             MR. HARRIS: Well, on Exhibit 1, we have MS, which is
```

```
Mary Shechtman by the price change.
 1
2
             THE COURT: Is there a dispute as to that from the
    defendants?
3
 4
             MR. SOTO: That it's the initials stamp for Mary
5
    Shechtman?
6
             THE COURT: That she's the one who initialed it.
7
             MR. SOTO: Yes, she didn't sign.
8
             THE COURT: She did sign it?
9
             MR. SOTO: She did not.
10
             THE COURT: She did not sign.
11
             MR. SOTO: Mr. Joseph testified that he signed it
12
   yesterday.
13
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, we have pretrial stipulations
    in which the defendants have admitted that Mary Shechtman signed
14
15
    this contract.
16
             THE COURT: Yeah, we have gone through that. What do I
   do with that?
17
18
             MR. HARRIS: I would like you to take judicial notice
19
    of the stipulation. It's an agreed fact. They shouldn't be
20
    able to contest that at this point.
21
             THE COURT: What do you say?
22
             MR. SOTO: We disagree, Your Honor. That was an error,
23
   obviously.
             THE COURT: I know, but aren't you stuck?
24
25
             There's an opinion that says once you agree on a
```

Santidrian - Direct

```
pretrial stipulation, you can't really back out of it.
1
2
             MR. SOTO: You can, Your Honor. There has been
3
    other --
4
             THE COURT: You can?
5
             MR. SOTO: Yes.
6
             THE COURT: Oh, you've got to give me a case because I
7
    have a case that says you cannot.
8
             MR. SOTO: I will pull a case for you for a mistake, a
    common mistake or an error that is --
10
             THE COURT: In a pretrial stipulation?
11
             MR. SOTO: That is completely contradicted by the
12
    defendants. I will pull that case for you and have it for you
13
    this afternoon, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: So whose initials are those? Sean Joseph
15
   who wrote MS?
             MR. SOTO: Correct, Your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: And you dispute that?
18
             MR. HARRIS: We believe that it was ratified by their
19
    pleadings. You can --
20
             THE COURT: No, you dispute that?
21
             MR. HARRIS: I dispute that.
22
             THE COURT: You say MS is what she wrote?
23
             MR. HARRIS: I say that MS is what she wrote, yes.
24
             THE COURT: Not Sean Joseph for MS?
25
             MR. HARRIS: In my view, it doesn't matter which one
```

```
did it because --
 1
             THE COURT: I know, but which one is it, first of all?
2
3
             MR. HARRIS: Well, we have heard two different stories.
4
    I'll go with Mary Shechtman signed it.
5
             THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I would just like --
6
7
             THE COURT: Sorry to interrupt.
8
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, we have the pretrial
9
    stipulation.
10
             THE COURT: No, no, just ask questions. We will do the
11
    legal analysis later. Let's just get him in and out.
12
    BY MR. HARRIS:
    Q. What reason, Mr. Santidrian, did you have, if any, to
13
    question whether this was a binding counteroffer if accepted by
14
15
   your clients?
16
             THE COURT: Why is that important, what he thinks about
   a contract?
17
18
             MR. HARRIS: Because he is a representative. He is the
19
    real estate agent who's acting on behalf of the plaintiffs, and
20
   we're trying to establish the apparent authority. So if he had
21
    a reason to --
22
             THE COURT: So you want to establish apparent authority
23
    through him, not your clients? If he believed that the other
24
    Realtor had the authority, that's enough? Realtor with Realtor
25
   because it's not purchaser and seller.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. HARRIS: I was just trying to demonstrate to the
fact finder that everyone on the plaintiffs' side of the table
believed that this was a valid counteroffer with authority.
        THE COURT: But what difference is it? Like if they
spoke with their cousins, too, would that count?
        MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor, but these are the
people who were --
        THE COURT: It counts because he's the Realtor?
        MR. HARRIS: Yes.
        THE COURT: Why is that?
                     Because he was negotiating. He's the
        MR. HARRIS:
agent of the plaintiffs, and he's negotiating the deal on their
behalf exclusively.
        THE COURT: So what he thinks is binding?
        MR. HARRIS: I didn't say what he thinks is binding.
        THE COURT: So he's not an agent for the plaintiffs?
        MR. HARRIS: He is an agent of the plaintiffs.
        THE COURT:
                    Well, if someone is an agent, what he says
binds the principal, right?
        MR. HARRIS:
                     It can.
        THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
        THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. HARRIS:
Q. What reason, if any, did you have to think that this
exhibit, the counteroffer, the $1.3 million counteroffer that
```

- you received from Sean Joseph, would be a binding contract if accepted and signed by your clients, the plaintiffs?
- A. Because Sean was representing the buyers -- I mean, the sellers. He made it very clear.
- Q. I am saying, did you have any reason to doubt that it was a binding counteroffer?
- 7 A. None whatsoever.
- Q. And when, if ever, did Mr. Joseph advise you that the \$1.3
 million counteroffer that you received required two signatures
- 10 | from the seller?
- 11 \parallel A. He did that in the morning of February 8th, the day after I
- 12 sent him the executed contract via email, and he did that by
- 13∥ text.
- 14 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 15 \parallel A. He did that by way of text.
- 16 \parallel Q. When, if ever, did the defendants' agent advise you that
- 17 Mary Shechtman intended to use the property if the sale did not
- 18 go through?
- 19 $\|$ A. He never mentioned that.
- Q. Okay. And today, do you have an understanding of what price
- 21 you might be able to obtain an 07 line unit at Trump Tower III?

MR. SOTO: Objection, Your Honor. He's already been

- 23 disqualified as an expert witness in this case for failure to
- 24 disclose him.

22

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, we are only asking to get his

```
1
    factual knowledge as a lay witness. His opinion testimony is
    admissible if he has facts and it doesn't require specialized
2
3
    knowledge for the finder of fact and we don't believe this is
4
    the kind of scientific or technical expertise that would be
5
    required.
6
             THE COURT: He doesn't know what he's talking about.
7
             MR. HARRIS: No, he does.
8
             THE COURT: And the reason he knows what he's talking
9
    about is because he is a real estate agent?
10
             MR. HARRIS: He is a real estate agent who has --
11
                         That's an expert, isn't it?
             THE COURT:
12
             MR. HARRIS: Well --
13
             THE COURT: You don't have to be a scientific expert.
14
    For example, in a criminal case, you can have a cop who says I
15
    know how drug deals occur and he is qualified as an expert and
    he didn't go to Harvard or Virginia or Dartmouth, or anything
16
17
    like that, but he knows what he's talking about.
18
             I suspect that you believe that Mr. Santidrian knows
19
    what he's talking about when it comes to real estate, right?
20
             MR. HARRIS: Absolutely.
21
             THE COURT: That's an expert, isn't it?
22
             MR. HARRIS: I think that the courts have allowed
23
    opinion testimony where expert testimony would not be necessary
24
    to explain some sort of issue.
25
             THE COURT: Sure, you can have lay testimony, but he's
```

```
1
    not really giving lay testimony. He is giving expert testimony
    based on his real estate experience.
2
3
             MR. HARRIS: Okay, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: A lay person is if we've got someone out of
5
    the street and they say, what's this condominium worth? If
6
   you've got the average guy, he would probably say $1.3 million.
7
   Are you crazy? That's what the average person would say, don't
8
    vou think?
9
             MR. HARRIS: I do think that and I don't think that --
10
             THE COURT: Probably not in your circles, but in my
11
    circles, most people would say that. If we had the jurors that
12
    neither side wanted, that's what they would say.
13
             MR. HARRIS: Well, I don't think that testimony would
    be admissible. It would have to be somewhere in between.
14
15
             THE COURT: You're right because they don't know
16
    enough.
17
             MR. HARRIS: But Mr. Santidrian has personal knowledge.
18
             THE COURT: Because he is an expert and he's been in
19
    real estate.
20
             So I'm going to sustain the objection, recognizing that
21
    he knows what he's talking about. So it's kind of a compliment,
22
    but makes it inadmissible.
             This is just lawyer talk.
23
24
             THE WITNESS: I understand.
25
             MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. I have no further
```

```
19
```

- 1 questions. 2 THE COURT: Cross-examination, if any. 3 MR. SOTO: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. SOTO:
- 6 Mr. Santidrian, I believe that you testified earlier that
- 7 you had no contact with the defendants in this case.
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 0. Yes, you did have?
- 10 No. Yes, I testified that I didn't, never. Α.
- 11 Now, take a look at Exhibit 1, I believe in the black binder Q.
- 12 that you have there.
- 13 Α. Okay.
- 14 Who prepared this contract? Q.
- I did. 15 Α.
- 16 You filled in the sellers? 0.
- 17 Yes, I filled in everything. Α.
- 18 0. You filled in the buyers?
- 19 Α. Everything.
- 20 You filled anything that's type written, correct? 0.
- 21 Yes, that's correct. Α.
- Okay. Where did you get that information? 22 0.
- From the local MLS. 23 Α.
- In the listing itself? 24 0.
- 25 Α. Um-hum, yes.

- $1 \parallel Q$. Does it identify two individuals as the seller?
- 2 A. No, it does not.
- $3 \parallel Q$. What does it identify?
- $4 \parallel A$. It identifies some kind of TRS, which stands for trust.
- 5 0. Does it have two names there?
- $6 \parallel A$. It has two names.
- $7 \parallel Q$. And those names are the defendants in this case, correct?
- $8 \parallel A$. It appears to be.
- 9 0. Okay. And the two plaintiffs are also identified there?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Your clients?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Let's take a look at the initials in the right side, middle
- $14 \parallel$ of the page.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Do you recognize any of those initials?
- 17 | A. On the bottom right?
- $18 \parallel Q$. On the middle right. We are looking at Exhibit 1, correct?
- 19 THE COURT: Next to 1.3 million.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it appears to be MS and then Joanne
- 21 ∥ and Vivian.
- 22 | BY MR. S0T0:
- 23 | Q. Were you present when any of those initials were put on this
- 24 | contract?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 | Q. Do you know that the JK and VK to be Joanne Katsantonis and
- 2 Vivian Katsantonis?
- $3 \parallel A$. Yes, I do.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Were you told that they were signed by the same individual
- 5 and not by each individual?
- 6 | A. No.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Were you told that Joanne signed for both her and her
- 8 sister?
- 9∥A. No.
- 10 | Q. Did you know that?
- 11 ∥ A. No.
- 12 | Q. Did you ever receive a Power of Attorney from one or the
- 13 | other that they could sign for their sister?
- 14 ∥ A. No.
- 15 | Q. Did you ever inquire from Mr. Joseph why there wasn't an
- 16 | initial, a second initial from the seller?
- 17 | A. We didn't inquire because didn't need to know. He
- 18 represented them, not me.
- 19 | Q. He never made any representations to you that Mary Sherman
- 20 | had sole authority?
- 21 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, that Mary Sherman is confusing
- 22 | him.
- 23 MR. SOTO: Mary Shechtman, I apologize.
- 24 THE COURT: Otherwise, it's hard enough as it is,
- 25 | right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. SOTO: Yes. Just keeping the names and the
pronunciation straight.
         THE COURT: Maybe it's a Freudian slip.
        MR. SOTO:
                   Maybe.
         THE WITNESS: All email correspondence, even when he
mentioned, "She is tough, she will not sell under 1.3;" it's
she, she, she. There's no mention in any email of them or they.
BY MR. SOTO:
Q. Okay. My question was: Did he make any representations to
you that Mary Shechtman had the authority?
   It is assumed. He never said I have the authority.
Α.
   Let's take a look at your email which I believe is Exhibit
Number 2.
A. Okay.
   Is that the one that you just read from on February 7th at
0.
11:20 a.m., correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Can we flip to the next page, the second page in that
exhibit, middle of the page, Wednesday, February 6th. Is that
an email that you received from Mr. Joseph?
Α.
   Yes, it is.
   Can you read that email for the Court, please?
0.
    "Raul, I am at a family function this evening. I really
Α.
    don't have anything further to say [sic]. If you have
```

another offer, send it over. However, keep in mind, my

- seller will not sell for less than 1.3 million. In fact,

 she has not been agreed to that obviously. She is tough.
- She is tough. If your buyer is not willing to come up to at least that number, then this will not work."
- Q. So as of February 6th, at 4:42 p.m., Mr. Joseph told you that he did not have authority and that his seller didn't agree to the 1.3, correct?
- 8 A. That's not what he said.
- 9 Q. Okay. Can you read that second to last line, "in fact"?
- $10 \parallel A$. "In fact, she has not been agreed to that obviously."
- 11 | Q. You don't interrupt that as saying that his seller hadn't
- 12 | agreed to the 1.3 yet?
- 13 \parallel A. Oh, not agreed yet, yes. But that he had no authority, no.
- $14 \parallel Q$. Okay. Did you ever have a telephone call with Mr. Joseph on
- 15 February 7th prior to 8:26 p.m.?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And that's the phone call that you referenced in your email
- 18 on February 7, 2013, at 8:26 p.m.?
- 19 | A. Exhibit 1?
- 20 | Q. Exhibit 2, first page.
- 21 A. Yes, that's the one.
- 22 | Q. Isn't it true that Mr. Joseph in that phone conversation
- 23 told you that he's sending over the counteroffer, but that he
- 24 didn't have authority from all the parties involved?
- 25 A. That is not true.

 $1 \parallel Q$. I believe there is a white binder in front of you as well.

Santidrian - Cross

- 2 Will you turn to Number 6 in that white binder?
- 3 | A. Okay.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Are these texts that you produced under subpoena at your
- 5 deposition last month?
- $6 \parallel A$. Yes. These are text messages that I provided my buyers at
- 7 | their request months earlier, and I had them scanned in my
- 8 ∥ email.
- 9 \ Q. Why did you not provide all of the emails that you had with
- 10 | Sean Joseph, I mean, all the text messages, excuse me?
- $11 \parallel A$. Couple of months after, I would say April of that same year,
- 12 Vivian Katsantonis requested me to take a picture of the texts
- 13 | involved and send it to her. So I had sent -- taken a picture
- 14 | from my wife's phone of my phone and then sent them to my email
- 15 \parallel and forwarded it to them, but I kept them in my hard drive.
- 16 Since then, I upgraded my phone from the iPhone 4 to a
- 17 | Samsung Notepad 3. So I no longer own that phone. So I didn't
- 18 | have the luxury to go back and look.
- $19 \parallel Q$. So you were told by the plaintiff, Vivian Katsantonis, to
- 20 send over pictures of the texts?
- $21 \parallel A$. Yes. I mentioned to her -- we had a conversation. This
- 22 guy -- my words were, this guy's nuts. You know, he's telling
- 23 me now that he has to get approval from the attorney after the
- 24 | fact that we have an executed contract. So there was this
- 25 conversation between him and I over text where I'm

- 1 congratulating him from having an executed contract, and all of
- $2 \parallel$ a sudden, he is backpedaling on that issue. So I felt that it
- $3 \parallel$ was important that she has a copy and that I keep a copy.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Well, here's my question: Why didn't you feel it was
- 5 important to keep the other text messages?
- 6 A. I'm into selling real estate, not into litigation like you
- $7 \parallel$ are. So I went about my life, and I never know exactly that we
- 8 were going to be here a year later.
- $9 \parallel Q$. So who made the decision to take pictures of those
- 10 | particular text messages?
- 11 A. I did. I did because those were the only ones that were
- 12 | relevant. There was nothing else that was relevant.
- 13 | Q. Well, let's take a look at it.
- 14 You sent Sean a text. Let me ask you a question. On
- 15 the first page, your texts appear on the right, correct?
- 16 \parallel A. The darker ones, the darker ones are mine.
- 17 | Q. And the lighter ones that appear on the left are from
- 18 ∥ Mr. Joseph?
- 19∥A. Correct.
- $20 \parallel Q$. And you sent him a text. At what time, we don't know
- 21 | because we don't have the beginning text to that, correct, of
- 22 when the string falls?
- 23 | A. Apparently not.
- $24 \parallel Q$. An it says, "Sean, we have an executed contract. I will
- 25 send it to you tonight when I get home. They are wiring the

- 50 K to Sotheby's. I will send you an escrow letter
 tomorrow. Can you send me a seller's disclosure and the
 condo docs tomorrow."
 - A. Yes, I texted back.
- Q. And Sean texted you back, "I need to get all that and speak seller."
- 7 | A. Yes.

- 8 Q. Do you know what he was talking about when he said he needed 9 to speak to the seller?
- 10 A. "I need to get all that," he's making reference to what I'm
- 11 asking for, the seller disclosure. And speak to the seller
- means probably prepare a seller's disclosure. I don't know
- $13 \parallel$ exactly what he means.
- 14 \parallel Q. And we don't have the beginning text to be able to put that
- 15 in context, correct?
- 16 ∥ A. No.
- Q. And you replied, "Okay. You will have the contract as soon as I get home and the escrow letter tomorrow."
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And so this appears to have been on February 7th at
- 21 sometime?
- 22 A. It was on February 7th.
- $23 \parallel Q$. Okay. And then we flip to the next page. This is a
- 24 continuation, correct, just a photocopy?
- 25 A. Yes.

- $1 \parallel Q$. You scrolled down the screen a little bit?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Then we pick up and you said -- he says, "Okay." You
- 4 | said, "Congrats to us both!" He says, "Yes." Correct?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $6 \parallel Q$. And at 8:55 that morning, he tells you that --
- $7 \parallel A$. The next morning.
- 8 Q. At 8:55 on February 8th, the date is there, right?
- $9 \parallel A$. The next morning because the "Congrats to us both!" and
- 10 | "Yes" was still February 7th.
- 11 | 0. Correct.
- 12 "I sent the agreement to seller, Attorney Joel
- Piotrowski. Spoke to him yesterday and asked me."
- 14 And we don't have anything after that for that
- 15 continuation of the text, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Do you know what he said?
- $18 \parallel A$. I believe that he mentioned that the attorney wanted to
- 19 review the contract.
- 20 | Q. Okay. And you responded back at sometime after that with an
- 21 exclamatory, "Well, we have an executed contract, fully
- 22 | effective."
- 23 MR. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor, to the
- 24 | characterization. I don't know why we're calling it
- 25 exclamatory. There is no exclamation mark.

- THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
- 2 | BY MR. S0T0:

- $3 \parallel 0$. Correct?
- $4 \parallel A$. Yes, that is my text, the one you see there.
- $5 \parallel Q$. So is it possible that some time before that particular
- 6 | text, Mr. Joseph obviously texted you and said, we don't have an
- 7 | executed contract?
- 8 A. Well, on February 8th, he made mention on his original text
- 9 that the attorney wanted to review the contract, and I said,
- 10 | what's the point. We already have an executed contract. What's
- 11 ∥ there to review?
- 12 Review means let we look at it and possibly make
- 13 changes, but if it's executed, there's nothing to review.
- 14 | Q. Well, we don't have that text today because you didn't take
- 15 ∥ a photograph of it?
- 16 ∥ A. Well --
- 17 \parallel Q. That's why we have to ask those questions.
- 18 ∥ A. No.
- $19 \parallel Q$. So you don't believe it ever happened prior to February?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And Mr. Joseph goes on to tell you, "not according to
- 22 him, not without both signatures," correct?
- $23 \parallel A$. Yes, and that is the first time I found out about a second
- 24 ∥ signature.
- 25 | Q. Now, when you looked at the contract and you saw those two

- names with the TRS, you never thought there were two individuals
 that were required to sign?
- 3 A. No, it's not my job to interpret or represent the opposing
- 4 party. I mean, the sellers were not represented by me. Trust
- 5 accounts can be controlled by one individual. I am assuming --
- 6 I'm not an attorney, but there could be a hundred trust accounts
- 7 controlled by me.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Page 3 and Page 4. You start
- 9 | out --
- $10 \parallel A$. Of the white thing?
- 11 | Q. Yeah, "It's a business agreement, so both parties must
- 12 | sign." And then you go on to say, "no point in you and I
- 13 arguing --"
- 14 A. Give me a second. I'm not there. You're talking about 3?
- 15 | Q. No, it's your Exhibit Number 6 in that binder.
- 16 \parallel A. In the black one or the white one?
- 17 | Q. White one, same one we were looking at, but it's the third
- 18 page and fourth page.
- 19 | A. In Number 6?
- 20 | Q. Yes, sir.
- 21 A. Okay. Got it. Okay.
- 22 | Q. And it says, "It's a business agreement, so both parties
- 23 must sign, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And the next page appears to be you scrolling down a little

- 1 | bit and sending him back your reply to that?
- $2 \parallel A$. Yes, it is.
- $3 \parallel Q$. And at the bottom, it says, February 8, 10:45 a.m.
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 \ Q. So is it safe to assume that everything that happened prior
- $6 \parallel$ to February 8, 10:45 a.m. is all of these text messages?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you didn't produce any other text messages other than
- 9 | these four pages, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 MR. SOTO: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Redirect.
- 13 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 ∥ BY MR. HARRIS:
- 15 | Q. Mr. Santidrian, can you look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 in the
- 16 | black binder, please?
- 17 | A. Exhibit 2?
- $18 \parallel Q$. Yes. Page 2, I'm looking at the February 6, 2013, the
- 19 | printout from Sean Joseph at 4:42 p.m. and you testified on
- 20 | cross that you thought Mr. Joseph was telling you here that she
- 21 | had not agreed, right, that she had not agreed at that point?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And that's on February 6, obviously, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- $25 \parallel Q$. Did something happen after that to indicate to you that she

```
1
    had agreed?
2
    Α.
       Yes.
3
       What was that?
    0.
 4
    Α.
       He sent me a signed counteroffer for 1.3.
5
             MR. HARRIS: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.
6
             THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You're excused.
7
             What say the plaintiffs?
8
             THE WITNESS: I'm excused? Okay.
9
             THE COURT: You're excused. Most people just get the
10
   heck out once they hear that.
11
             THE WITNESS: Excused for the day?
12
             THE COURT: Yes, sir. See, it's not so bad coming to
13
    court.
14
        (The witness was excused.)
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, before we close, I would like
15
16
    to specifically request the Court to consider and take notice
17
    specifically of the portions of the pretrial stipulation.
    have them at Exhibit 35.
18
19
             THE COURT: What part?
20
             MR. HARRIS: I'm sorry?
21
             THE COURT: What part of the stipulation?
22
             MR. HARRIS: There are the defendants' statement of the
23
        case on Page 3, about five lines down, it says; "The 'as is'
        Residential Contract For Sale and Purchase (the offer) which
24
25
        listed both Shechtman and Sherman as sellers, as properly
```

1 identified them as trustees, was only signed by one co-trustee, Mary Reich Shechtman." 2 3 Then if you flip to Paragraph 5, which is a concise statement of uncontested facts which will require no proof 4 5 at trial, Item Q, "Defendant Sherman did not sign or initial 6 the counteroffer; however --" 7 THE COURT: What? I'm sorry. 8 MR. HARRIS: I'm sorry. On Page 5, Item Q, it says, "Uncontested facts that will require no proof at trial." 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. HARRIS: "Defendant Sherman did not sign or initial 12 the counteroffer; however, the counteroffer was executed and 13 initialed by Defendant Shechtman." So then we would also like the Court to consider the 14 15 defendants' pleading in this case, which we have provided in our binder at Exhibit 33. 16 17 THE COURT: What part? MR. HARRIS: On Page 4, Paragraph 33, which is part of 18 19 their affirmative defenses, and specifically the last line in 20 Paragraph 33, I'll read for the record: 21 "Specifically, the trust did not execute the contract 22 because it was only signed by one of the co-trustees." And finally, Your Honor, the defendants made in their 23 statement of facts in support of their motion to dismiss, which 24

25

we have provided at Tab 34 --

```
1
             THE COURT: I'm not going to consider a response to a
    motion to dismiss.
2
3
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, this is their affirmative
 4
   motion to dismiss.
5
             THE COURT:
                         I know, but I'm not going to consider that.
             MR. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
6
7
             THE COURT: What say the plaintiffs?
8
             MR. HARRIS: We request specific performance.
             THE COURT: You rest?
9
             MR. HARRIS: Oh, we rest.
10
11
             THE COURT: What say the defendants?
12
             MR. SOTO: Your Honor, we move for judgment, a directed
13
    verdict. I think you ruled on yesterday --
14
             THE COURT: It's a motion for judgment as a matter of
    law, that's what we call it now, pursuant to Rule 50, I'll deny
15
    it with leave to reargue at the end of the defense case.
16
17
                       Thank you, Your Honor.
             MR. SOTO:
18
             THE COURT: So what say you on behalf of the defendant?
19
             MR. SOTO: The defendant calls Mary Shechtman.
20
             THE COURT: Okay. You know where the witness stand is.
21
             Raise your right hand, please.
22
            MARY REICH SHECHTMAN, DEFENDANT HEREIN, SWORN.
23
             THE COURT: Tell us your name and spell your last name
24
    for us, please.
25
             THE WITNESS: Mary, M-a-r-y; Reich, R-e-i-c-h;
```

Does

```
1
    Shechtman, S-h-e-c-h-t-m-a-n.
 2
             THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.
 3
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. SOTO:
 4
 5
    Q. Ms. Shechtman, when did the trust acquire the property at
 6
    3807?
 7
        Couple of years ago, around March 2011 I believe.
 8
        And if you take a look in your binder -- there's a white
    0.
    binder that should be in front of you.
10
    Α.
        Yes.
11
    0.
        Take a look at Number 1.
12
             MR. SOTO:
                        Judge, we'd be moving that into evidence.
13
             THE COURT: Move what into evidence?
14
             MR. SOTO: The white binder, Exhibit Number 1.
             THE COURT: Defense Exhibit Number 1. Any objection?
15
16
             MR. HARRIS: No objection, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
17
18
        (Defendants' Exhibit Number 1 was received in evidence.)
19
    BY MR. SOTO:
20
        Do you recognize that document?
    0.
21
       Yes, I do.
    Α.
22
        Is that the Trust Agreement for the trust that holds the
    0.
23
    property?
24
    A. Yes.
25
```

And does this allow -- let me ask you this question:

- 1 this trust create or assign the powers as trustees to two
- 2 | individuals?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 \ Q. Do you know who those individuals are?
- 5 A. Myself and my sister.
- 6 | Q. And your sister is Honey Sherman, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Okay. Now, take a look at 3.2.
- 9∥A. Yes.
- $10 \parallel Q$. Now, is it your understanding that the trust allowed you to
- 11 make unilateral decisions for minor expenditures for the
- 12 | condo --
- 13 A. Minor?
- $14 \parallel Q$. -- for the trust? Yes.
- 15 | A. Minor, but I always did everything in conjunction with my
- 16∥ sister.
- 17 | Q. And you'd always talk to her, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. You guys didn't use email, like we do today, correct?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I'm just going to object to
- 22 the leading nature of these questions.
- 24 ∥ BY MR. S0T0:
- 25 | Q. You and Honey actually telephone each other constantly,

```
1
    correct?
2
    Α.
      Yes.
3
    Q. Now, in paragraph 3.5 -- 3.2 B, can you read that for the
 4
   Court?
5
             THE COURT: Which exhibit are we talking about?
6
             MR. SOTO: Exhibit Number 1, Your Honor, the Trust
7
    Agreement.
             THE COURT: Plaintiffs' or defendants' exhibit?
8
9
             MR. SOTO: Defendants', Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. And you want her to read to me what
11
    part?
12
             MR. SOTO: Paragraph Section 3.2 B.
13
             THE COURT: And the reason you want her to read that to
   me is because you don't think I can read.
14
15
             MR. SOTO: Correct, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: You don't think I can read.
                        No, Your Honor. And I am going to move it
17
             MR. SOTO:
    right along.
18
19
             THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, the Trust Agreement says what
20
    it says, right?
21
             MR. SOTO: It does, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Does it need any explanation?
23
             MR. SOTO: None whatsoever.
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
25
             MR. SOTO: Your Honor, I'm just going to use the
```

- 1 plaintiffs' just so I don't have to put in any other contracts.
- 3 | BY MR. S0T0:
- 4 \ Q. Mary, if you would take a look at the black binder, Exhibit
- 5 Number 1.
- $6 \parallel A$. Number 1?
- 7 \ Q. Yeah.
- 8 A. Sorry. Yes.
- $9 \parallel Q$. Do you recognize that as the contract that is in dispute in
- 10 | this case?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Did you initial that contract?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. Did you ever give approval from Honey for entering into any
- 15 | contract?
- 16 A. No. I initialed things MRS.
- 17 | Q. That's because your maiden name is Reich?
- 18 A. Yes.
- $19 \parallel Q$. And that's your signature on the third to last page?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you ever get Sean Joseph authority to sign your name?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, just for the record, I would
- 24 | like to --
- THE COURT: Everything is for the record. Whenever you

```
1
    say something "for the record," the court reporter just types,
2
    "for the record."
3
             MR. HARRIS: I don't want to get up every time a
    leading question is asked. I'm just going to object to the
4
5
    entire line of leading questions.
6
             THE COURT: No. If you want to object, you've got to
7
    object each time.
8
             MR. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor, leading.
             THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
9
10
   BY MR. SOTO:
11
    Q. Ms. Shechtman, when did you decide to list this property?
12
       A number of years ago. It wasn't that many years ago.
    About a year and a half I guess.
    Q. Okay. Why did you decide to list this property?
14
             THE COURT: What difference does it make?
15
16
             MR. SOTO: If it doesn't make a difference to you, Your
17
    Honor, I'm going to move on.
18
             THE COURT: Well, you tell me. Does it?
19
             MR. SOTO:
                        I believe there was a question that you
20
    asked yesterday, while I was going on, you actually asked me
21
    directly why.
22
             THE COURT: Why what?
23
             MR. SOTO: So I figured I'd ask the question.
24
             If you don't need to know, I'm going to bypass it.
25
             THE COURT: What did I ask? Why what?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. SOTO:

```
I think you asked why did she put it up for
        MR. SOTO:
sale and why was it listed, the reasoning behind doing it.
                   Well, what I wanted to know is, according
         THE COURT:
to the plaintiffs, they backpedaled on it. So the question
would be why --
         MR. SOTO: -- did they remove it.
         THE COURT: -- did they remove it. That would be
perhaps important, though I'm not sure. I mean, there's either
a contract or there isn't.
         So the only reason that would be interesting is if I
make credibility findings as to the motivation why someone would
offer something for sale, get an offer, accept it or reject it
depending on your point of view, and then say, well, not really.
We don't really want to do it. We didn't accept. So that would
be the reason. Not why did you put it up for sale, but go down
to the 1.3 million, with the last offer. We don't need to know
all other offers before that.
        MR. SOTO: I'm going to go through that, because I
think why you put it up for sale, would help answer that
question for you, in why it was removed.
         THE COURT: Why not just ask why it was removed?
        MR. SOTO:
                   I can do that, too. I can do it in that
order.
         THE COURT: Yeah.
```

```
1
        Can you tell us why you had the listing removed?
    0.
       My daughter, who had been very ill, was getting better and
2
    Α.
3
    so after thinking that we wouldn't be able to use the place, we
4
    saw that since she was getting better, we'd be able to --
5
             THE COURT: Who is "we"? You talk and say "we." You
6
    said "we" several times.
7
             THE WITNESS: My family.
8
             THE COURT: Well, who's the "we." Tell me who the "we"
9
    is.
10
             THE WITNESS: Myself, my husband, my kids would be
11
    living in it.
             THE COURT: You said "we" decided. I don't know what
12
13
    decision.
14
             THE WITNESS: You're right. I'm sorry. I hadn't
    finished.
15
16
             THE COURT: When did you withdraw the listing?
             THE WITNESS: Sean had called me and said that there
17
    was somebody interested. He had also said that the buyer, the
18
19
    person who was leasing was interesting in renewing, and so
20
    everything was happening sort of at the same time and my
21
    daughter was getting better. And one of the reasons we had
22
    thought we would sell, another factor was the building that was
23
    going up next door, and we saw that that wasn't happening.
24
    everything came together.
25
             THE COURT: When did all of that come together, because
```

```
there are offers and counteroffers, right?
 1
             THE WITNESS: Which I wasn't aware of.
2
3
             THE COURT: You weren't aware of any offer or
    counteroffer.
4
5
             THE WITNESS: I was only told by Sean that somebody is
6
    interested in buying it and he said strong offer and I was
7
    going, listen, Sean, things are changing. I'm not really
    interested and --
8
             THE COURT: All of these exhibits that are the offers
9
   with the different prices scratched off, you never saw until the
10
11
    lawsuit.
             THE WITNESS: Never saw, didn't even know they existed,
12
13
    because I was making it very clear.
14
             One of the reasons I bought that particular condo is
15
    it's two doors away from my sister, and we historically always
    lived close to each other. There was no place else. Because I
16
17
    had said to Sean, even if I do sell, if I consider selling, I've
    got to have another place that's close to Honey because --
18
19
             THE COURT: And he wouldn't go back -- he did not have
20
    conversations with you, Sean Joseph, about these offers and
21
    counteroffers?
22
             THE WITNESS: He just general, generic kind of things.
23
    I was totally shocked when this happened, shocked.
             THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
24
25
   BY MR. SOTO:
```

- 1 Q. Take a look at what's been marked as Plaintiffs' Number 8.
- 2 A. The black?
- $3 \parallel Q$. In the black binder, second page.
- 4 | A. Second page?
- 5 | Q. Yes. Starts at the top with "Hi."
- 6 A. I'm sorry. Number?
- 7 | Q. 8.
- 8 A. 8, yes.
- $9 \parallel Q$. Is this an email that you sent to Sean in response to one of
- 10 | those phone calls?
- 11 A. The one that says, "once again congratulations"?
- 12 | Q. No, the second page --
- 13 | A. Oh, sorry.
- $14 \parallel Q$. -- at the top.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Can you read that for the Court?
- 17 A. "As discussed in the past, the minimum I would be willing to
- 18 sell for at this time is 1.3. I would also need to know
- 19 there was someplace else I had to move into down the road.
- 20 Thanks for trying."
- 21 | Q. And you forwarded that to Sean Joseph, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 | Q. And at that time, you hadn't agreed to any contract,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Absolutely not.

- 1 | Q. And you hadn't signed or initialled any contract?
- 2 A. Absolutely not.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Now, did you have any conversations at all with the two
- 4 | plaintiffs in this case?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 | Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Raul Santidrian?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 | Q. Did you ever expressly give Sean authority to execute a
- 9 contract on your behalf for the sale of real estate?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Take a look at Exhibit Number 3 in the black binder,
- 12 | Plaintiffs' 3. Do you recognize that document?
- 13 A. It's a Lease Agreement.
- 14 | Q. Is this a Lease Agreement that bears your name on the second
- 15 and third pages?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And can you tell me what it says where it says lessor's
- 18 | signature?
- 19 A. "Mary Shechtman per" -- something.
- $20 \parallel Q$. I think it's clear on the next page.
- 21 A. It just -- "Mary Shechtman as per" --
- 22 \ Q. Is that an email?
- 23 A. I don't know if I am looking at the right thing.
- 24 | Q. Okay. The third page back.
- 25 A. Black binder?

- $1 \parallel Q$. In the black binder, middle of the page.
- 2 A. Oh, okay. "As per email." "Mary Shechtman as per email."
- $3 \parallel Q$. Did you ever get a copy of this lease from Sean Joseph?
- 4 A. I don't recollect.
- $5 \parallel Q$. And you gave Sean express authority to enter into that
- 6 | lease, correct?
- 7 A. Yes. As Sean has said and in the emails, I guess he would
- 8 | find me controlling.
- 9 THE COURT: This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3, is that what
- 10 | we're talking about?
- 11 MR. SOTO: Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Did you sign this lease?
- 13 ∥ BY MR. S0T0:
- 14 \parallel Q. Did you sign the lease?
- 15 | A. I didn't personally sign it. I gave my permission to Sean
- 16 \parallel to sign it on my behalf.
- 17 | Q. Did you have Honey Sherman's authority to enter into that
- 18 | lease prior to telling Sean to execute the lease?
- 19 $\|$ A. Yes, we discussed it. And it says MRS.
- 20 | Q. Has your maiden name in there, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, it's signed MRS.
- 22 THE COURT: What are we looking at now?
- 23 MR. SOTO: The lease, Exhibit Number 3, in Plaintiffs'
- 24 ∥ black binder.
- 25 | THE COURT: Where does it say MRS?

```
1
             THE WITNESS: At the bottom, it says landlord.
             THE COURT: There's an R in there?
2
3
             THE WITNESS: Yeah.
4
             THE COURT: Did you put that down?
5
             THE WITNESS: I didn't. Sean signed it for me.
6
             THE COURT: Well, look at the first page where it says
7
    those initials. What do you think that is?
8
             THE WITNESS: He didn't put it there.
9
             THE COURT: So sometimes he would put MS and sometimes
10
    he would put MRS, you think?
11
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
             THE COURT: Why is that?
13
             THE WITNESS: I don't know. People tend not -- I'm
14
    fairly insistent that my maiden name be on documentation, but
15
   very often, people just don't think of it and don't always do
16
    it. When I do it, I make sure I sign things MRS.
17
             THE COURT: All right.
18
             THE WITNESS: But even I slip up.
19
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
20
             THE WITNESS: But I'm sure even I slip up.
21
             MR. SOTO: Sorry, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
   BY MR. SOTO:
23
       Take a look at Number 7.
24
   0.
        Yes.
25
   Α.
```

- 1 \ Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 2 A. Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Did you fill out anything on that document other than your
- 4 | signature?
- 5 A. No.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Do you know who filled that out?
- $7 \parallel A$. That would have been the contractor.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Did you have a conversation with your sister prior to
- 9 executing this agreement?
- 10 | A. Yes. We both met with the contractor and decided on him
- $11 \parallel jointly.$
- 12 | Q. Was Honey involved in the approval or acceptance of this
- 13 contractor?
- $14 \parallel A$. Yes. Honey is pretty much involved in everything.
- 15 \parallel Q. And you signed it as a matter of formality to start the
- 16 | construction?
- 17 ∥ A. Yes. She was there, but she would have been upstairs. She
- 18 ∥ had had surgery and I was downstairs signing, doing the
- 19 paperwork down in the office, and she couldn't walk.
- 20 | Q. Now, when you listed the property with Mr. Joseph, did you
- 21 ∥ have a conversation with him about what kind of offers you would
- 22 | accept?
- 23 | A. We -- I only said if this is going to happen, the minimum I
- 24 ∥ would take is 1.3, but it was very, very strongly told to him
- 25 | that I must have another place close to my sister.

```
And that's consistent with the email that you sent to him on
 1
2
   February 6th?
   A. Yes.
3
4
    Q. And you never gave him authority to enter into a contract
5
   for --
6
             THE COURT: Oh, that's a leading question now. I'll
7
   sustain it.
8
            MR. SOTO: I'm just asking her if she ever gave written
9
    authority.
10
             THE COURT: Didn't you ask her already?
11
             MR. SOTO: I believe I did, but I just want to
12
   double-check.
13
             THE COURT: You don't need to repeat. I'm here.
            MR. SOTO: No further questions, Your Honor.
14
15
             THE COURT: Cross-examination.
16
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
   BY MR. HARRIS:
17
   Q. If I could ask you to look at that Exhibit 7 you were just
18
19
   looking at.
20
   A. Yes.
21
             MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I'm not sure if this has been
22
    admitted into evidence, but we'd like to move it in at this
23
   time.
             THE COURT: I don't think it has. You're introducing
24
25
   it?
```

```
MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
2
             THE COURT: Any objection?
 3
            MR. SOTO: Number 7, Your Honor?
 4
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
5
            MR. SOTO: No objection. I thought it was already
6
   entered as --
7
            THE COURT: No, it wasn't; just talked about. Okay.
8
        (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 7 was received in evidence.)
   BY MR. HARRIS:
10
    Q. Ms. Shechtman, you testified that you only entered the
11
    signature. Are you referring to the very bottom of the page?
12
   A. Oh, I can see I entered my name.
13
   Q. In addition to the signature at the bottom, you entered your
14
   name?
15
   A. Right.
   Q. Are you talking about the line at the very bottom of the
16
17
   page?
   A. That's where I signed. On the other side -- the signature
18
19
   is mine. The writing part, it was the contractor.
20
   Q. But right next to Mary Shechtman's signature on the bottom,
    it says, "by Mary Shechtman." So that's the contractor?
21
22
   A. Yes.
23
    Q. But above that in the preceding list of entries, above the
24
   notary, it says, "signatures of owner or owners' authorized
25
   officer, director, partner, manager." Do you see that?
```

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- 2 | Q. And then it says, "Print Name, Mary Shechtman." Is that
- 3 | your handwriting?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$. So you wrote your name there, right?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 \ Q. And it says "Title/Office" and you said, "owner," right?
- 8 | You wrote that?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- $10 \parallel Q$. So then below that, then back to where you had originally
- acknowledged you signed, it says, "Verification pursuant to
- 12 Section 92.525 Florida Statute: Under penalties of perjury,
- I declare that I have read the foregoing and that the facts
- 14 stated in it are true to the best of my knowledge and
- 15 belief." Correct?
- $16 \parallel A$. It says that.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And then it says, "Signatures of owner or owners,"
- 18 plural, "or owner or owners' authorized officer, director,
- 19 partner, manager who signed above." Correct?
- 20 MR. SOTO: Objection, Your Honor. The document speaks
- 21 \parallel for itself.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 23 BY MR. HARRIS:
- 24 | Q. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- $1 \parallel Q$. And so you signed, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Where on this form did you ever disclose that Honey Sherman
- 4 was an owner of the property?
- $5 \parallel A$. I had disclosed it with the -- the office that this was
- 6 going to knew. The contractor who had just met with us upstairs
- 7 knew. She was physically --
- 8 | Q. Let me stop you. My question was where on this document
- 9 | that we're looking at, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 7, did you disclose
- 10 | that Honey Sherman was an owner of the property, the condo?
- $11 \parallel A$. I didn't have to. It was implicitly known.
- $12 \parallel Q$. It's not evident from this document anywhere on it that
- 13 ∥ Honey Sherman is an owner of the condo, correct?
- 14 ∥ A. No.
- 15 \parallel 0. What I said is correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- $17 \parallel Q$. Okay. And it doesn't reference in any way the trust or your
- 18 | ownership as a co-trustee, correct?
- 19 A. It didn't need to. They had just seen her.
- 20 | Q. But it doesn't in fact, correct? It does not reference the
- 21 | trust?
- 22 A. It does not.
- 23 | Q. And you filed this and recorded it in the Miami-Dade land
- 24 | records, correct?
- $25 \parallel A$. I presume so. It was the contractor that did it.

- $1 \parallel \mathsf{Q}$. I would like you to look at the lease that you looked at
- 2 before.
- $3 \parallel A$. Where is that?
- 4 Q. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3, if I'm not mistaken.
- 5 A. Plaintiffs' black or white?
- $6 \parallel Q$. Plaintiffs is black.
- $7 \parallel A$. And what number?
- 8 | Q. 3.
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Okay. You said you don't recall if you ever got a copy of
- 11 | the lease?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 | Q. Okay. But it's possible, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Probably. Based on what you heard from Sean Joseph
- 16 | yesterday, saying that was his common practice to send the lease
- 17 | to the client, you probably got this, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- $19 \parallel Q$. And you have not produced it in this case, correct?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Now, there was a preexisting lease that would have
- 22 | immediately preceded the term of this lease for a one-year
- 23 | period, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And you probably were provided with a copy of that lease as

- .∥ well?
- 2 A. Probably.
- $3 \parallel Q$. And you haven't produced that in this litigation either,
- 4 | have you?
- 5 MR. SOTO: Objection, Your Honor. Outside the direct
- 6 | examination.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
- 8 THE WITNESS: No.
- 9∥BY MR. HARRIS:
- 10 | Q. And on this Lease Agreement, it's a fact, right, that you
- 11 didn't disclose or that Honey Sherman did not sign this Lease
- 12 | Agreement, correct?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. So you gave Sean Joseph permission to sign your name, that
- 15 was your testimony, to this lease?
- 16 | A. Yes, on behalf -- after a conversation with my sister who
- 17 | concurred with doing it.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And under this Lease Agreement, you're entitled to
- 19 | \$6,000 a month, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. And you have the right to that, right, from the tenant?
- 22 A. We do.
- 23 | Q. And that's because this is a binding agreement, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And it nowhere has Honey Sherman's signature on it, correct?

- 1 A. No.
- $2 \mid 0$. It does not?
- $3 \parallel A$. No, it does not, but it was done with her knowledge.
- 4 | Q. And you've acknowledged that it says that the signature is
- 5 ∥ "as per email"?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. So are you testifying that you sent an email to Sean Joseph
- 8 | giving him authority to sign your name to this document?
- 9∥A. I would presume so.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And you were here last week when the judge ordered
- 11 | you to produce all emails that you had been sent to Sean Joseph
- 12 | by Thursday at 5 p.m., correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And did you produce that email?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 THE COURT: Why not?
- 18 ∥ am not very good with technology, and I delete things. I don't
- 19 know if they are somewhere in there. But things going back,
- 20 | every month, I just delete things that I don't find relevant.
- 21 BY MR. HARRIS:
- 22 | Q. So your typical practice -- strike that.
- You've retained Mr. Joseph to handle a number of
- 24 property transactions related to the condo unit on behalf of the
- 25 | trust, correct?

- $1 \parallel A$. A couple.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Well, there were two leases, right, or there were multiple
- 3 leases?
- 4 A. Not multiple. One was a renewal, so I don't know if you
- 5 consider that.
- $6 \parallel Q$. How many leases did you engage Mr. Joseph to represent the
- 7 | sellers or the property owners for?
- 8 | A. Two.
- 9∥0. Two. Were there seasonal leases as well?
- 10 | A. That's a lease thereof. Well, I don't know how they
- 11 reference them down here.
- 12 | Q. So your testimony is that there were only two leases and
- 13∥ they were both for one year each, correct?
- $14 \parallel A$. There was one for six months. Then there was a lease and
- 15 | then there was a renewal. So I don't know how you count that.
- $16 \parallel Q$. And then there was also the attempted sales transaction that
- 17 | we're here to talk about today, correct?
- 18 ∥ A. Yes.
- $19 \parallel Q$. Okay. Now, typically in communicating instructions to
- 20 | Mr. Joseph in connection with real estate transactions, you
- 21 would have a discussion or understanding with your sister and
- 22 then either one or the other of you could communicate those
- 23 | instructions to Mr. Joseph, correct?
- $24 \parallel A$. What it would usually be is Honey and I, we have a birth
- 25 order thing I guess.

- 1∥Q. I'm sorry. What thing?
- $2 \parallel A$. A birth order thing. Honey and I would discuss things, and
- 3 then I might be the mouthpiece for it because she did a lot of
- 4 | traveling.
- 5 \ Q. So your typical practice was to have a discussion with
- 6 | Honey?
- 7 A. Always.
- 8 | Q. And then one of you would communicate your instructions to
- 9 Mr. Joseph?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Okay. On behalf of both of you?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- $13 \parallel Q$. Okay. And that arrangement held true for both the two lease
- 14 | transactions on the property, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. And it also held true for the negotiations with the
- 17 | plaintiffs in February 2013, correct?
- $18 \parallel A$. What part of the negotiations? She was aware that it was
- 19 | being put up for sale. She was aware that there were
- 20 | negotiations.
- 21 | Q. Right, but during those negotiations, your practice was to
- 22 ∥ have a discussion with Honey and that one or the other of you
- 23 would communicate instructions to Mr. Joseph on behalf of the
- 24 | both of you, correct?
- 25 A. Very strictly if we concurred. If and when we concurred,

- $1 \parallel \text{yes}$.
- 2 | Q. Well, were there times when you didn't concur and you gave
- 3 ∥ him instructions that were not agreed to?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 | Q. So every instruction you gave to Mr. Joseph, you had Honey
- 6 | Sherman's authority for, correct?
- $7 \parallel A$. I believe so.
- $8 \parallel Q$. In connection with the sales negotiations, the
- 9 counteroffers, the offers, et cetera in February 2013?
- 10 | A. Well, I didn't have approval from her because I didn't know
- 11 about them.
- 12 | Q. Okay. So I believe that -- well, flip to Exhibit 36 in the
- 13 | black binder there. Black binder, Exhibit 36.
- 14 ∥ A. Yeah.
- $15 \parallel Q$. Okay. This is an email that you looked at with Mr. Soto,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 | A. Hold on a second. Yes.
- $18 \parallel Q$. And it was your testimony with Mr. Soto that you were
- 19 | shocked and you never knew about any of the specific offers or
- 20 | counteroffers, correct?
- $21 \parallel A$. I knew generally that there was something going on and he
- 22 | had mentioned offers.
- 23 | Q. Okay. So you weren't all that shocked, were you? You
- 24 | actually knew that there was an exchange of offers and
- 25 | counteroffers, correct?

- A. There's always a lot of talk in real estate. It's very different, the reality versus the talk.
- Q. Okay. Well, your testimony was that you were shocked to
 find out that there were offers and counteroffers going back and
- 6 A. I was shocked that there was things put to paper.
- 7 Q. And so when he says, "I have been working on an offer for
- 8 purchase on your unit," you don't see that as an offer?
- $9 \parallel A$. No, real estate agents can come to you and they say, I've
- 10 got a buyer ready, waiting, and then you find out that it's
- 11 nonexistent. It's only when they say, here, piece of paper,
- 12 | sign. That's what makes it real.
- 13 Q. Isn't it a fact that Mr. Joseph communicated with you
- 14 regularly when he got purchase offers on the listed property?
- 15 A. Regularly? I don't know if he would have communicated every
- 16 | single one.

5

forth?

- 17 | Q. Well, are you saying it's not a fact? Isn't it a fact that
- 18 Joseph communicated with you regularly when he got purchase
- 19 offers on the listed property?
- 20 A. I wouldn't know. He could have gotten some and not
- 21 communicated them. I don't know what he did.
- 22 \parallel Q. If you could look at your deposition testimony, which is at
- 23 31 in your binder.
- 24 A. White?
- 25 0. Black.

A. Yes.

1

- 2 \parallel Q. Flip, please, to Page 73. I'm sorry. That's your sister's
- 3 | transcript.
 - 29. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 29, please.
- 5 MR. SOTO: Same page, Chris?
- 6 MR. HARRIS: Yes, Page 73, please.
- 7 BY MR. HARRIS:
- 8 Q. Line 9, do you see that? Are you there yet?
- 9 A. Okay. Got it. Yes.
- $10 \parallel Q$. Line 9, it says:
- 11 "Well, Mr. Joseph communicated with you regularly when
- 12 he got offers on the property that you had authorized him to
- 13 list, correct?
- 14 ∥ "Answer: Yes."
- 15 | A. Yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Are you giving different testimony today, or is that a true
- 17 | statement that you regularly got communications from Mr. Joseph
- 18 when he got offers on the property?
- 19 | A. I'm saying that I didn't always know what Mr. Joseph did.
- $20 \parallel Q$. Is this testimony true that you gave at your deposition?
- 21 | A. As I was aware of, but I've learned different since I gave
- 22 this.
- 23 | Q. You understand that the plaintiffs made three offers in this
- 24 | case?
- 25 | A. Again, I wasn't aware of that.

```
1
       And yet, you testified that Mr. Joseph regularly kept you
2
    advised of the offers that he received?
3
             MR. SOTO: Objection, asked and answered, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Overruled.
 4
5
             THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. Can you ask --
   BY MR. HARRIS:
6
7
   Q. And yet, you testified that Mr. Joseph communicated with you
8
    regularly when he got offers for the purchase of the property,
   correct?
10
   A. Again, as far as I was aware of, on the day that I said
11
   this.
12
    Q. Ms. Shechtman, isn't it true that in furtherance of the
13
    negotiations for the sale of the property, you authorized
   Mr. Joseph to deliver signed counteroffers to the plaintiffs?
15
   Α.
       No.
16
    Q. Can you turn to Page 85 in your deposition testimony,
17
    please, Line 1? Are you with me?
   A. On 85, yeah.
18
19
   Q.
       Yeah.
20
             "Okay. But in furtherance of those negotiations, you
21
        authorized him to deliver signed counteroffers to the
22
        plaintiffs, correct?
             "Mr. Soto: Objection.
23
             "Go ahead.
24
25
             "The Witness: Yes."
```

Do you see that?

2 | A. Yep.

- $3 \parallel Q$. So your testimony at the time of deposition was that you did
- 4 authorize Mr. Joseph to deliver signed counteroffers to the
- 5 | plaintiffs, correct?
- 6 A. That's what it says.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Is that truthful testimony at the time you gave it?
- 8 A. How do I say this? I was very nervous at this thing. I am
- 9 not a stupid person, but in this sort of a situation, I get very
- 10 ∥ nervous and very tense. So was I telling the truth? Yes. Was
- 11 I focused as I should be? No.
- 12 So the reality is what I'm saying today, because this
- 13∥ is like the second time, you know, dealing with you, what I'm
- 14 | saying today is the truth. I did not know he was doing it. I
- 15 ∥ did not know he had put anything to paper. So it wasn't that I
- 16 ∥ was lying.
- $17 \parallel Q$. Is this testimony truthful, what we just read from your
- 18 deposition testimony?
- 19 A. It's what I said.
- 20 | Q. Is it truthful?
- $21 \parallel A$. I guess the answer would be, then, no.
- 22 | Q. It's not. So you gave untrue testimony at your deposition?
- 23 \parallel A. I guess, then, if that's the way you see it, yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Well, isn't it a fact?
- 25 A. What?

- Q. It's not just the way I see it. You're telling me that this is not a truthful statement that we read from your deposition,
- 3 | correct?
- 4∥A. Correct.
- $5 \parallel Q$. Now, with respect to the \$1.3 million counteroffer, you and
- 6 | your sister and Mr. Joseph had previously had a conversation in
- 7 which you all had decided that he could sell the property if,
- 8 assuming other conditions were met, he could get a minimum price
- 9 of \$1.3 million, correct?
- 10 | A. If all the other conditions were met, that was the minimum
- 11∥ price.
- $12 \parallel Q$. Right. And what were all those other conditions?
- 13 | A. Closing date, I'd have another place to live that was close
- $14 \parallel$ to my sister and one that would fit my whole family.
- 15 \parallel Q. Okay. And you weren't looking to the plaintiffs to provide
- 16 | you with another place to live, correct?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And you weren't imposing any obligations upon
- 19 Mr. Joseph, either, were you?
- $20 \parallel A$. It was the criteria. The price was only one part of it. He
- 21 was -- in any conversations that we had with him, there were
- 22 | very definite criteria. Price was only one part. If the other
- 23 criteria couldn't be met, then it wasn't going to happen because
- 24 my sister had had a grandchild in the meantime, so it became
- 25 | very, very important that the time frame was met, as well as I

Shechtman - Cross

```
wanted to be close to her grandchild and my kids wanted to be
 1
    close to my sister. So that was equally important.
2
3
      Right, but you weren't imposing any obligation on
4
   Mr. Joseph, right? You were just stating a fact.
5
   A. Well, it was an obligation. If I'm asking a real estate
6
   agent to do something for me, he can't just say, okay, I pick
7
    one of the four things that's important to you.
8
       So your testimony now is that you were imposing an
    obligation on Mr. Joseph to find you another place?
    A. I was talking to him about it. One had to go with the
10
11
    other. The only way I was willing to sell on behalf of my
12
    sister and myself was that if we could stay close.
13
    Q. Ms. Shechtman, I am merely trying to get your testimony as
    to whether this alleged requirement, that you needed another
14
15
    place to live, was an obligation you were imposing on Mr. Joseph
16
    in connection with the negotiation of the attempted purchase of
    the condo unit.
17
18
            MR. SOTO: Objection, Your Honor. Is that a question
19
    or a statement?
20
             THE COURT: I don't know. Is that a statement or a
21
    question from you?
22
                        It's an objection, Your Honor.
            MR. SOTO:
23
             THE COURT: Well, then what's the basis for the
```

Relevancy. He didn't --

objection?

MR. SOTO:

24

1 THE COURT: What's the relevance of that? 2 MR. SOTO: Excuse me? 3 THE COURT: What is the relevance of that? 4 MR. SOTO: It has no relevance. 5 THE COURT: No, I'm not asking you; I am asking the 6 other person. 7 What is the relevance of that? 8 MR. HARRIS: Well, the relevance is that for a contract 9 to be accepted, there has to be mutual assent to the essential 10 terms of the contract. 11 THE COURT: I thought a purchase of land in Florida 12 requires or property requires a written document. 13 MR. HARRIS: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Am I right? 14 15 MR. HARRIS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And I thought that the plaintiffs say there 17 is a written contract here, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1. MR. HARRIS: Correct, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: So what difference does it make whether she 20 asked the Realtor to get her another place or not? 21 MR. HARRIS: They have contested or they have 22 asserted --23 THE COURT: What difference does it make to your case? 24 MR. HARRIS: It's merely a rebuttal to their assertion 25 that Mr. Joseph was not given actual authority to make the \$1.3

million counteroffer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

THE COURT: And what does that have to do with finding another apartment?

MR. HARRIS: The defendants' claim is that --

THE COURT: What does it have to do in your case with finding another apartment?

MR. HARRIS: In my case, I don't need to know -- I mean, it's an irrelevant fact.

THE COURT: So you agree with your opponent that's irrelevant. I'll sustain the objection. We probably should have done it in less time, but you know, I would rather have the agreement from both sides since there's very little agreement.

13∥ Might as well.

14 Next question.

15 BY MR. HARRIS:

- Q. Ms. Shechtman, you've never taken an action with respect to the property without having specific discussion with Honey about
- 18 ∥ it, correct?
- 19 A. I might have changed a faucet. I mean, it depends on how 20 extreme you want to get.
- Q. Okay. But you've never exercised a trust power -- you
- 22 understand the Trust Agreement provides you with certain powers
- 23 ∥ as a co-trustee?
- 24 A. Yes.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And you've never exercised a trust power or any action with

- respect to the trust property under the Trust Agreement without
 Honey's authority or approval, correct?
- 3 A. I couldn't say that definitively. I can say it definitively 4 about this particular case.
- Q. Isn't it a fact that you never exercised a trust power or any action with respect to trust property without Honey's authority or approval?
- 8 A. To the best of my knowledge, I needed her approval, consent. 9 I'm sorry.
- 10 \parallel Q. I'm not asking if you needed it; I'm asking if you had it.
- 11 \parallel A. We would discuss it, yes, to the best of my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. So isn't it a fact that you never exercised a trust power or any action with respect to the trust property without Honey's authority and approval?
- MR. SOTO: Objection, asked and answered, Your Honor.

 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 17 THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge.
- 18 BY MR. HARRIS:
- 19 \parallel Q. Yes, to the best of your knowledge, that's true?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- Q. So when you signed the Notice of Commencement to allow the renovation work, you had Honey's approval, correct?
- A. Yes, we had just had a -- she was there. We were physically there together with the contractor.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And when you signed the current Lease Agreement on

- 1 | behalf of the co-trustees, you also had her approval or when you
- 2 directed Sean Joseph to sign it?
- $3 \parallel A$. Yes, we had discussed it.
- 4 | Q. And you also had her approval when you signed or allowed
- 5 Joseph to sign your name to the February 7, 2013 counteroffer on
- 6 | behalf of the seller, as well, didn't you?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 | Q. So you're telling me that Joseph just went off and did that
- 9 by himself?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- $11 \parallel Q$. And that's why you're here, right, in this lawsuit?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. So you must have been pretty upset, right?
- 14 ∥ A. I was.
- 15 | Q. But not enough to --
- 16 | A. I am.
- 17 | Q. Not upset enough to fire him as your real estate agent,
- 18 correct?
- 19 \parallel A. I, and I discussed this with my lawyer --
- 20 MR. SOTO: Stop. You are not permitted to say anything
- 21 that you discussed with your attorney.
- 22 THE COURT: Well, wait a second.
- 23 MR. SOTO: It's attorney/client privilege.
- 24 THE COURT: Wait a second. Who says that?
- 25 MR. SOTO: Excuse me?

```
1
             THE COURT: Who says that, that you're not permitted?
2
    Whose privilege is it?
3
            MR. SOTO: Attorney/client privilege.
 4
             THE COURT: Whose privilege is it?
5
            MR. SOTO:
                        It's the client's privilege, but I'm just --
6
             THE COURT: So you do not get up and say you are not
7
    allowed. She is allowed to say it. It's her privilege, not
8
    yours.
            MR. SOTO: If she waives the privilege, Your Honor, but
9
   if I --
10
11
            THE COURT: It's up to her to waive it. You cannot
12
    tell a witness that she cannot say something. So you rose and
13
   you said what?
            MR. SOTO: Your Honor --
14
15
            THE COURT: What did you say when you got up?
16
            MR. SOTO: I told her to stop; it's --
17
             THE COURT: You cannot do that. You can never, ever,
18
    ever, ever, ever tell a witness stop, do not say that.
19
            MR. SOTO:
                        I apologize, Your Honor. I was just being
20
    an advocate for my client in case she did not --
21
             THE COURT: You cannot do that at a deposition. You
22
    cannot do that at trial.
23
            MR. SOTO: I apologize, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: She's the one who can exercise the
25
   attorney/client privilege. It's not your privilege; it's hers.
```

Right? 1 MR. SOTO: Your Honor, I understand it's my duty to 2 3 protect my client. 4 THE COURT: To tell someone to stop? 5 MR. SOTO: To have my client protected at all times 6 from saying something they don't realize they have a privilege 7 not to say. 8 THE COURT: And does she have a privilege not to say? MR. SOTO: Yes. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. What is the question that you want 11 to ask. 12 MR. HARRIS: My question was, weren't you pretty upset 13 with Mr. Joseph? And she had said yes. And I said, but not enough to fire him as your real estate agent, correct? 14 15 THE COURT: And then she started answering, and answer it however you want to answer it. It's up to you. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I find it difficult to affect somebody's livelihood regardless of what they've done. So have I stopped 18 19 associating with him? Yes. If I see him, will I say hello? I 20 find it difficult. So the association isn't there. 21 BY MR. HARRIS: 22 Q. Okay. But we looked at Lease Agreement, Exhibit 3, 23 Plaintiffs', and you authorized him to sign your name four months after the lawsuit had been filed in this case; isn't that 24 a fact? 25

- 1 A. I wasn't aware of the lawsuit or that this all was going on
- 2 initially. Sean didn't tell me. There was a lot of it
- 3 | happening that I didn't know. So as far as the timing goes,
- 4 | it's a combination of he didn't inform me, plus my being a wimp.
- 5 | Q. Okay. But you said you weren't aware that the lawsuit was
- 6 | filed?
- 7 A. The first I heard about it was Sean said there's a problem
- 8 | and that he's been trying to deal with it. I wasn't informed at
- $9 \parallel$ the beginning.
- 10 | Q. Can you look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 32, please?
- 11 | A. Um-hum.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And weren't you served with this Complaint in or about April
- 15 | of 2013?
- 16 A. Yes.
- $17 \parallel Q$. Okay. So you were aware of the lawsuit in April of 2013,
- 18 correct?
- 19 \parallel A. I quess so.
- $20 \parallel Q$. And four months later, you sent an email. Your testimony is
- 21 | you sent an email to Sean Joseph to go ahead and sign your name
- 22 | to the Lease Agreement we looked at, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 ∥ Q. Okay.
- 25 MR. HARRIS: No further questions, Your Honor.

1 THE WITNESS: As I said, I'm a wimp. 2 THE COURT: You can answer. Did you finish? 3 THE WITNESS: It's just, he had said to me, don't 4 worry, it's not a big thing, I'm taking care of it. And again, 5 I have to look at somebody who has a child, and I admit that 6 it's a failing, I guess, in my personality, that I'm going to 7 take away somebody's livelihood. So it was a combination of those two things or a few things. 8 9 MR. HARRIS: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Redirect? 11 MR. SOTO: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. SOTO: 14 Q. Will you please turn to your deposition? It's in the black binder. Exhibit 29 in the black binder, which is Plaintiffs'. 15 16 Um-hum. Α. Q. You were asked earlier under oath -- can you flip to Page 17 18 85? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. At the top of the page, you were asked: 0. 21 "Okay. But in furtherance of those negotiations, you 22 authorized him to deliver signed counteroffers to the plaintiffs, correct?" 23 You answered, "Yes." 24 25 Correct?

```
1
        Yes.
 2
        And question, on Line 8, "And you're aware that Mr. Joseph
    0.
 3
        delivered this -- Plaintiffs' 5 to the plaintiffs -- "
             Your answer?
 4
 5
   Α.
        "No."
 6
   Q.
        "Question: -- on or about February 4th, 2013?" Answer?
 7
        "No."
   Α.
 8
        So you weren't aware of the offers, but you had talked to
   Sean, correct?
10
        In generalities, yes.
   Α.
11
   Q. And he had notified you about --
12
             THE COURT: Well, don't ask leading questions on
13
   redirect.
   BY MR. SOTO:
14
        What did he notify you about in those telephone calls?
15
   Q.
16
   Α.
       Just that there was interest in the property.
17
       And he was throwing around numbers, correct?
   0.
18
       Yes, as all Realtors --
   Α.
19
             THE COURT: Don't ask leading questions.
20
             MR. SOTO: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             THE WITNESS: Real estate agents are always -- was I
22
    saying something wrong?
23
             MR. SOTO: Could she answer that question, Judge?
24
             THE COURT: What was the question?
```

MR. HARRIS: The one that I asked that you said was

```
leading.
 1
             THE COURT: So ask it again without making it leading.
2
3
   BY MR. SOTO:
4
       What did you discuss with Sean during this period of
   February 4th?
5
6
       Just generally that there was interest in the property.
7
       Did you ever authorize him to enter into a contract?
8
             MR. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor, leading.
9
             THE COURT: Overruled.
10
             THE WITNESS:
                           No.
11
   BY MR. SOTO:
12
       Do you know if Honey Sherman ever authorized him to enter
13
    into a contract?
14
   A. Absolutely not.
15
                        Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.
             MR. SOTO:
16
             THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step down.
17
             What say the defendant?
18
             MR. SOTO: Your Honor, I would like five minutes with
19
   Mr. Harris to go over something relative to this pretrial stip,
20
    that I believe he is going to withdraw his argument to you about
21
    the pretrial stip.
22
             THE COURT: Oh, you can do that during lunch.
23
             MR. SOTO: Okay. I call Honey Sherman, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. Raise your right hand, please.
25
               HONEY SHERMAN, DEFENDANT HEREIN, SWORN.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat and tell us your name, 2 THE WITNESS: I just forgot my glasses. 3 MR. SOTO: I'll get them for you. Go ahead. 4 THE WITNESS: My name is Honey Sherman. And it's 5 S-h-e-r-m-a-n. 6 THE COURT: Go ahead. 7 MR. SOTO: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 Q. Can you please flip through Exhibit 1 of defendants', 10 Exhibit 1 in the white book to your left. 11 Do you recognize that document? 12 The Trust Agreement? Α. 13 Yes, ma'am. Q. 14 Yes. Α. 15 And is the property, Unit 3807, held by that trust? Q. 16 Yes. Α. Take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 1. That would be 17 0. 18 the black binder. Sotheby's "As Is" Residential Contract. 19 20 Q. Yes, ma'am. It's Exhibit Number 1. When you grab the tab, 21 it's behind Exhibit Number 1. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Prior to the lawsuit in this case, had you ever seen that document before? 24

25

Α.

No.

- 1 Q. Did you ever authorize Sean Joseph to execute that document?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Did you ever give Mary authority to execute that document?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 | Q. Did you ever give your sister, Mary, authority to authorize
- 6 | Sean Joseph to execute that contract?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 | Q. Turn to Exhibit Number 3 in the black binder, please. Let
- 9 me ask you this question before you do that. Go back to Number
- 10 | 1.
- 11 Looking at Line Number 1, is that your and your
- 12 sister's names on the parties line?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And does TRS stand for trustee to your knowledge?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Take a look at Exhibit Number 3. Do you know what that
- 17 document is?
- $18 \parallel A$. A lease for the apartment.
- 19 | Q. And did you have a discussion with your sister relative to
- 20 | this lease? And I think the date is on the second page on the
- 21∥ right.
- 22 | A. I didn't have a discussion specifically with my sister on
- 23 | this lease. I knew -- Mary and I had discussed that Mary is
- 24 going to be leasing the apartment on our behalf and we had
- 25 discussed there was first -- I'm not sure which lease this is.

- There was one lease and then a second lease and the second lease
 was renewed. So I never saw the leases, but I was fully aware
 and in full agreement that Mary, on our behalf, was either
- 4 signing directly or giving Sean Joseph permission to go into a
- 5 Lease Agreement with the shorter term lease and then Mary and I
- 6 | had decided at the time that it was better that the condominium
- 7 | be leased again and so we agreed that it would be leased again
- 8 and that was a year lease and that was renewed.
- $9 \parallel Q$. Okay. Take a look at Exhibit Number 7. Do you recognize
- 10 | that document?
- 11 \parallel A. This is the -- give me one second. This is the document to
- 12 do some improvements on the condominium.
- 13 \parallel Q. And had you discussed this document with your sister, Mary?
- 14 A. Again, Mary and I were, we happen to be together this time.
- 15 I am not sure about this date. So we met with the contractor
- 16 and decided that we would do some improvements to the
- 17 condominium but she was signing on our behalf.
- 18 | Q. Does this writing in paragraphs one, two, three, four, and
- 19 | eight appear to be that of your sister's?
- 20 A. The printing?
- 21 | Q. Yes.
- $22 \parallel A$. No, I don't know. I can't recognize that it is or it is
- 23 | not.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Do you know if she filled this out or the contractor
- 25 | did?

- $1 \parallel A$. I only know that she said that he did but I wouldn't know.
- 2 | Q. And that's her signature at the bottom left, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And you knew she was signing this document, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. One second. And you never gave -- Let me ask you this:
- 7 THE COURT: Don't ask leading questions. Who, what,
- 8 when, where, how, those are nonleading questions.
- 9 ∥ BY MR. S0T0:
- 10 | Q. In any of the counteroffers or agreements that have been
- 11∥ entered into evidence in this matter, did you give your
- 12 | authority to sign any of them?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 MR. SOTO: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 | BY MR. HARRIS:
- 18 | Q. Ms. Sherman, it's true, isn't it, that you and your sister
- 19∥ never had any written or signed agreement to allow one trustee
- 20 to act without the other trustee as to any task or act, correct?
- 21 | A. I believe so.
- 22 | Q. That's true, right, there's no written agreement?
- 23 A. No.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And you and Mary essentially speak on a daily basis,
- 25 | correct?

- $1 \mid A$. Yes.
- 2 0. Now, despite the nonexistence of a written agreement, you
- 3 nonetheless generally allowed Mary to handle the day-to-day
- 4 operations of the condo as well as trust income and related
- 5 | financial transactions regarding the trust assets, correct?
- 6 A. It depends what you mean by that. I was pretty much aware
- $7 \parallel$ of what went on, not to the specifics. I obviously did know
- 8 | that there was rent being paid, and I did know that that rent
- 9 was being used for the expenses of the condominium. Did I know
- 10 | the rent was approximately \$3,000? Yes. Do I know what exactly
- 11 the outgoing checks are? No, I do not, nor do I know the dates.
- 12 | I did not know the details. I do know the generalities.
- 13 | Q. Okay. Well, you're talking about lease payments?
- $14 \parallel A$. In this case, yes, just as an example.
- 15 | Q. Okay. So the lease payments are trust assets, right?
- 16 A. The rent.
- $17 \parallel Q$. Right, the payments that come in, that's trust income.
- $18 \parallel A$. I believe they go into the trust, yes.
- 19 | Q. Okay.
- 20 | A. And they're used to offset expenses.
- 21 | Q. Right. And the Trust Agreement you understand requires you
- 22 | to act by majority between you and your sister, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And that would apply to any disposition of trust property,
- 25 ∥ wouldn't it?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- $2 \parallel Q$. So what kind of access do you have to the lease payments?
- 3 A. I don't have access. Should I need -- what would I need
- 4 | access for?
- 5 | Q. Well, don't they go into Mary Shechtman's personal bank
- 6 | account?
- $7 \parallel A$. I don't know what bank account they go into.
- 8 | Q. Right. You don't even know what account they go into?
- $9 \parallel A$. I believe that they are in a TD account.
- $10 \parallel Q$. Right. And no rights to access, to look at that account or
- 11 | withdraw funds from that account, anything?
- 12 | A. I don't have a right or not a right. I could if I wanted
- 13 | to. I could if I felt there was something untoward.
- 14 | Q. Well, your name is not on the account, correct?
- 15 \parallel A. To the best of my knowledge, it is not.
- 16 | Q. So you don't have a right legally to withdraw funds?
- 17 ∥ A. Legally, no, I do not.
- $18 \parallel Q$. And in fact, you don't track the money and how it's spent
- 19 out of that account; correct? Correct?
- 20 | A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. So it's true that you essentially allow Mary to manage the
- 22 | financial affairs of the trust, correct, on a day-to-day basis?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Okay. So you said you and Mary speak on a daily basis,
- 25 | right?

∥A. Correct.

- $2 \parallel Q$. She did not do anything regarding the trust or the trust
- 3 property without conferring with you, right?
- $4 \parallel A$. Specifically writing a check? No, she did not confer with
- 5 | me with each and every check. Generally speaking, I am aware
- 6 | that she wrote checks or writes checks in order to ensure that
- $7 \parallel$ we are in good standing regarding the condominium.
- $8 \parallel Q$. My question was, she did not do anything regarding the trust
- 9 or the trust property without conferring with you, right?
- 10 | A. We confer. We confer regarding the property, yes. Is that
- 11 | the answer? I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I apologize.
- $12 \parallel Q$. I'll slow it down.
- 13∥A. Try again. No, you don't have to slow it down. I just -
- 14 | Q. Mary did not do anything regarding the trust or the trust
- 15 property without conferring with you.
- 16 A. That is correct.
- $17 \parallel Q$. So when Mary alone signed the Notice of Commencement, she
- 18 | had your authority to do that, correct?
- 19 $\|$ A. Yes, she did.
- 20 | Q. And you never had a written agreement giving Mary rights to
- 21∥ sign that on your behalf, correct?
- 22 | A. No, we were there together.
- 23 | Q. Okay. You never had a written agreement with Mary -- I'm
- 24 | sorry. When Mary alone signed and initialed her name, or
- 25 directed Joseph to do so, to the Lease Agreement commencing on

- September 15, 2013, she had your authority to execute that agreement on behalf the co-trustees as well, correct?
- 3 A. I did not know the date. I wouldn't know the exact date
- 4 | but --
- 5 Q. I'm asking for the commencement period, starting on
- 6 | September 15, 2013.
- $7 \parallel A$. To be honest with you, I didn't even know that it started in
- 8 | September or October, but we absolutely did discuss that Mary is
- 9∥ going to rent it out under the Trust Agreement on our behalf,
- 10 ∥ yes.
- 11 | Q. Right. So the existing lease, we don't need to get into
- 12 dates, there's an existing lease on the property, right?
- $13 \parallel A$. Correct, the renewal.
- 14 | Q. Okay. So when Mary alone signed and initialed, or directed
- 15 Sean Joseph to sign and initial her name to it and initials, to
- 16 that Lease Agreement, she had your authority to do that,
- 17 correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- $19 \parallel Q$. And there was no written agreement between you and Mary
- 20 setting forth her right to do that on your behalf, correct?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. That is correct what I said?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And when she signed her name and initials, or
- 25 instructed Joseph to do so, to the two counteroffers that were

- sent to the plaintiffs in early February 7, 2013, she also had your authority, correct?
- $3 \parallel A$. I think this is where we have a problem.
- $4 \parallel Q$. So your answer is no?
- $5 \parallel A$. The answer is that Mary never signed anything.
- 6 | Q. Okay. But Joseph signed her name and initials, would you
- 7 | concede that, to the counteroffers that were delivered to the
- 8 | plaintiffs?
- $9 \parallel A$. I know that now, yes.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And you had authorized Mary to sign those names and
- 11 | initials, correct?
- 12 \parallel A. I never authorized Mary to sign anything. Mary and I had a
- $13 \parallel$ conversation about the potential sale of the conditional unit.
- 14 | Q. Well, you had agreed to allow her to sell the property for
- $15 \parallel 1.3$ million, right?
- 16 | A. I think it was 1.3 million plus and I believe that there
- 17 | were several aspects that had to be met for the sale of the
- 18 property. One of them was a minimum price of 1.3 million. The
- 19 other one was a replacement property. The third need being that
- 20 | it had to be somewhere in the same vicinity. There had to be,
- 21 you know, references to timelines and there was also some issues
- 22 | -- there were issues -- not issues. There were potential issues
- 23 | regarding we wanted to investigate, and I specifically wanted to
- 24 | investigate. I wasn't sure what the taxation issues are,
- 25 ∥ because we are Canadians.

- $1 \parallel Q$. Okay. And you don't know of any specific taxation issues?
- $2 \parallel A$. I do not.
- 3 | Q. And you never actually --
- $4 \parallel A$. But one wants to investigate before you sell something that
- 5 | it is -- that it's appropriate for you to sell it and not to
- 6 | your detriment.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Okay. But you never actually had any discussions with any
- 8 | lawyers or accountants regarding those taxation issues?
- $9 \parallel A$. I did not.
- 10 | Q. And Mary didn't either?
- 11 | A. I do not know.
- 12 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, she did not?
- 13 \parallel A. To the best of my knowledge, I don't think she did.
- 14 | Q. Okay. And isn't it true that you had a discussion with Sean
- 15 | Joseph, your real estate agent, where you had discussed those
- 16 parameters that you're talking about?
- 17 | A. I don't believe I ever had a conversation with Sean.
- 18 | Q. So it's your testimony that you did not have a conversation
- 19 with Sean Joseph regarding the parameters that you were
- 20 | requiring for the sale or attempted sale of the condo unit?
- 21 A. I think I already said that I don't believe that I had a
- 22 conversation with Sean to the best of my recollection.
- 23 | Q. Okay. I'd like to look at your deposition testimony, which
- 24 | is 31.
- 25 A. Is that in the white?

- $1 \parallel Q$. In the black binder.
- 2 A. And it's Number 31?
- 3 0. Yes.
- $4 \parallel A$. On what page is that?
- 5 \ Q. Page 26, Line 14. Are you there?
- 6 A. Not yet. Shortly.
- 7 | Q. Sure. 26, Line 14. Question:
- $8 \parallel A$. Can you give me a moment, please?
- $9 \parallel Q$. I'm sorry?
- 10 | A. Can you give me a moment, please?
- 11 | Q. Sure.
- 12 | A. It says here that I stated that I did have a conversation
- 13 ∥ and that I don't actually --
- 14 \parallel Q. I haven't asked my question yet.
- 15 A. Okay. Sorry.
- 16 \parallel Q. So let me ask you question.
- 17 | A. Sure.
- $18 \parallel 0$. Line 14:
- 19 "Question: And how about with respect to the purchase
- 20 transaction that's the subject of the lawsuit that we're
- 21 here for today, did you have specific discussions with
- 22 Mr. Joseph regarding any of those negotiations?
- 23 Answer: Not the negotiations, no, but the
- 24 parameters --"
- 25 | 21: "Question: Okay.

- 22: "Answer: -- I think at one point."
- 2 And if you look over on Page -- do you see that?
- $3 \parallel A$. I see that.

- 4 | Q. Is that true testimony?
- 5 A. That is my testimony. And I believe that I said here, I
- 6 | think at one point because I was not certain at that time
- 7∥ either, nor am I certain now, whether I did or did not have a
- 8 conversation with Sean Joseph.
- 9∥Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And then I said, "sorry. I have no idea."
- 11 | Q. So your testimony is you thought you did, but you weren't
- 12 | sure?
- $13 \parallel A$. I'm neither sure now, nor was I sure then.
- 14 | Q. You're not sure but you thought you had at one point?
- 15 A. I'm not sure. I don't think yes or no. I'm not sure.
- 16 | Q. I'm sorry, Line 22, "I think at one point."
- $17 \parallel A$. I think at one point, but I'm not certain.
- $18 \parallel Q$. Okay. So your not certain, but you think you did, right?
- 19 A. I am not certain.
- 20 | Q. Let's look at Page 28, Line 3. It should be right there.
- "Question: Okay. Do you remember any specific
- 22 discussions, whether they be by phone or face to face, that
- 23 you had with Mr. Joseph regarding negotiation of any deal or
- 24 exchange of offers regarding the subject property?
- 25 | "Answer: I have no recollection as to the offers.

```
1
        I don't think that -- no, I have no recollection."
2
             "Question: Okay. So the discussion was only about the
 3
        parameters, right?"
             "Answer: (Nod in the positive.)"
 4
             So I ask again: "Sorry. Yes?
5
6
             "Answer: Yes."
7
             Was that truthful testimony?
8
       If you continue reading it, it says, "You think it was over
    the phone or email, " and I said -- and I answered, "I would
    guess," because I actually have no recollection now, nor did I
10
11
    then know whether or not I actually did have a conversation with
12
    him, whether it was by phone or email. I have no recollection
13
    now, nor did I then.
             And you said, "Do you remember a phone call?" "I am
14
        afraid not." "Do you have a clear recollection [sic]? I do
15
        not."
16
17
        So your testimony now is that you have no clear recollection
    of discussing the parameters with Mr. Joseph? That's your
18
19
   testimony?
20
       That is correct, nor did I then.
    Α.
21
       Okay. Let's go down to Page 29, Line 3:
    Q.
22
             "Question: But you don't have a specific recollection
23
        of a discussion where you set forth those parameters and
24
        communicated that to Mr. Joseph?
25
             "Answer: Of how I communicated, no.
```

"Question: Okay. 1 2 "Answer: As to when, no. 3 "Okay. But as to what? 4 "Answer: As to what, yes." 5 And then you proceeded to discuss the parameters, 6 correct? Isn't it true, Ms. Sherman, that you had a discussion 7 with Mr. Joseph --Could you repeat, please? 8 Α. -- regarding the specific parameters? 9 0. I'm telling you --10 11 THE COURT: You've got to read or ask the question 12 slowly. You cannot interrupt each other; otherwise, you're 13 going to get tried of hearing my voice. I can't believe you're not tired already. I'm tired of my own voice. 14 15 MR. HARRIS: Sorry, Your Honor. THE COURT: You must be really tired. 16 17 Okay. Go ahead. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sure it's frustrating for 19 you, but unfortunately, I have no recollection. 20 BY MR. HARRIS: 21 Okay. But isn't your testimony here on Page 29 --22 That is correct, that is what it says here on the printed Α. 23 page. And I believe at some point, either before or after, I 24 said to you that I was extremely confused at this point, that I 25 was being turned around and that I under -- I was feeling that I

- didn't know what I was saying any longer. And I am telling --
- 2 | Q. And --

- 3 A. Excuse me.
- 4 0. Go.
- A. Thank you. So what I'm saying here is, I understand what this says. You are correct in what it says. I don't disagree with what this says.

What I am saying to you, at this point, is that I do not now, nor did I then, recollect whether I did or did not have a conversation with Mr. Joseph. That's number one.

Number two is that the fact that, in fact, by Page 29, I may have even said that at this point, that I was so confused by then, that I did not know whether or not I had or had not had a conversation. But I can tell you then and now that had I had a conversation, if I had a conversation, which I do not recall having that conversation, or not recall that conversation, I'm doing the best that I can here. I really am, but I cannot help that I do not recall.

And I am simply saying to you, had I had the conversation, I would have most definitely set out the rules or the reasons or the needs and necessities in order to make the sale go through, in order to make any potential offer come to fruition, but I do not know now -- and you can ask me as many times as you'd like, I honestly don't remember.

Q. But in this testimony we looked at on Page 29, you said you

```
1
    didn't remember as to the when, right --
2
        I'm not disagreeing with your question.
    Α.
        -- or the how it was communicated?
3
 4
             THE COURT: You can't interrupt. Please, do not
5
    interrupt each other.
6
             Is it your goal to convince her or convince me?
7
             MR. HARRIS: To convince you, Your Honor.
8
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. HARRIS: I'll move on, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: How much longer do you think you have?
10
11
             MR. HARRIS: Not very long, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: I don't know what that means. Length is
13
    like beauty, you know.
14
             MR. HARRIS: Five minutes or less.
             THE COURT: How much?
15
             MR. HARRIS: I doubt five minutes.
16
17
             THE COURT: Oh, that will be short.
    BY MR. HARRIS:
18
19
       Wasn't it your position at the time that you were discussing
20
    the $1.3 million offer that the decision to sell the property
21
   was Mary's to make as long as the price fell within your
22
    reasonable parameters that you all had discussed?
23
   A. Can you repeat that?
24
       Wasn't it your position at the time you decided to agree to
   the $1.3 million price that the decision to sell the property
```

was Mary's to make as long as the price fell within those 1 2 parameters that you all had discussed? 3 A. I believe that I -- there is no question that Mary and I have these conversations and make these decisions together. We 4 have to weigh the pros and the cons. One of the parameters, one 5 6 of the conditions would be that there would be a minimum price 7 of 1.3 million. There were other circumstances and other requirements that needed to be met. 8 Q. Let me stop you, because, my question is not what the 10 parameters were. 11 THE COURT: Oh, you don't stop a witness, either. You 12 can't stop the witness. 13 MR. HARRIS: I'd just like her to ask the question that I asked, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, she's answering it. Go ahead. MR. HARRIS: Sorry. 16 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. Finish your answer. 18 THE WITNESS: That there were other requirements that 19 needed to be met in order to have this come to fruition. Ι 20 apologize if I didn't answer your question correctly. 21 BY MR. HARRIS: 22 Q. No need to apologize. Let me ask it again. 23 Wasn't it your position at the time that you agreed to 24 sell the property or that you were okay with the price, the \$1.3

million price, that the decision to sell the property was Mary's

```
1
   to make as long as the price fell within those parameters that
   you had discussed?
2
3
       The decision?
    Α.
 4
             MR. SOTO: No. Go ahead.
5
             THE WITNESS: As I've already stated, we made these
   decisions. We had these conversations together. There was
6
7
    actually no decision that ever needed to be made because there
   was no contract that we needed to decide for. Okay, here is the
8
   contract. We have to decide, are we going to accept it or not?
   That never came to fruition. That's first of all.
10
11
             Second of all, even had that contract materialized or
12
    been presented to us, the other portions of the need for the
    sale of the condominium, those requirements were not met.
13
    Q. Okay. I would like you to look at Page 31 of your
14
   deposition testimony. Line 1 -- let's go to Line 25, on Page
15
16
    30. Let's start at Line 23. "I know that Mary --
17
   Α.
       Page 30?
18
    0.
       Okay. Line 21, Page 30:
19
             "Question: Okay. So what other parameters, other than
20
        Mary needing a replacement place?
21
             "Answer: I know that Mary wanted to have a certain
        asking price at minimum."
22
23
             25:
             "And what was that price?"
24
25
             Page 31, Line 1:
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sherman - Cross

```
Well, I don't remember if I knew it then, but
         "Answer:
    I certainly know now that the minimum was 1.3 million.
         "Question: And you were fine with that at the time,
    right?
         "Answer: Yes.
         "Question: I mean, it sounds like it was really Mary's
    decision to make.
         "Go ahead."
         "The Witness," this is your answer:
         "It's Mary's decision within reasonable parameters.
    It's not going to be a hundred thousand dollars and it's not
    going to be $10 million. It's Mary's reasonable decision
    within certain -- you know, whatever the reasonable market
    value was and what she needed in order to service her
    parameters and her goals."
         Is that true testimony?
    That is correct.
Α.
0.
    Okay.
   May I speak?
Α.
    I am sure your counsel can ask you further questions.
0.
Α.
    Sure.
   And Mary had your authority to provide Mr. Joseph with
instructions regarding the sale of the property based on the
conversations that you and Mary had previously had, right?
```

To have conversations with Sean regarding investigating the

- 1 potential sale of the condominium.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Isn't it true that Mary had your authority to provide
- 3 Mr. Joseph with instructions regarding the sale of the property
- 4 | based on the conversations that you and Mary had previously had?
- 5 A. I thought I answered that. I think that Mary and I had
- 6 conversations regarding speaking with Mr. Joseph to see if we
- 7 | would come -- be able to investigate whether or not we would be
- 8 able to come to fruition or come to some understanding based on
- 9 all of our requirements for the sale of the condominium.
- $10 \parallel Q$. And my question is Mary had that authority to give those
- 11 discussions to Mr. Joseph, correct, regarding the sale of the
- 12 property?
- 13 | A. And keep me apprised; that is correct.
- 14 | Q. In fact, Mary had your authority for everything she did in
- 15 | connection with the trust property, including any action she
- 16 took in connection with the attempted sale that's the subject of
- 17 | this lawsuit that we're here for today, correct?
- 18 A. She had my agreement.
- 19 | Q. She had your authority, correct?
- 20 | A. To sign? To do what? To sell it, and then all of a sudden,
- 21 one day she would come to me and say the property is sold? That
- 22 ∥ is not correct.
- 23 | Q. Mary had your authority for everything she did in connection
- 24 with the trust property, including any action she took in
- 25 connection with the attempted sale that's the subject of this

```
lawsuit that we're here to discuss today, correct?
 1
 2
                        Objection, Your Honor, asked and answered.
             MR. SOTO:
 3
             THE COURT: It has been asked and answered, so wrap it
 4
    up.
 5
    BY MR. HARRIS:
 6
       Let's look at Page 56 of your deposition testimony, please.
 7
    Are you there, Page 56?
       May I have a moment?
 8
    Α.
 9
    0.
        Sure.
10
             Line 22:
                       "Okay. Did Mary ever act under the Trust
11
        Agreement without your authority?
12
             "Answer: To my knowledge, no.
13
             "Question: And that would include --
        What line are you on?
14
    Α.
15
    Q.
        Line 22, Page 56.
16
    Α.
        Thank you.
17
        "Question: Okay. Did Mary ever act under the trust
    Q.
18
        agreement without your authority?
19
             "Answer:
                      To my knowledge, no.
20
             "Question: And that would include any actions she took
21
        in connection with the attempted sale that's the subject of
22
        this lawsuit that we're here for today?
             "Answer: Correct."
23
24
             Is that truthful testimony?
25
        That's correct.
```

```
1
             MR. HARRIS: No further questions, Your Honor.
             THE COURT:
2
                         Redirect.
 3
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MR. SOTO:
4
5
      Ms. Sherman, did you ever give your authority to anyone to
6
   enter into the agreement that is set forth in Exhibit Number 1?
7
       I did not.
    Α.
8
             MR. SOTO:
                       Thank you. No further questions.
9
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you, ma'am.
10
             THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: Anything else on behalf of the defendant
12
    trust and the trustees as representatives of the trust?
13
             MR. SOTO: No, Your Honor. The defense rests.
14
             THE COURT: Anything in rebuttal?
15
             MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. How much time do you want for
17
    closing argument as a plaintiff?
             MR. SOTO: Your Honor, defendant would --
18
19
             THE COURT: The plaintiff, I'm sorry. My voice trailed
20
          I apologize.
    off.
21
             You can sit -- she can sit down. Go ahead. Then make
    room for her.
22
23
             MS. SHERMAN: Thank you.
24
             THE COURT: You're welcome.
25
             MR. HARRIS: If I say 30 minutes, I'm sure I won't take
```

```
1
    that much, but certainly, it would be no more than 30 minutes.
             THE COURT: What say the defense?
 2
 3
                        I agree with that.
             MR. SOTO:
             THE COURT: Then it won't be more than 30 minutes.
 4
 5
             Okay. And then I've got to give my court reporter a
   midmorning break, right, at 12:10. So why don't you all come
 6
 7
    back at 1:45. All right?
             MR. SOTO: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
             MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. See you then.
11
             THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
       (There was a luncheon recess.)
12
13
14
                           AFTERNOON SESSION
       (The following proceedings were held at 2:15 p.m.:)
15
16
                         Plaintiff, you want to split your closing
             THE COURT:
17
    any particular way?
18
             MR. HARRIS: You are saying for 30 minutes, split it
19
   up?
20
             THE COURT: Oh, yeah, not in addition to 30 minutes.
21
    Yes, just split it, I said; not add.
22
             MR. HARRIS: How about 25 minutes?
23
             THE COURT: Whatever you want. Okay. 25 and 5.
24
             MR. HARRIS: Reserve five. Okay.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. All righty. Let's go. I'm ready.
```

She's ready.

CLOSING ARGUMENTS

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, the testimony presented in this case makes clear that there was a binding contract. On February 7, 2013, plaintiffs received from the defendants' real estate agent, Mr. Sean Joseph, a counteroffer, executed by co-trustee defendant, Mary Shechtman, on behalf of the co-trustees to the plaintiffs. And we had testimony from the plaintiffs on that and the Exhibit 1 and 22. We also had the pretrial stipulation and the answer and affirmative defenses.

That counteroffer, which is embodied in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1, is complete and sets forth the essential terms of a real estate sales contract. In particular, it identifies the property be sold and the purchase price of \$1.3 million and obligates the plaintiffs to pay that price and the seller to convey the property to the plaintiffs, among other things. I submit that the exhibit speaks for itself as to all the terms.

On February 7, 2013, the plaintiffs accepted that counteroffer by initialling the changes to the purchase price, by making -- I'm sorry. On February 7, 2013, the plaintiffs accepted that counteroffer by initialing the changes to the purchase price and returning it to the defendants. That same day, the plaintiffs wired the \$50,000 initial deposit, required by the contract, into escrow. We heard testimony from Mr. Santidrian and Joanne Katsantonis on that.

As we notified the Court, under Florida law, a contract is complete upon mailing the acceptance. So the acceptance was mailed on February 7, 2013 to the defendants by email.

The defendants breached the contract. It is undisputed that the defendants repudiated and refused to honor the contract and to perform the sellers' obligations thereunder. Plaintiffs nonetheless continued to attempt to complete the contract, including by arranging for an appraisal, which defendants refused to allow in violation of Paragraph 18L of the contract, which requires the seller to provide access to the property in advance of the closing for appraisals, walk-throughs and the like.

In addition, there was testimony and emails showing that the defendants completely disavowed the contract and contested that there was no contract.

Defendants' defense in this case is that they never authorized the February 7, 2013 counteroffer to be made.

Disregard actual authority to enter into any agreement for the sale of land may be conferred by a principal upon an agent by parol evidence. It may also be implied from the facts, conduct and circumstances, and we have cited cases in our summary judgment opposition brief, Pages 10 through 15, regarding that issue.

Implied authority may be conferred by a course of dealing between the principal and the agent. Implied authority

may also be conferred upon an agent by the principal's acquiescence.

In this regard, the Court has respectfully referred to the case of FDIC versus Barrasso, an Eleventh Circuit opinion. And in that case, a principal conferred authority upon his otherwise unauthorized agent to encumber real property by signing a mortgage on behalf of the principal because the principal had allowed the agent to handle all of the business' financial affairs for years without protest, which is similar to the testimony you heard today about defendant Sherman allowing Ms. Shechtman to manage the finances, the trust property, the trust assets, specifically the trust income and the bank account and to dispose of it unilaterally.

So the defendants argue that the defendants did not authorize the February 7th, 2013 counteroffer. In this regard, the Court has heard testimony from the two defendants and their real estate agent, Mr. Joseph. Mr. Joseph's testimony was not credible. It was belied by his sworn deposition testimony in this case.

THE COURT: What did he say about the signatures and the initials?

MR. HARRIS: In deposition, he said --

THE COURT: No, at trial, what did he say? Because that's the substantive evidence. Remember, the deposition testimony is just to attack his credibility. It's a prior

inconsistent statement, perhaps, or a prior consistent
statement, but it is not substantive evidence. What did he say
here at trial?

MR. HARRIS: He said that he signed Mary Shechtman's
name and initials to the document without having her authority.

THE COURT: And that was consistent with what Ms. Shechtman said.

MR. HARRIS: Today, yes. And both of the two of them, in making those claims, recanted their sworn deposition testimony entirely to the point where Mr. Joseph conceded that he had given false testimony in his deposition under oath.

So Ms. Shechtman's testimony was similarly not credible, in that, by and large, it contradicted her prior sworn testimony regarding, among other things, whether she had authorized Mr. Joseph to issue counteroffers on behalf of the co-trustees. She tried to recant her deposition testimony on the claim that she had been confused at deposition. Shechtman did admit, though, that she had Sherman's approval for everything she did with respect to the trust property.

Ms. Sherman, likewise, testified that Shechtman had Sherman's authority for everything that she did in connection with the trust property, including any actions she took in connection with the attempted sale that's the subject of this lawsuit.

More specifically, she testified that Shechtman had

Sherman's authority to provide Sean Joseph with instructions regarding the sale of the property based on conversations that she and Shechtman had previously had. She also testified that she allowed Shechtman to handle the financial affairs of the trust and conceded that the decision to sell the property was ultimately Shechtman's to make, provided it fell within the parameters they had previously discussed and that she had agreed with the \$1.3 million price.

The Court was also shown defendants' pleadings and pretrial stipulations in which defendants asserted and agreed, contrary to the testimony you just asked me about from Mr. Joseph, that Shechtman signed the February 7th, 2013 counteroffer. These admissions should be considered binding upon the defendants or at a minimum, at least, persuasive as to whether Shechtman actually authorized the February 7th, 2013 counteroffer.

We submit that the foregoing evidence clearly establishes that the counteroffer was made with actual authority, both expressed and implied.

In terms of implied authority, the evidence further shows that the defendants through acquiescence -- well, I already covered the fact that there was acquiescence in the handling of the trust affairs. The evidence also showed implied authority by a course of conduct.

In this regard, the testimony showed that Shechtman

signed a number of legal documents on behalf of both co-trustees, including what the defendants considered to be a binding Lease Agreement that, just like the counteroffer at issue in this case, lists the same owner entity as Mary Reich Shechtman TRS; Honey Sherman TRS, as the property owner and just like the counteroffer at issue in this case was only signed with Mary Shechtman's name on behalf of both co-trustees.

Now, even if the counteroffer was made by an unauthorized agent, that is, without actual authority expressed or implied, the contract is still binding upon the defendants under the doctrines of apparent authority and ratification.

Apparent authority requires a representation by words, actions or appearances created by the principal, a reasonable reliance on that representation by the plaintiffs and a change in the plaintiffs' position detrimentally based on that representation.

In that regard, the Court heard -- we had discussed yesterday the Benson v. Seestrom case which found that where parties deal exclusively through their real estate agents, the real estate agents are cloaked with apparent authority to bind their principals to a real estate contract.

So the representation was the transmission of a counteroffer from Sean Joseph to the plaintiffs on February 7, 2013.

THE COURT: But you would still have to prove, would

1 you not, that Ms. Shechtman asked her Realtor to do what he did? 2 No? 3 MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: So in other words, if a Realtor, on his 5 own, fakes everything without the principal knowing anything 6 about it, they're still responsible? 7 MR. HARRIS: There's probably an extreme case where you 8 could make that argument, but here, we have the defendants who were engaging and discussing with Sean Joseph every day and where they sit back idly and don't protest, they've allowed him 10 11 to do a number of transactions on their behalf. They've allowed 12 him to sign their names or at least Mary Shechtman's name to 13 other legal documents. They never disavowed Mr. Joseph's authority until this litigation. In fact, their pleadings, 14 15 again, state and their joint pretrial stipulation state that 16 Shechtman signed the agreement. 17 So there's every --18 THE COURT: What do I do with that conflict, between 19 the pretrial stipulation and Ms. Shechtman's testimony and 20 Ms. Sherman's testimony, for that matter? MR. HARRIS: Well, the pretrial stipulation, as I read 21 22 to you, was an agreement between the parties that no proof would be required on those elements at trial. 23 24 THE COURT: So what do I do with this? 25 MR. HARRIS: You accept the pretrial stipulation and

1 vou --2 THE COURT: Though it's inconsistent with the actual 3 trial testimony? MR. HARRIS: Well, it's inconsistent with the trial 4 5 testimony from the defendants' agent who recanted his prior 6 deposition testimony and admitted it was false, and it's 7 inconsistent with trial testimony from Mary Shechtman, whose testimony, I submit, was also unreliable as she recounted 8 numerous instances in which she had previously said in deposition that she had authorized counteroffers to be made. 10 So 11 I submit that that evidence is unreliable. 12 So despite the fact that the pretrial stipulation 13 should be binding, any evidence submitted in this case to 14 contradict that evidence was completely unreliable. 15 THE COURT: What do I do with the absence of Honey 16 Sherman's signature or initials? What do I do with that? 17 MR. HARRIS: Well, I was explaining to Your Honor that there was a course of conduct, for one, where Mr. Joseph would 18 19 sign like the Lease Agreement that we looked at, the one of two 20 they provided. And, of course, they didn't provide both Lease 21 Agreements. They both agreed that's a binding agreement even 22 though Honey Sherman didn't sign it. 23 THE COURT: Another agreement? 24 MR. HARRIS: A Lease Agreement, yes, Your Honor,

25

Exhibit 3.

THE COURT: If you do it for one, a temporary lease, you're stuck for a permanent sale?

MR. HARRIS: That's evidence of a course of conduct, but more than that, we have Honey Sherman's testimony, fully apprised of the issues in this case at deposition in December of last year, that she agreed with absolutely everything Mary Shechtman did in connection with the trust property in this case, including with respect to the sales transaction with the plaintiffs.

In addition to apparent authority, we also have ratification and I submit that ratification -- wait one second, Your Honor. Forgive me, Your Honor.

The Eleventh Circuit has held in the doctrine of ratification, "A principal can ratify the authorized act of an agent purportedly done on behalf of the principal, either expressly or by implication, through conduct that is inconsistent with an intention to repudiate an unauthorized act."

Your Honor, we have a pleading, an affirmative defense in which the defendants assert the position that one of the two co-trustees signed the document. We also have the pretrial stipulation where --

THE COURT: What do I do with the second one not being there? You are not suggesting that Ms. Sherman signed it, are you?

```
1
             MR. HARRIS: Well, I'm suggesting that -- so they've
    already ratified Ms. Shechtman's signature. Ms. Sherman
2
3
    testified, fully apprised of the facts, that she authorized and
4
    agreed with everything that Mary had done, that they spoke on a
5
    daily basis, and both defendants, Mary Shechtman and Honey
6
    Sherman, the two sides are the same thing, that Mary Shechtman
7
    got Honey's approval for everything she did in connection with
8
    the trust property and that Honey Sherman gave her approval to
9
    everything that Mary Shechtman did.
             THE COURT: So she's kind of like a distant trustee.
10
11
             MR. HARRIS: That was her testimony, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT:
                         Do I believe that testimony?
13
             MR. HARRIS: You should believe that testimony.
                                                              It's
14
    what she said.
15
             THE COURT:
                         Is there any part of Ms. Sherman's
16
    testimony that you think is not credible?
             MR. HARRIS: Well, she eventually admitted, by and
17
    large, what she had said previously in deposition, but some of
18
19
    her explanations were --
20
             THE COURT:
                         She didn't remember.
21
             MR. HARRIS: She didn't remember or she might have been
22
    confused when she gave the testimony, although she still adopted
23
    the testimony, by and large, that she gave at deposition.
24
             THE COURT: So there are no credibility issues when it
25
    comes to her?
```

1 MR. HARRIS: I wouldn't say there were no credibility 2 issues. 3 THE COURT: Well, what credibility issues are there? 4 MR. HARRIS: Well, just the statement, protestation 5 that she had been confused about whether she had actually had 6 any discussions with Sean Joseph regarding the parameters that 7 they had agreed to in authorizing him and agreeing to sell the 8 property for the 1.3 million. 9 She had testified originally that she didn't recall 10 having ever spoken with Sean Joseph. That was trial testimony 11 today, but after showing her deposition, I think she conceded 12 that she actually did. 13 So even if no actual authority to issue a counteroffer was had, the defendants gave apparent authority for the 14 counteroffer and ratified it since. 15 16 Accordingly, the evidence is clear that the February 7, 2013 counteroffer was made with authority to bind the 17 18 defendants. 19 THE COURT: So what do you want me to do? 20 MR. HARRIS: That's where I'm at next, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. HARRIS: Having established the elements, requisite 23 elements of the contract and having demonstrated through trial 24 that there's no issue with the authority to make the offer,

plaintiffs are seeking specific performance in this case, that

```
1
    the contract is binding and the sale goes through.
 2
             In this regard, we would like you to enforce the
 3
    specific terms of the contract, and we would request that you
    provide for closing to occur by March 31, 2014.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: No one has brought this up, but I'm just
 6
   wondering, if you win and I do that, do we have any title
 7
    problems? You know think about this: What would a title
 8
    company do?
 9
             MR. HARRIS: About what?
10
             THE COURT: About the title to this property.
11
             MR. HARRIS: Well, we currently have a lis pendens on
12
    it.
         I believe we've done a title search, and I don't believe
13
    there are any clouds on the title.
14
             THE COURT: Well, how about this cloud? I guess it
   won't be there if I order it.
15
16
             MR. HARRIS: Correct.
             THE COURT: That would be better than a title
17
18
    insurance.
19
             MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
21
             MR. HARRIS: And I just wanted to point out, based on
22
    our earlier discussions in this case, Florida Fifth --
23
             THE COURT: Your clients, if you win, your clients
24
    could not back out either, could they?
25
             MR. HARRIS: No, they could not, Your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: If they backed out, they would lose the
2
    $50,000, right?
3
             MR. HARRIS: Well, yes. There are remedies
4
    specifically provided for the contract in the event of the
5
    default by either party.
6
             THE COURT: Which is an elegant way of saying they
7
   would lose 50 grand.
8
             MR. HARRIS: I believe that's the default remedy, yes.
9
    And I would point out that the contract provides --
10
             THE COURT: I'm a simple guy. I like to speak in plain
11
    English.
             MR. HARRIS: I would point out that the contract
12
13
    provides that in the event of a default by the sellers, specific
14
    performance is a remedy available to the plaintiffs under the
15
    contract.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. So this could happen, right? Let's
17
    say I rule in your favor -- excuse me -- and I order specific
    performance by March. You know, it's a year later from the
18
19
    original March. Let's say I stay it, require a supersedeas
20
          Mr. Soto says, I'm appealing. Judge, you're wrong on
21
    both factual and certainly on the legal issues. And it takes a
22
         There's a cloud on the title until I'm affirmed.
    year.
23
   Wouldn't you agree?
24
             MR. HARRIS: We would certainly have --
25
             THE COURT: I mean, I have a big ego. I seldom get
```

1 reversed, but it could happen, it could happen, and I don't take 2 offense at it. 3 MR. HARRIS: We, certainly, would not remove our lis 4 pendens. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So then nothing happens, right, 6 okay, for a year or whatever time it takes. So a year from now, 7 like I mentioned yesterday, with all the condos being built, at least here in the south side -- I haven't been to the north side 8 of the county, have you? 9 10 MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: You haven't even seen the condo? 12 MR. HARRIS: I have not seen the condo. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, look at that. Well, it's pretty built up, so I don't know if there's any room. But let's say 14 15 they replace some of the older condos and have all these other insurance disputes that I deal with, with Hurricane Wilma and 16 17 others, okay, to build a new one and they overbuild. In a year, 18 we have another market crash, which by the way, I am 19 unofficially predicting here, just by looking around where the 20 crane is again. It's a different crane. 21 So let's say that happens. I get affirmed after I rule 22 in your favor, but the condo instead of being worth more than 23 \$1.3 million, which is what your clients say it is, it's worth a 24 million dollars. And then the co-trustees sisters say, the

justice system is wonderful. We lost, but we won, right? Isn't

```
1
    that -- I mean, this could actually happen. We lost, but we are
2
   winning. And something happens, they want to move, you know.
3
   They want to go back to Canada. They want to go California,
4
   whatever they want to do.
5
             And then your clients say, oh, no, no. This is too low
6
    or this is underwater if we had a mortgage. Then what would
7
    happen? They couldn't back out, could they, unless they lost
    the 50 grand? So they could back out if it went low enough,
8
   where there's a $200,000 differential and they would just lose
    $50,000, right? That could actually happen. Would you agree?
10
11
                          I think that's within the realm of
            MR. HARRIS:
12
    possibilities.
13
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. I don't know whether
    when you guys go to mediation, people talk about things like
14
15
    that because I don't know how close you were. Everybody said
16
    you're far apart and you are still far apart. You don't even
    talk during trial, right?
17
18
             MR. HARRIS: No, that's not true, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: You do talk during trial?
20
            MR. HARRIS: Yes.
21
                        Do you listen? That's my hard part.
             THE COURT:
22
            MR. HARRIS: I can't really comment on it, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: You may get a pyrrhic victory, right?
    remember pyrrhic victory? You know, a pyrrhic victory doesn't
24
```

mean anything, that's actually worse.

```
1
             MR. HARRIS: I don't know that it's a pyrrhic victory
2
    enforcing the benefit of our bargain.
3
             THE COURT: Even if a year from now, the economy tanks.
 4
             MR. HARRIS: Oh, a pyrrhic victory. I've got it.
5
    That's where we win and it's really a bad loss?
6
             THE COURT: Yeah.
                                Okay.
7
             MR. HARRIS: But nonetheless, we're asking for specific
8
    performance.
9
             THE COURT: It's a gamble. And if I grant specific
10
    performance, I should dismiss the other two counts as
11
    duplicative?
12
             MR. HARRIS: Yes.
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
14
             MR. HARRIS: And if I could raise two authorities to
15
   Your Honor on specific performance because the issue came up
16
    yesterday and we pulled them.
17
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MR. HARRIS: The Fifth Circuit or the Fifth District
18
19
        Court of Appeals of Florida has said, "Since all land is
20
        considered unique" -- all land, that would include condos, I
21
        submit -- "since all land is considered unique, money
22
        damages to a contract purchaser of lands is an inadequate
23
        remedy at law."
24
             THE COURT: You don't want any money?
25
             MR. HARRIS: It's an inadequate remedy.
```

```
1
                         So you don't want any money?
             THE COURT:
2
             MR. HARRIS: We want specific performance.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         And no money?
 4
             MR. HARRIS:
                          Everyone wants money, Your Honor.
5
             THE COURT:
                         But you're not entitled to it?
6
             MR. HARRIS:
                          There may be some claim for special
7
    damages, but --
8
             THE COURT: Like what?
             MR. HARRIS: I don't know.
9
10
             THE COURT: You didn't prove any, right?
11
             MR. HARRIS:
                          I am not going to. I'm not asking.
12
             THE COURT:
                         So you're not winning that.
13
             MR. HARRIS:
                          Correct.
14
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
15
             MR. HARRIS:
                          The Eleventh Circuit similarly has said,
16
        In addition, given the unique nature of land, it is well
17
        established that money damages to a purchaser of land is
        inadequate."
18
19
             So we submit that specific performance is the
20
    appropriate remedy in this case, and that is our election.
21
    nonetheless, we believe we also presented evidence on the issue
22
    of actual damages suffered. In that regard, plaintiffs would
23
    seek, in the alternative, damages.
24
             Plaintiffs testified to their attempts and inability to
25
    purchase another 07 line unit. There were only eight units in
```

```
1
    the O7 line, above the 35th floor with unobstructed southeast
   views. And plaintiffs centered evidence is that currently
2
3
    there's only one on the market, 4007, listed at $1.95 million.
4
             With regard to the closed sales of the 07 line, the
5
    evidence showed two units: Unit 4207, which sold for 1.48
6
   million and Unit 4307, which sold for 1.45 million.
7
             THE COURT: Was that furnished or unfurnished? Is that
8
    a penthouse or non-penthouse? Do you remember? One is a
9
    penthouse.
10
             MR. HARRIS: I thought one was a penthouse.
11
             THE COURT: One is a penthouse.
12
             MR. HARRIS: I think 4307.
13
             THE COURT: The penthouse didn't have any flooring.
14
             MR. HARRIS: Correct. It was incomplete and it needed
15
    flooring and --
16
             THE COURT: See, you guys think I'm not listening.
17
    That's what I get paid for.
18
             MR. HARRIS: You remember better than I do.
19
             THE COURT: I've got to make the decision. You just
20
    have to advocate one way. See, that's the difference. Okay.
             MR. HARRIS: So plaintiffs estimate the additional cost
21
22
    to finish that would add $100,000 to that price to compare
23
    apples to apples. Accordingly, the comparable sales price of
24
    the 4307 unit, we submit, would be 1.55 million.
25
             Based on the closed sales comparables, same units, same
```

```
1
    line, same square footage, the damages, we submit, would be as
2
    follows:
3
             Because the sold units are as high as 1.55 million,
    less the sales price for the Unit 3807, agreed sale price of 1.3
4
5
    million, would result in a variance of 250,000. Plus lease
6
    payments, which are in round numbers, an estimated 6,000 per
7
    month for 12 months, that would get you to $72,000; less monthly
8
    assessment of $12,000, less taxes for 12 months, $18,000; would
    get 42,000 in lease damages. Adding the lease damages to the
10
    variance damage, we have a total of $292,000.
11
             THE COURT: How would you know you would lease it?
12
             MR. HARRIS: Well, it's been leased. We have been
13
    deprived of the benefit of the property.
14
             THE COURT: And all the apartments are leased.
                          I don't know. There could be owners.
15
             MR. HARRIS:
16
    mean, I don't know if there's availability or not, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: So these $40,000 is something you want in
    addition to specific performance, or instead?
18
19
             MR. HARRIS:
                          Instead, Your Honor. We want -- no.
20
             THE COURT:
                         You want 42,000 instead of specific
21
    performance? It's hard coming here, huh?
22
             MR. HARRIS: We want specific performance, to be clear,
23
    as our remedy.
             THE COURT: So what's this $40,000 about, $42,000?
24
25
             MR. HARRIS: This is the lease --
```

1 THE COURT: No, I know how you got to it. I remember 2 mentioning 40 grand the other day. 3 MR. HARRIS: If in some exercise of the Court's 4 discretion, you decide not to grant specific performance, then 5 we would want damages in the alternative, which would encompass 6 the 42,000 in rents, the 250,000 in the variance price, for a 7 total of \$292,000. 8 THE COURT: That's assuming the two other condos, the 9 penthouse one is comparable. And you would concede that a 10 penthouse is not comparable. 11 MR. HARRIS: I would follow the instructions given in 12 the two opinions that I read you, but all land is unique and 13 therefore, money damages --14 THE COURT: A penthouse is maybe a more unique than a 15 non-penthouse. 16 MR. HARRIS: It said all land is unique. I'm not sure 17 there are actually -- the appellate courts have not 18 distinguished between or to try to measure how unique a property 19 They say all land is unique. 20 Maybe this will be a chance for them to do THE COURT: 21 that. Look at that. 22 MR. HARRIS: Well, I hope you would take into consideration the value involved and not make that this case. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Thank you.
 1
2
             For the defense, Mr. Soto for the defense.
3
             MR. SOTO: Your Honor, we've heard testimony today,
4
    undisputed testimony from Joanne Katsantonis, Vivian
5
    Katsantonis; they did not have any communications with the
6
    defendants in this matter.
7
             THE COURT: Can you do it? In a real estate matter,
8
    you've got to talk with the owner; you cannot talk with the
9
    agents?
10
                             If it's a properly executed contract.
             MR. SOTO: No.
11
             THE COURT: You need a Power of Attorney?
12
             MR. SOTO: No.
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
14
             MR. SOTO: But the person actually has to sign.
15
             THE COURT: You cannot do it without signature?
16
             MR. SOTO:
                        Correct. That's what the statute of
   frauds --
17
18
             THE COURT: We have initials and signatures here, don't
19
   we?
20
             MR. SOTO: Correct. On the final page, if you initial
21
    it, you ratify it. That's why everybody always does it after
22
    the fact.
23
             THE COURT: Someone cannot sign for you?
24
             MR. SOTO: Unless they have a Power of Attorney.
25
             THE COURT: If I tell you, go ahead, husband and wife,
```

```
1
    husband cannot sign for the wife; the wife says, sure, honey,
2
    sign or me.
3
             MR. SOTO: Can't.
 4
             THE COURT: Sister can say, sure, honey, sign for me?
5
             MR. SOTO:
                        They can if they sign on the line that they
6
    are signing for that individual.
7
             THE COURT: They have to say I'm signing for that
    individual.
8
9
                        The Supreme Court in 1926 said -- a mother
             MR. SOTO:
10
    tried to sign a contract on behalf of her daughter and they said
11
    the contract is not effective even though the mother signed it
12
    and she had Power of Attorney because she didn't sign the
13
    contract with her name and the little initials as Power of
    Attorney for her daughter. So they found it ineffective.
14
             THE COURT: What do I do with all the Florida cases
15
16
    that say parol authority will authorize an agent to contract for
17
    his principal for the sale of realty? What do I do with all
    those cases that date back to World War I?
18
19
             MR. SOTO:
                        Judge, those cases are not the ones that are
20
    on trial in front of you.
21
             THE COURT: I know, but I've got to follow them.
22
    to follow Florida law.
23
             MR. SOTO: You do. And it has to be under the apparent
24
    authority, that there was some act done by the principal that
```

led the buyers in this case to rely upon that. There's no

evidence in front of you that they did anything. 1 2 THE COURT: What about the first offer for sale? What 3 about the conversations that they'll take nothing less than \$1.3 million? What do I do with all of that? 4 5 You are to disregard it, Judge. MR. SOTO: 6 THE COURT: Really? Even though they said it, at least 7 at the deposition. 8 MR. SOTO: They said it in email. 9 THE COURT: Well, and I disregard all of that? MR. SOTO: 10 Judge, you have to look at the email. The 11 email was sent to Sean Joseph. 12 THE COURT: The agent. 13 It was not sent to the buyers in this MR. SOTO: 14 matter. 15 THE COURT: But let's say what would happen here is one of the trustees says, Joseph, Mr. Joseph, go for it; try to get 16 17 as much as you can. Don't tell them immediately, but my floor is \$1.3 million, which, by the way, probably happens, I would 18 19 quess, on a daily basis, right? They said, I don't want to get 20 involved in all the messing around, the tinkering with the 21 numbers. I will not accept anything less than \$1.3 million even 22 though you're telling me this is only worth 1.2. I personally, 23 as the owner, will not accept less than 1.3 million. Go for it.

Try to get me more. Okay. Try to get me more. Don't tell

them, but do whatever you want and he goes back and forth and

24

```
says 1.4, 1.5, 1.3 and eventually 1.3. Would that bind your
 1
2
    clients?
3
             MR. SOTO: No.
 4
             THE COURT: It would not?
5
             MR. SOTO: No.
6
             THE COURT: Even if they said we agree?
7
             MR. SOTO:
                        If they said we agree and they signed the
8
    contract.
9
             THE COURT: Which case talks about a real estate
    transaction with an agent where someone said, under my
10
11
    hypothetical facts, go for it; and yet, the Florida State Court
12
    said, that's not enough, you've got to still put your John
13
    Hancock on it, no matter what you said, no matter what authority
    was represented, no matter where you were, if you don't put your
14
15
    magical signature, it's not good enough?
16
             MR. SOTO: Well, as I just stated, the Siler v. All Pro
17
    Realty Service, Inc. --
18
             THE COURT: Let me see it.
19
             MR. SOTO: 491 -- May I approach, Your Honor?
20
             THE COURT: Of course.
                                     Thank you.
21
             MR. SOTO: This is a Siler v. All Pro Realty Service,
22
    Judge.
            I don't presume to read for you, but if you take a look
23
    directly at the outcome, I think that tells you exactly what the
    case was about.
24
```

The Court reversed judgment in favor of appellant

tenant and persons with a remainder interest in certain real property because there was no valid sales contract entered between the appellees, buyer and real estate company, and appellants, to order specific performance.

The Court held that all parties having an interest in the subject property did not execute the contract, and the Power of Attorney for one appellant was invalid, even though she did not even sign it. That's July 17, 1986.

And if you'd like, Your Honor, I can also give you the Smith v. Shackleford case.

THE COURT: Well, in that case, the daughters had given their mother Power of Attorney, but the powers were canceled prior to execution.

MR. SOTO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So there seems to be something where you give the right to do something, but then it's withdrawn.

Doesn't that make a big difference?

MR. SOTO: It does in that particular case. They talked about it. But the Court went on to hold in that case, if you'll take a look at it, that they specifically state the mother did not even sign with the Power of Attorney moniker on the contract.

THE COURT: Well, there was no indication that she was signing as attorney-in-fact, but then look at this other sentence. "There was no testimony that the mother was holding

herself out as having the authority to sign on behalf of," in 1 2 that case is Mrs. Lanier. In fact, there was considerable 3 testimony that it had been necessary to mail the contract to 4 another lady who lived in California, other daughters. 5 MR. SOTO: And if you go on --6 THE COURT: Doesn't that make it different? 7 Here, the argument, at least from the plaintiffs, is, 8 this is all done in cahoots between Joseph and Shechtman with the approval of Sherman because she always approves what her 10 sister says. She's taking care of her, and she's the one who 11 does it as long as it's within the parameters of what they 12 discussed. 13 MR. SOTO: I understand what you're saying, Judge, and 14 I will direct you to Page 3. 15 THE COURT: I got it. 16 MR. SOTO: Left side, starting with finally, about the middle of the first paragraph. 17 18 "Finally, All Pro conceded that it did not THE COURT: 19 know about the powers of attorney until after the sellers 20 allegedly reneged on the deal. Hence, Coomes and All Pro 21 could not have relied on the mother's signature as binding, 22 Mrs. Lanier, at the signing of the contract since they did 23 not know about it at the time." Well, here --24 25 MR. SOTO: It goes to the apparent authority.

```
1
             THE COURT: But here, surely, the plaintiffs always
2
    believed, you will concede, that there was a deal? You'll
3
    concede that?
 4
             MR. SOTO: Yes.
5
             THE COURT: Maybe there wasn't a deal, but they
6
    believed there was a deal. Why else would they put in 50 grand?
7
    That's a lot of money.
8
             MR. SOTO: I concede that they thought there was a deal
9
    up until --
10
             THE COURT: That's different from this case, though,
11
         Here, they couldn't rely on it because they didn't even
12
    know about it.
13
             MR. SOTO: Yes.
14
             THE COURT: That what makes it different, doesn't it?
15
    Give me another case.
16
             MR. SOTO: But in our case, Your Honor, they're also
    saying that they relied upon something. The prior acts, the
17
18
    Notice of Commencement, the leases, they knew nothing about.
19
    They were never given representation from the principals.
20
             THE COURT: No, that I agree. I think that that may be
21
    more relevant, but you correct me if I'm wrong, to show what the
22
    relationship was between Mr. Joseph and the alleged sellers.
23
    Not to show that because they consented to a lease, obviously,
24
    they would consent to a sale. It's very different to lease
25
    something for six months or a year than to just give it up for
```

good.

I mean, you could actually say, go ahead and lease it.

What do I care as long as there's enough to pay the taxes, the

maintenance fee and a little extra? I don't really care. We've

got enough money. We're holding on to the condo for personal

reasons or for an investment or both.

That's how I see those as the course of conduct between the two defendant sisters, the co-trustees, how one did more than the other and the other one just said, go ahead. That's how I understood it.

Am I wrong?

MR. SOTO: And looking at that, Your Honor, if you will notice, they talk about it all the time. They talk about these decisions, and Honey testified that she gives her approval to do something or doesn't. She either pays some money to help --

THE COURT: Did she ever say that she never gave approval for something?

MR. SOTO: Yes, this contract.

THE COURT: Well, other than this contract for the trial. I know that.

MR. SOTO: The prior counteroffers that they say that we sent over that they gave approval for.

THE COURT: The thing is Ms. Shechtman said she didn't even know about the counteroffers, correct?

MR. SOTO: Correct.

1 THE COURT: She had no idea. MR. SOTO: Correct. The written counteroffers. 2 3 THE COURT: She had no idea. What do I do with that testimony? 4 5 MR. SOTO: No. She testified --6 THE COURT: She was shocked, she said, right? 7 MR. SOTO: Correct. 8 But she knew negotiations were going on. She did not 9 know written counteroffers and offers were going back and forth. 10 THE COURT: So it's just talk for like going out for 11 tea. You talk about the weather or go to a bar and talk about 12 sports, or the way we talk about politics: Let's fix the Middle 13 East thing. You know, we can talk about it. We have absolutely no influence. Was this what this was? 14 15 MR. SOTO: Well, Judge, that's interesting. Because sometimes when two people come together and they start talking 16 about something and say, hey, look, you know what? I've got a 17 boat. You like boats? You want to buy a boat? Sure. What 18 19 kind of a boat is it? It's a Sea Ray. Wow, sounds like a nice 20 boat. Yeah, I want to buy it, but can I look at it? Can I go 21 touch it? 22 THE COURT: But how about if someone said, I will not go below \$1.3 million for that boat. Go ahead and do something 23 24 about it. Your argument is that Mr. Joseph is a super rogue 25 Realtor.

```
1
             MR. SOTO: But, Your Honor, if you look at the email
2
    that was written, she says, the lowest I'm willing to go is 1.3
3
    and I need to have another place to live. She didn't say, Sean,
4
    the lowest I am willing to accept is 1.3 million. You know --
5
             THE COURT: What's the difference between the lowest
6
    I'm willing to go and the lowest I'm willing to accept?
7
             MR. SOTO: Because she's not saying that if you get me
    1.3 million, I'm selling, definitely. That was never said.
8
    There is the difference. Because when people talk --
10
             THE COURT: So why would Joseph do that?
11
             MR. SOTO: Because in his testimony, he said, I'm
12
    trying to keep the deal going.
13
             THE COURT: Of course, but the deal is over once he
    puts the initials and the signature. I mean, if they really are
14
15
    not in favor of it, he's going to get caught, you know.
16
             If now I go home and someone says, hey, they are moving
17
    into your house because of that contract. I would be shocked.
18
    And I would say, what's going on here, because there was
19
    absolutely no relationship whatsoever with the person who's
20
    selling my house.
21
             Here there's a relationship, isn't there?
22
             MR. SOTO: Judge, here's the very interesting part:
23
    There is 40,000 to be made on the commission for both real
24
    estate agents.
25
             THE COURT: Of course, they want a sale no matter what.
```

```
1
             MR. SOTO: And they're going to do a lot to try to keep
2
    those deals alive, a lot.
3
             THE COURT: But including finalizing the deal without
4
    consent? Like someone is going to say, I don't want to sell,
5
    but you got me. You know, you put my initials. So, you know
6
   what? Go ahead. Let me call my family. No, you guys can't use
7
    the condo. I'm giving my Realtor $40,000 because I feel sorry
8
    for him; he needs money; and I'll go find some other place to
           Is that credible?
    live.
10
             MR. SOTO:
                        But, Judge, don't you find it interesting --
11
             THE COURT: Oh, I find this case very interesting.
12
             MR. SOTO: But don't you find it interesting that
13
    Mr. Joseph came in here, told you, I made a mistake, basically
14
    throwing his 40,000 out the window.
15
             THE COURT: Oh, I don't think he expects that after
16
   what has happened here, but you can never tell. Query as to
   whether if --
17
             MR. SOTO: If you find the contract enforceable --
18
19
             THE COURT: He's entitled to that and he could sue
20
    them.
21
             MR. SOTO: He could.
22
             THE COURT: And you could sue on behalf of your
23
    client --
24
             MR. SOTO:
                        I could.
25
             THE COURT: -- for some fraud, too.
```

```
1
             MR. SOTO: They could sue him, too.
2
             THE COURT: Everybody could sue each other, yeah,
3
    you're right.
4
             MR. SOTO: We could be here for another couple of
5
   years.
6
             THE COURT: It won't be before me.
7
             MR. SOTO: Well, we may ask that inconsistent judgments
8
    and verdicts all be thrown together and we have fun. Maybe
9
   we'll go to a jury.
10
             THE COURT: Wonderful, but you're right.
11
             MR. SOTO: Here is the interesting part. This man came
12
    in here, said he did something wrong.
13
             THE COURT: Well, he didn't start out by saying that.
14
             MR. SOTO: No, he didn't.
15
             THE COURT: He ended up by saying that.
16
             MR. SOTO: But he did --
             THE COURT: By questioning from me and I was fairly
17
18
    aggressive.
19
             MR. SOTO: Apparently, you're a very effective
20
    prosecutor.
21
             THE COURT: Well, when you wear a robe, it's a lot
22
    easier.
23
             MR. SOTO: It's much easier. And he did that, which
24
    now you would have the power to say, I find the contract
25
    enforceable; however, I strike the provision giving anything to
```

```
Mr. Joseph.
 1
2
             THE COURT: I don't know if I have the power to do
3
    that.
 4
             MR. SOTO:
                        I don't know.
5
             THE COURT: But certainly, there may be some grounds
    for that in the future if he ever sought it. He seemed to be
6
7
    somewhat remorseful.
8
             MR. SOTO: He did.
9
             THE COURT: About the whole mess because, as he said,
10
    you know, and so did the other Realtor -- they are not
11
    litigators. What they want is a quick sale, no matter what the
12
    value is, the highest possible, but they would rather be quick.
13
    But he has a relationship with the defendants.
14
             MR. SOTO:
                        Judge --
             THE COURT: So that means that he's a little bit
15
16
    biased.
             He likes your clients more than the Virginia sisters,
17
    right?
             MR. SOTO: Well --
18
19
             THE COURT: Right? Because he's here; they're here.
                                                                    Ι
20
    don't think the Katsantonises are going to hire him as a
21
    Realtor. So he's through with them.
22
             MR. SOTO:
                        I don't know, Judge.
23
             THE COURT: Oh, I think I can infer that.
24
             MR. SOTO:
                        I would bet that it wouldn't happen.
25
             THE COURT: I could infer from that.
```

```
1
                        I would bet that my clients probably aren't
            MR. SOTO:
2
    going to use him ever again.
3
            THE COURT: I don't know. One of your clients said
4
    that she's very soft.
5
            MR. SOTO: Yes, she did.
6
             THE COURT: I mean, I don't know, but that she's very
7
    soft and she didn't want to say anything because he's making a
8
    living, but he can't make a living if he doesn't collect the
9
    fee.
10
            MR. SOTO:
                       That's right.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. So, see, that's inconsistent, too.
12
            MR. SOTO:
                        But the thing you have to look at is why
13
   would he have come in here and changed it? Wouldn't he have
    been better off --
14
15
             THE COURT: To help his former clients, if not, how
    about that?
16
             MR. SOTO: But for $40,000, he comes in and says, they
17
18
    gave them authorization. Judge, you give them the property. He
19
    gets $40,000. That's $40,000. Do you think he's doing this
20
    every day?
21
             THE COURT: I don't know because that didn't come out,
22
    how much he makes, how many condos he sells.
23
            MR. SOTO: Raul knows he's getting $40,000 if this goes
    through. And all of a sudden, he didn't provide us with all of
24
25
    his text messages. He got a telephone call --
```

```
1
             THE COURT: We're still fighting discovery, right?
2
             MR. SOTO: No.
                             I'm pointing out that he didn't provide
3
    any, and I'm also saying that a lawyer called him a month
    afterwards, a lawyer.
4
5
             THE COURT: To tell him that you need two signatures.
6
             MR. SOTO: And told him just print out the text
7
    messages or take pictures of the text messages that you think
8
    are relevant. Didn't say, hey, why don't you preserve
9
    everything. It's their agent. We only get four.
10
             THE COURT: How many did you -- there wasn't a flurry
11
    of emails here or text messages.
12
             MR. SOTO: I don't know.
13
             THE COURT: That means everybody always has, perhaps,
14
    something to hide. I don't know.
15
             MR. SOTO: I don't know, Judge, but from the text
   messages that we saw, we know there were text messages before
16
17
    that period of time. We know it.
18
             THE COURT: But we don't know what they say.
19
             MR. SOTO: We don't.
20
             So in this particular case, Judge, Mary and Honey had
    to sign. It's a real estate contract under the statute of
21
22
    frauds, one to be charged by.
23
             THE COURT: Did she sign the lease?
24
             MR. SOTO: Honey Shechtman? No.
25
             THE COURT: So that's an invalid lease?
```

```
1
             MR. SOTO:
                        I agree.
             THE COURT: So we could actually, if I order -- this is
2
3
    the same tenant who is living there now?
4
             MR. SOTO: Yes.
5
             THE COURT: He could be evicted?
6
             MR. SOTO: You could. I don't think you can do it in
7
    this process because he would have to have notice. That's our
    justice system.
8
9
             THE COURT: But that's an invalid lease?
10
             MR. SOTO: Wouldn't you agree?
11
             THE COURT: That's the defendants' position?
12
             MR. SOTO:
                        It's not executed properly.
13
             THE COURT: But it's going to rise and fall on the
            If the lease is improper, the contract for sale is
14
    lease.
15
    improper. However, if the lease is valid, and then so is the
16
    purchase agreement.
17
             MR. SOTO: Well, I think you heard Mr. Joseph in his
    testimony say, I don't think it's legally valid, but I'm not a
18
19
    lawyer.
             So those are the issues. Under the statute of frauds,
20
    you must have all the signatures. That is what the law says.
21
             I wanted to hand you --
22
             THE COURT: You were going to give me another case?
23
             MR. SOTO: I was, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Do you have a copy for your opponent or
25
   not?
```

MR. SOTO: Absolutely. I already provided it to him, Judge.

MR. SOTO: This is the Smith, Shackleford, Mellon case; Supreme Court of Florida 1926; "In support of claim that there was a contract between the parties, a purchaser presented a written memorandum that was not signed by the owner, but that allegedly was signed by the owner's agent who allegedly was given authority to execute the document."

Obviously, the owner denied that the agent had authority to sell the property, and the Supreme Court, in its argument, citing to other cases, said, I believe if you turn to Page 4, relevant parts are, "A real estate agent or broker in whose hands an owner places land for sale or who is employed to sell land, does not thereby acquire authority to bind his principal by signing an agreement of sale of such land. The inference that such real estate agent or broker has been endowed by his principal with authority to bind him in a written agreement of the sale cannot be drawn from circumstances entirely consistent with his employment as a mere agent or broker, nor without other circumstances clearly indicating the grant of such greater authority."

In this particular case, there was no grant of greater authority. There was nothing else other than, Sean, can you execute the lease? We are in Toronto.

He executed the lease which is for less than a year, of

which the individual paid all upfront in the first lease. On the second lease, they are receiving payments, but they don't have an issue because it's the same individual, a renewal.

But in this particular case, Your Honor, Joanne
Katsantonis and Vivian Katsantonis did not see or know about the
leases, the Notice of Commencement. They didn't have any
representation from the principal to give the appearance of any
authority. That is undisputed. They testified to that.

Raul Santidrian didn't give us any texts, said there were other texts, didn't believe they were important or relevant. Obviously, Raul wants the sale to go through. His bias has shown because he stands to make a \$39,000 commission.

Sean Joseph, obviously, testified that he executed the contract without authority from Mary Shechtman or Honey Sherman. Mary Shechtman and Honey Sherman testified that they never signed the agreement, that they did not give authority to Sean Joseph to bind them in an agreement. He was just a real estate agent out and hired to procure offers, not effect them.

Raul Santidrian authored the contract that is issued here. He put the information for the two individuals. They were clearly listed as trustees, Mary Reich Shechtman and Honey Sherman, the trustees of the Shechtman Family Trust. Two signatures needed to effectuate the transfer of that real property.

Under the statute of frauds, 725.01, "No action shall

be brought whereby to charge any person upon any agreement made upon any contract for the sale of land unless the agreement or promise upon which such action shall be brought, or some note or memorandum thereof shall be in writing and signed by the party to be charged therewith or by some other person by her or him thereunto lawfully authorized."

No one was lawfully authorized to sign that contract other than Mary Shechtman and Honey Sherman, and the testimony bears out that no one ever gave that authority.

In Skinner v. Haugseth, 426 So.2d 1127, Florida, in the Second DCA, 1983, offered a proposed sales contract, expressed conditions of effectiveness of the contract upon the signature of the last seller.

In this particular contract, it's in Paragraph 3B of the sales agreement, and they would have been one of the last ones to have to sign. Judge, I can provide you with that case if you would like me to.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SOTO: Actual authority: It says, "Essential to the existence of an actual agency relationship" and the elements are acknowledgment by the principal that the agent will act for him, the agent's acceptance of the undertaking, and three, control by the principal over the actions of the agent.

Obviously, there was no control by the principal over

the agent in this case. As we saw from the testimony that came out, they were completely opposite.

We have cases that I think I already provided you with, the Smith/Shackleford case where they clearly indicate that there has to be some greater grant of authority than just a mere real estate agent acting on their behalf.

As to apparent authority, apparent authority is a power to effect the legal relations of another person by transactions with third persons. We've already had undisputed testimony that the parties in this case never spoke, never did anything. No one relied on anything they had seen prior to entering into this alleged agreement on both sides.

The apparent authority exists only to the extent that it is reasonable for the third person dealing with the agent to believe that the agent is authorized. The parties here never dealt with the agent. Their agent dealt with them. They are too far removed to believe that reasonably anybody else was involved.

We have two lawyers with 20-plus years of experience in construction litigation and mergers and acquisitions. They do nothing but read contracts all the time, and they see a contract for the purchase of real estate that says Mary Reich Shechtman and Honey Sherman and they assume immediately that that's only one person. But yet, when they sue them, they get it perfectly correct in who they named as parties to this litigation.

1 In the instant case, Joseph cannot be found to possess 2 apparent agency to bind the trust because neither Shechtman, nor 3 Sherman ever made any representations to Santidrian or the 4 plaintiffs. Agencies cannot be created by representations of 5 the agent. For the same reason the argument that the Shechtman 6 acted as the agent of Sherman, Sherman never made any 7 representations to the Santidrian or the plaintiffs. 8 Finally, the argument that apparent agency can be 9 established by the Notice of Commencement or the previous leases 10 that were signed solely by Shechtman fails because the 11 plaintiffs have stated that they were unaware of those 12 documents. 13 We have the case of H.S.A., Inc. versus Harris-in-Hollywood. If I may, Your Honor? 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 In H.S.A. versus Harris-in-Hollywood, Your MR. SOTO: 17 Honor, the doctrine of apparent authority, Fourth DCA, 1973, 18 says: 19 "The doctrine of apparent authority rests on 20 appearances created by the principal and not agents, as the 21 Court states, who often ingenuously create an appearance of 22 authority by their own acts." 23 It cites to Owen Industries versus Taylor, which is a

Judge, I'll provide that to you as well.

Florida Second DCA 1978 case.

24

```
1
             Judge, I think we fall to the final argument here,
   which is the promissory estoppel. Florida Supreme Court has
2
3
    recently held that there is no promissory estoppel exception to
4
    the Florida Statute of Frauds, and I'll give you that case.
5
    It's DK Arena, Inc. versus EB Acquisitions, citing to Tanebaum
6
   versus Biscayne Osteopathic Hospital.
7
             THE COURT: Would the plaintiffs concede that this is
    an all-or-nothing type of case?
8
9
             MR. HARRIS: I mean, I'm not sure I follow.
10
             THE COURT: Well, he's saying that if there is no
11
    contract, you don't have a right to anything, do you?
12
             MR. HARRIS: Well, that was our promissory estoppel
13
    count.
14
                        That you do have a right to something even
             THE COURT:
    if there's no contract?
15
16
             MR. HARRIS: Yes.
             THE COURT: Based upon what?
17
             MR. HARRIS: The representations made to us through the
18
    counteroffers and our reliance thereon.
19
20
             THE COURT:
                         But what are the damages for that? That's
21
    the $240,000 damages?
22
             MR. HARRIS: Correct, Your Honor.
                                                 292,000.
23
             THE COURT: Okay.
                                292,000.
24
             MR. SOTO: Can't get it, Judge. The Supreme Court of
25
   Florida tells them they can't get it.
```

1 THE COURT: Because there's no contract? 2 Specifically because of the statute of MR. SOTO: 3 frauds. If there's no contract written, you can't get 4 promissory estoppel because of the statute of frauds. 5 specifically said it, holding that the doctrine of promissory 6 estoppel was inapplicable. 7 THE COURT: So when does it apply? 8 MR. SOTO: It doesn't apply in cases for real property 9 under the statute of frauds. 10 THE COURT: Period? 11 It probably applies some other time. MR. SOTO: 12 THE COURT: But not for real property? 13 MR. SOTO: I can only tell you what the Supreme Court tells me. 14 THE COURT: Anything else you want to say? 15 16 MR. SOTO: I want to speak to damages, Your Honor, which I think are extremely speculative in this case. 17 18 Obviously, for specific performance to be granted, as a 19 condition precedent -- and this is the Equitable Nature of 20 Remedy; Matthew, Benner & Company Treatise: 21 "As a condition precedent to obtaining specific 22 performance, a purchaser of real property must be ready, 23 willing and able to perform by having paid or being able to pay or having been excused from paying the sum due under the 24 25 contract."

```
1
             The only proof that we have here is a $50,000 payment
2
   was made. That's it. We have no other proof of the available
3
    funds of 1.25 million. You have no evidence in front of you.
   That's the condition precedent.
4
5
             THE COURT: Well, that would take care of the specific
6
   performance.
7
             MR. SOTO: Correct.
8
             THE COURT: Which means if they can't perform, then you
9
    can say, we keep the 50 grand.
                       Then we're back in another lawsuit.
10
             MR. SOTO:
11
             THE COURT: Not by you. You would just keep it.
12
             MR. SOTO: We don't have it.
13
             THE COURT: And they would sue for it.
14
             MR. SOTO: We don't have it, Judge.
15
             THE COURT: Huh?
16
             MR. SOTO: We don't have it.
             THE COURT: Who has it? But it's in escrow. Whichever
17
18
    agent has it --
19
             MR. SOTO:
                        Sotheby's.
20
             THE COURT: -- keeps it for somebody else, not for
    himself.
21
22
             Which agent has it?
23
             MR. SOTO: But without the agreement of both parties,
24
    it doesn't get released.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. So then they go to a judge and the
```

1 judge says one of two things and then you go up to the Court of 2 Appeals in State court because it's \$50,000. 3 MR. SOTO: It's not here anymore. But damages are too 4 speculative. Even if you look at the breach of contract, the 5 evidence that was presented to you was two closed units over the 6 last year, both of which are penthouses, one which came 7 furnished with decorator furniture. That's not even close. This was not a furnished unit being sold. The contract never 8 mentions anything about furniture. Wholly different. You got 10 the honest answer of Sean Joseph, 1.25. There are no damages. 11 They're too speculative in nature to be determined. And if 12 they're too speculative, you can't award them. That's if you 13 get past the hurdle and you actually believe that there is a 14 contract that exists in contravention of 725, the statute of frauds, and that Mr. Joseph acted with apparent authority or 15 16 actual authority. And in that case, the missing signature of 17 Honey Sherman doesn't matter. Why are we here? 18 THE COURT: I don't know. I asked myself that 19 question, too. It seems like the easiest thing would have 20 been --21 MR. SOTO: Motion to dismiss. 22 THE COURT: -- to sell it and buy something else. 23 MR. SOTO: Is that the easiest thing? You now displace 24 one family --

THE COURT: Or from one side, and from the other side,

```
1
    to buy something else. But I don't decide those things.
    not a purchaser or a seller. Do I think it would have been
2
3
    easier to either sell it or buy something else? Absolutely, I
    think it would have been easier.
4
5
             MR. SOTO: And it appears they could have gotten a nice
6
    penthouse without furniture for less.
7
             THE COURT: I guess these condos are very unique --
8
             MR. SOTO: Apparently so unique --
9
             THE COURT: -- for everybody.
10
             MR. SOTO: -- that no where else can you get them.
11
             Judge, I thank you for your patience and your time in
12
    this matter.
13
             THE COURT: I don't think I showed that much patience.
14
             MR. SOTO: I think you did.
15
             THE COURT: It's a bench trial, so you guys can take
16
    it.
17
             MR. SOTO:
                       Thank you, Judge.
18
             THE COURT:
                         Rebuttal.
19
             MR. HARRIS: Just to reiterate, Your Honor,
20
   Ms. Shechtman, through the pleadings has admitted that she
21
    signed the counteroffer. So there's a lot of argument still
22
    being made about Mr. Joseph not having authority.
23
             We also have the testimony of Honey Sherman.
24
    specifically said that she authorized the sale at $1.3 million
25
    and gave Shechtman the authority to do it on her behalf. Both
```

```
1
    defendants also did testify that the lease was binding upon them
2
    despite what Mr. Soto just told you. We had testimony from both
3
    defendants saying that that lease, that was only signed by one,
4
   was binding upon them both.
5
                         Is their opinion on the law binding?
             THE COURT:
6
             MR. HARRIS: No, but --
7
             THE COURT: Neither is a Realtor's opinion. So it
8
    really doesn't matter what they think --
9
             MR. HARRIS: It shows a course --
10
             THE COURT: -- except for the intent or prior course of
11
    conduct, right?
12
             MR. HARRIS: It's the course of conduct. It's evidence
13
    that they gave authority on occasion, on multiple occasions to
    Mr. Joseph to sign one name and to be bound thereby.
14
15
             What we have here, Your Honor, is a situation where the
    defendants have created --
16
17
             THE COURT: Why did they do it?
18
             MR. HARRIS: They've built an escape hatch into these
19
    contracts. You can see this, Your Honor.
20
                         But why? Why do that? I'm sure I don't
             THE COURT:
21
    know what the relationship is with the lawyer and the
    plaintiffs -- the defendants. I know there's a relationship
22
23
   with the lawyer and the plaintiffs.
24
             So why would you do that when it costs you time and
25
   money and grief and trips and depositions? And I don't know how
```

```
1
   much money, but I mean, you all deserve the money that --
2
   whatever you are charging, you deserve it, I suspect. Why?
3
   Why?
4
             MR. HARRIS:
                          I think most people are going to walk
5
          Most people aren't going to hold them to the benefit of
6
    the bargain.
                 The law favors the enforcement of contracts, Your
7
    Honor, and what they've done is they built an escape hatch in.
    The negotiation is that you give me an offer and then I'll
8
    accept it. There's some bluffing involved, but here's my offer.
10
    I know when they give you an offer, if you accept it, I'm bound.
11
   What they have created is a situation where they can get out of
12
    any contract.
13
             THE COURT:
                         But why?
14
             MR. HARRIS: Because maybe they don't like the deal.
15
   Maybe they want to say, hey, would they accept this price?
   Well, now, I'm going to get out. Maybe they were sleeping.
16
17
   Maybe they were not paying attention. Who knows? Careless.
    But the law does not relieve a careless contractor.
18
19
             We made decisions based in reliance on that.
20
    forwent other properties. We kept our 50 money -- $50,000 in
21
    the escrow and waited and tried to enforce it.
22
             THE COURT:
                         But it was offered to be sent back, right?
23
             MR. HARRIS: Yes.
24
             THE COURT: So that only proves that when it was
25
    deposited -- there's no dispute, or if there is, I would find
```

contrary to it, that the plaintiffs wanted to buy the property. I'm satisfied beyond all doubt about that, beyond and to the exclusion of every reasonable and unreasonable doubt that they wanted it. Why, I don't know, but that's not for me to figure out, why they want it so much, and why the defendants want to get out.

MR. HARRIS: I think the presumption is that the value has gone up, and the law does not relieve somebody from a bad bargain. That's Contracts 101.

THE COURT: See, the cases that we have dealing with all of these things, and there are cases that go both ways, which is probably why both of you have good arguments, they're usually cases where the property has been sold to somebody else. Okay? And there's where we have damages, right? They sell it to someone else for 1.4 million. Okay? And then I understand. Why did they do it? For a hundred grand. It's very simple, right? Even though they made a deal for 1.3. Or someone is trying to stiff the Realtor and the Realtor says, I want my money. I got a deal. You're reneging on it. The purchasers don't want it because they don't want to deal with it. They'll find somebody else. But I, as a Realtor, am entitled to it. Those are the cases.

I don't think I have found a case like this where the individual wants to keep the condominium where they don't really live. They just rent it out; they're out of state, out of the

1 country, and where the plaintiffs, who are out of state, want 2 this desperately. 3 MR. HARRIS: They loved it, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. HARRIS: They contracted for it. They got a 6 bargain. They felt like the market has gone up. They feel like 7 the market has gone up. They want the benefit of the bargain. 8 They did not go and take advantage of the lower prices. The market has gone up since. They did not get a place at the time. They held off in saying, we have a contract and they attempted 10 11 to enforce it. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 13 MR. HARRIS: I mean, I think it's clear that the 14 defendants want to get the higher value out of the property, but they can't sell it because there's a lis pendens on it right 15 They relet it, but obviously, they would be -- I am not 16 now. 17 sure anybody would purchase it with the lis pendens we have on it right now. 18 19 THE COURT: No. And with litigation, I would think 20 that there would be a little title problem on this. 21 I don't know. But certainly if I were a purchaser, I 22 would look for another condo. You don't want to buy something 23 that has litigation involved. So this may be worth a lot more after I rule one way or another. And money did not resolve this 24

25

case, huh?

```
1
             MR. HARRIS: Many efforts were made, Your Honor.
2
    That's all I can say.
3
             Your Honor, one more point --
             THE COURT: What do I do with these cases?
 4
5
             MR. HARRIS: The case that Mr. Soto just brought up
6
    that I had not seen ever before?
7
             THE COURT: I know what you want me to do with yours,
    but what do I do with his?
8
             MR. HARRIS: Well, mine were set forth on a summary
9
    judgment brief. I have been handed five or six cases here. I
10
11
    haven't had time to read all of them. I thought Your Honor
12
    aptly distinguished the first one. We are looking at a case
13
    from 1926.
14
             THE COURT: We shouldn't look at cases that old?
15
             MR. HARRIS: Well, I think it in each case, you find
    something that's distinguishable. In looking at --
16
17
             THE COURT: We know the price of real estate has sure
18
    gone up.
19
             MR. HARRIS: That's true. The damages are probably the
20
    first thing you notice, right?
21
             THE COURT: That was the time to buy.
22
             MR. HARRIS: In the 1926 Mason v. Shackleford case, the
23
    Court found that the evidence was wholly lacking of the grant by
24
    the principal to such agent of the requisite authority to bind
25
    the principal by executing a contract or memorandum of sale
```

under the statute.

The statute of frauds provides very clearly that you must -- the party being sought to be charged with the contract must have signed it, not personally, but by an authorized agent. It can be personal or it can be an authorized agent.

We presented extensive testimony, Your Honor, showing that the requisite authority was given. Again, Honey Sherman said she agreed to everything that Shechtman did in connection with the trust property, including the negotiations with the plaintiffs in this case and we have pleadings and pretrial stipulations confirming that Shechtman signed the contract.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me tell what you I think. All right.

MR. HARRIS: Do you want me to sit down?

THE COURT: I would, if I were you, because what I'm going to do is go through my notes.

We know, of course, this is a diversity action for a breach of contract for the purchase of a condominium by the plaintiffs from the defendants' seller. The case was tried the last couple of days without a jury with the agreement of both sides, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52, the Court, after hearing the evidence the last couple of days, makes special findings of fact and separate conclusions of law:

There is no dispute at least as to one fact, and that is that the defendant is the Shechtman Family Trust, the owner

of the unit in question, 3807 of TDR Tower III Condominium at 15811 Collins Avenue in Sunny Isles, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Mary Shechtman and Honey Sherman are sisters and co-trustees of the trust, and in that capacity offer the unit in question for sale through the agent, Mr. Joseph.

The plaintiffs are also sisters, Vivian Katsantonis and Joanne Katsantonis, and they expressed an interest in purchasing the unit. The plaintiffs claim that after exchanging offers and counteroffers between purchasers and the seller -- and I do use the singular seller because it's a trust, though obviously the trust acts through the trustees -- that a contract was entered into for the purchase of the condominium for \$1,300,000, and that's what Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 is.

The defendant owner of the unit in the Pretrial Stipulation countered that only one of the trustees, Mary Shechtman, executed and initialed the counteroffer, the final one, but not co-trustee, Honey Sherman.

The defendants' position is that the Trust Agreement, which is Defendants' Exhibit 1, for the Shechtman Family Trust requires a majority of the trustees to agree on particular actions on the property and that the failure of the co-trustee, Honey Sherman, to sign the counteroffer is fatal to a finding of a valid contract of purchase of the property.

At the bench trial today, however, Mary Shechtman, a co-trustee, testified that she did not initial, she did not sign

the alleged contract and that her Realtor, Sean Joseph, inserted her initials, MS without MRS, and that he did that without her authority. At trial Ms. Shechtman also disputed her prior deposition testimony that the Realtor, Joseph, was communicating the various offers and counteroffers.

Therefore, my first ruling has to be whether the defendant trust acting through the trustees, Honey Sherman and Mary Shechtman, are bound by the Pretrial Stipulation, and the Answer, too, which is paragraph 33 and Page 4 of the Answer where the third affirmative defense is that the trust did not execute the contract because it was only signed by one of the co-trustees.

In making the first legal decision that I have to make, I always look for guidance from the Eleventh Circuit, and I can find it always, almost always. And there's a case called Olmsted, O-1-m-s-t-e-d, versus Taco Bell Corporation, 141 F.3d 1457, Eleventh Circuit 1998. So for purposes of this case, it's a like a newborn baby case, as we've cited very old cases here.

In that case, it didn't involve real estate. It involved claims pursuant to statutory Title 7, 1981 cases, but the important part of that case is that the district court did not abuse its discretion in holding the parties to the Pretrial Stipulation. And I think I can be flexible with things and I think I have to a certain extent when deadlines have expired and when attachments to the Complaint haven't been filed, but I

think when it comes to the Pretrial Stipulation and the Answer that hasn't been modified, I'm going to hold the defendant to what they said in the pleadings.

But irrespective of that, even if this were determined by the Court of Appeals to be an abuse of my discretion, separate and apart from that, I have trouble with Ms. Shechtman's testimony because of the way it came out and the degree of the denial. In fact, when I heard Ms. Shechtman say she was shocked about all the offers and the counteroffers, I couldn't help but think of the character, Captain Louis Renault, in Casablanca played by Claude Rains, you know, when there was gambling, when he said, "I'm shocked that there's gambling in this establishment," because obviously, she initiated, she had a relationship, a professional relationship, of course, with Sean Joseph. And it is inconceivable and unreasonable that she had no idea about all of these things.

We have Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 10, which is the plaintiffs' offer for \$1.2 million dated February 4, 2013. We have Plaintiffs' Exhibit 14, the plaintiffs' offer for \$1.23 million. We have Plaintiffs' Exhibit 16, the offer for \$1.27 million, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12 which is the defendants' -- let me emphasize -- the defendants' offer for \$1.33 million dated February 4th, and then the final offer, which is what's in dispute, with the dates of February 6th and 7th of last year, the Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1.

Therefore, I am going to reject as not credible

Ms. Shechtman's testimony about not knowing about these offers

at all and the counteroffers. I'm also going to reject

Mr. Joseph's testimony as not being credible based upon his

demeanor and with both witnesses based upon the inconsistencies

with the prior deposition testimony.

Having made that factual finding, though, I still need to examine whether the trust, Defendants' Exhibit 1, requires the signature of both trustees, and this is always a little bit more difficult, but you have to look at the testimony from both trustees and the prior course of dealing between the seller real estate agent, Sean Joseph, and trustee, Mary Shechtman. And the Court is going to conclude that Sean Joseph did have actual authority to do what he did based upon the testimony.

Now, a lot of cases have been cited for actual authority, apparent authority, and originally Ms. Shechtman admitted that, hey, he was entitled to negotiate the sale of the condominium. And Florida law has held that a principal is responsible for the acts of her agent, and the case that has been cited before, which we discussed before, was the Benson case at 409 So.2d 172 from the Second District Court of Appeals 1982, that even where an agent's act is unauthorized, the principal is liable if the agent had the apparent authority to do the act and that apparent authority was reasonably relied upon by the third party dealing with the agent. But that's a

fallback position because I'm finding that there was actual authority. But even if I'm wrong with that, I think there was apparent authority.

Here, as in the Benson case, the negotiations between the parties were conducted totally by their respective agents. And that's why the Court here with the facts in this case find that Ms. Shechtman is indeed bound, finding that she did know what was going on and gave authority to Sean Joseph to make the various offers and counteroffers with the different numbers. I think to find otherwise would be contrary to the evidence as I heard it.

But that still leaves the dispute that I mentioned before whether Honey Sherman as co-trustee also agreed to the selling of the condominium, and in many cases there are those disputes. I have to look at the Trust Agreement.

And by the way, as an aside in this case, too, one of the plaintiffs' sisters signed for the other sister. But you can be an agent and give permission.

Here the trust, without question, requires that they operate, the trustees, by a majority decision, and Section 3.5 does say that "Whenever two or more trustees are acting with respect to any trust hereunder, the trustees must act by a majority of the trustees qualified to participate in the decision at issue. In the event of a tie, the decision of a majority of independent trustees shall control."

But the way the trust was set up and the way it was acted upon wasn't necessarily required that all the trustees execute the contract as long as they agreed with the decision made. And Ms. Sherman's testimony is much more credible. She kind of backpedaled a little bit saying, I don't remember, but she wasn't so certain during the deposition. And the Court concludes that she takes a more distant participation in this condominium.

But based upon that testimony as well, just because she didn't have her initials or Joseph didn't put her initials, I think there is actual authority and certainly, at least, apparent authority to bind the defendants to the terms of the contract.

I conclude as a matter of law that there was actual authority, that there is apparent authority, that the Trust Agreement requires that the trustees act consistently, but not necessarily that they all have to sign and execute the contract.

It's not, you know, beyond all doubt. It wouldn't be beyond a reasonable doubt, but the findings in civil court are by the preponderance of the evidence.

I find that they jointly, Sherman and Shechtman, decided to sell the property for \$1.3 million when the counteroffer was issued and I conclude that once the trustees have jointly made that decision, they must proceed to execute the offer and that it would be inconsistent with Section 4.1 of

the trust that the power to sell the property is to be construed in the broadest possible manner.

And a lot of the things that I have to do has to do with the intent of the parties. There have been a lot of case that have been handed to the Court and I've done a little bit of search in chambers, too, about powers of attorneys and cases go way back. You know, there's the Beekman case, B-e-e-k-m-a-n, from 1914 that talks about parol authority, p-a-r-o-l authority, will authorize an agent to contract for his principal for the sale of realty.

All of that has to do with the intent of the parties. That's why I'm making the factual findings. In the Treister case, T-r-e-i-s-t-e-r, a little newer at 85 So.2d 605 from 1956, where reference to the fact of agency appears in an instrument but execution is accomplished by the agent signing his principal's name alone without reference to his principal, principal, nevertheless, is found.

Now, there are distinctions in all of those cases: Is he a duly authorized agent? Is he acting within the scope of his authority? And it is binding upon the principal.

But based upon what I heard and based upon the role that Mr. Joseph plays at the request of Ms. Shechtman, I find that he was the actual agent.

The Bellaire versus Brown case from the Supreme Court of Florida 1936, says that the memorandum contemplated by

statute of frauds for sale of land may, indeed, be executed by an agent whose authority is created by parol, but such agent may not delegate his authority to another. Authority of agent to bind principal to convey realty need not be in writing, 1926 case, Tatum Land Company.

The Triplett case from 1927: Parol authority is sufficient to authorize agent to execute contract for sale of principal's land.

Maybe the reason we don't have newer cases is because people don't bring them to court for all the reasons that I have already expressed that I just don't understand.

Now, there is enough for an actual authority, but even if there isn't, I also conclude that it can be applied or apparent and that the circumstances here do so.

There's a much newer case from my colleague, Judge
Huck. Now, it's not realty. It has to do with a yacht, the
Matienzo case, M-a-t-i-e-n-z-o. Judge Huck, from across the
hall, in denying the defendants' motion for summary judgment,
said that although the name is not on the second contract, the
principal can sue for its breach because someone signed as an
agent.

So there are just so many cases dealing with that.

There are cases that seem to hold to the contrary, which

Mr. Soto has very well presented, and there are distinctions

with it. I'm not saying the argument is frivolous. It isn't at

all. I mean, there are good arguments. But I already mentioned the case Siler, the distinctions that I see. There's a reneging of the deal afterwards and they relied on the signatures as being binding, but it has to do with the testimony that's involved.

The other cases that cited by Mr. Soto, the important distinction is the intent. What evidence was presented about the intent of the parties? See, it really is a fact-intensive case. What did the parties intend in the first place? And it really has to do with the question of credibility, and when it comes to credibility, I've already made those findings.

Therefore, I'm concluding that there was a legal contract between the Shechtman Family Trust and the plaintiffs through the trustees regarding the sales and purchase of Unit 3807 located at TDR Tower III Condominium at the address of 15811 Collins Avenue in Sunny Isles, Miami, and I'm going to order that it proceed to closing.

As far as the damages are concerned, I do think the defendants are right there. It's too speculative, and since I'm ordering specific performances, it's moot. But even if it weren't, I would say I would only look at Unit 4207 and Unit 4307, as I said yesterday, the units that actually sold for \$1.48 million and 1.45; and the difference, of course, would be the attributed to the furnished condominium, I think one of them the penthouse, and it's just too speculative to decide. But I

have to assume that if a unit is on a higher floor, the price is going to be higher. I think that's a normal conclusion.

But in any event, since I'm finding on Count 1, what I'm going to do on Counts 2 and 3 is they're dismissed as duplicative. The closing shall occur by March 14, 2014, within 30 days.

In the event that the defendants' trust through its trustees files a Notice of Appeal, I will set at that point a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$200,000, and then you can take it up as a Notice of Appeal. I will do the Notice of Filing the exhibits that were admitted to help you, if you wish to appeal, but I don't mind assisting people for the Court of Appeals to know what's going on.

If they wish to reverse me, I never take offense, and this is a type of case, other than the credibility findings, on the legal issues, it might be a nice thing to have a 2013 case in case there are other real estate disputes.

I express no opinion about any commissions that have to be paid since I do not have the authority to express an opinion on that.

So I will file these exhibits, and I wish you luck in the future. Thank you.

THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

MR. SOTO: Thank you, Judge.

MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

(The bench trial was concluded at 4:00 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcription of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 07-23-14 GILDA PASTOR-HERMANDEZ, RPR, FPR DATE Official United States Court Reporter Wilkie D. Ferguson Jr. U.S. Courthouse 400 North Miami Avenue, Suite 13-3 305.523.5118 Miami, Florida 33128 gphofficialreporter@gmail.com

adopted 105:22 advance 97:11

advantage 145:8

advocate 67:20 113:20

104:19 149:10

affirmed 108:22 109:21

afternoon 13:13 95:14

afterwards 130:4 156:3

130:9 132:7,9,12,16,20

agent's 134:23 151:22

131:1,10 148:20

147:8 152:13 153:3

agreements 76:10 103:21

ALEXANDER 2:1

allegedly 121:20 132:7,8

allowed 17:22 35:10 66:4 67:7,7

77:3 98:8 100:4 102:10,11

alive 126:2

149.1

81:14 97:9

agreeing 106:7

aggressive 127:18

ago 34:7 38:12,12

advise 16:8,16

advised 59:2

affect 68:17

afraid 85:15

147.7 Agencies 136:4

I
A
able 12:20 16:21 26:14 40:3,4
92:7,8 138:23,23 about 7:18 9:18 10:9 14:16 17:6
17:9,17,19 18:21 25:7 26:8
28:23 29:14 31:23 36:5 38:13
41:20 44:10 46:21 48:7,16
54:17 56:11,19 62:10 64:17
65:4 69:7,14 71:6,11,15 72:20 75:15 77:13 81:13 82:16
83:19 85:2 95:22 98:10,20
100:11 102:6 106:5 107:7,9
107:10,14 110:14 114:24
118:2,3 119:9,24 120:19 121:16,19,2 3 122:12,18
123:13,13,24 124:11,11,12,13
124:17,22,2 4 128:9 129:16
133:5 140:9 141:22 144:2
150:9,16 151:2,2 154:6,8 156:7 157:18
above 48:23,23 49:19 113:1
above-entitled 158:5
absence 103:15
absolutely 17:20 42:25 43:2 72:14 80:8 104:6 124:13
125:19 132:1 141:3
abuse 149:22 150:5
accept 11:13 39:12,14 46:22
90:9 102:25 118:21,23 125:4 125:6 143:9,10,15
acceptance 46:12 97:2,2 134:23
accepted 14:14 16:2 63:9 96:18
96:21
access 78:2,3,4,1 0 97:10 accomplished 154:15
according 28:21 39:3
Accordingly 106:16 113:23
account 78:6,7,8,9,10,11,14,19
98:12
L accountants 82.8
accountants 82:8 accounts 29:5,6
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquiis 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquiis 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2 140:13 156:22 add 95:21 113:22 Adding 114:9
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2 140:13 156:22 add 95:21 113:22 Adding 114:9 addition 48:13 95:20 97:13
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2 140:13 156:22 add 95:21 113:22 Adding 114:9 addition 48:13 95:20 97:13 104:10 112:16 114:18
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2 140:13 156:22 add 95:21 113:22 Adding 114:9 addition 48:13 95:20 97:13
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquies 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2 140:13 156:22 add 95:21 113:22 Adding 114:9 addition 48:13 95:20 97:13 104:10 112:16 114:18 additional 113:21 address 156:15 admissible 17:2 18:14
accounts 29:5,6 accurate 158:4 acknowledged 49:11 53:4 acknowledgment 134:22 acquiescence 98:2 100:21,22 acquire 34:5 132:14 acquisitions 135:20 137:5 across 155:17 act 76:20,20 77:22 93:10,17 104:14,18 117:24 134:22 151:22,24 152:22 153:16 acted 136:6 140:15 153:2 acting 14:19 135:6 149:7 152:21 154:19 action 64:16,25 65:6,13 92:15,24 133:25 134:3 147:17 actions 93:20 99:22 101:13 134:24 148:21 acts 122:17 136:22 148:11 151:19 actual 63:25 97:18 100:18 101:9 103:2 106:13 112:22 134:20 134:21 140:16 151:13,15 152:1 153:11,14 154:23 155:12 actually 35:25 38:20 56:24 82:3 82:7 83:13 85:10,11 90:7 100:15 106:5,12 110:1,10,25 115:17 116:14 123:2 131:2 140:13 156:22 add 95:21 113:22 Adding 114:9 addition 48:13 95:20 97:13 104:10 112:16 114:18 additional 113:21 address 156:15

```
admitted 5:17,18,22,24 6:2
   12:14 34:17 47:22 48:4 103:6
   105:17 141:20 151:17 157:11
affairs 78:22 98:9 100:4,23
affirmative 32:19 33:3 96:10
after 10:17 16:11 24:11,23 27:14
  27:20 30:25 40:3 52:16 68:24
  86:23 106:11 109:21 116:21
   121:19 126:15 145:24 147:22
again 42:11 58:25 59:10 70:4
   72:2 75:7,7,14 79:13 85:5
  89:22 102:15 109:20 129:2
agency 134:21 136:2,8 154:14
agent 6:9,19 14:19 15:12,16,17
   15:18 16:16 17:9.10 62:6
  66:17 68:14 82:15 96:6 97:19
   97:25 98:1,6,8,17 101:9 103:5
   104:15 117:16 118:12 119:10
   133:18 134:22,24 135:1,6,14
   135:15,16,16 136:5,6 139:18
   139:22 146:24 147:4,5 148:5
   151:12,19,23,2 5 152:18 154:9
   154:15,19,23 155:2,2,3,7,21
agents 57:9 71:21 101:19,20
   116:9 125:24 136:20 152:5
agree 12:25 23:6 64:9 88:24 95:3
   108:23 110:10 119:6,7 122:20
agreed 12:19 23:2,10,12,13
   30:21,21 31:1 42:23 56:3 75:7
   81:14 89:23 100:7.10 103:21
   104:6 105:4 106:7 114:4
agreement 27:12 29:11,22 34:22
   36:7,19 43:13,14 46:9 52:10
   52:12,18,23 64:12,12,22 65:1
  65:25 68:22 69:22 73:12 75:3
   75:5 76:19,22 77:2,21 79:20
   79:23,25 80:2,9,16,19 92:18
  93:11,18 94:6 97:18 101:3
   102:16,22 103:19,21,23,24
   131-16 132-15 18 133-16 17
   134:1,3,16 135:12 139:23
   147:20 148:18 152:15 153:16
ahead 5:15 6:6 14:5 15:21 28:1
  34:2 38:9 41:24 45:22 52:7
   59:24 69:21 73:3,6 86:17
   89:15,17 90:4 91:8 94:21
  116:25 123:2,9 124:23 126:6
alleged 62:14 122:22 135:12
allow 34:25 65:21 76:19 78:21
```

```
allowing 98:10
all-or-nothing 137:8
almost 149:15
alone 79:17,24 80:14 154:16
along 36:18
already 7:10 16:22 28:10 47:10
   48:5 82:21 86:14 90:5 100:22
   105:2 132:1 135:3,9 155:11
   156:1,11
altering 9:11
alternative 112:23 115:5
although 105:22 155:19
always 35:15,17 41:15 45:15
   55:7 57:1 58:19 71:21 116:21
   121:9 122:1 130:13 149:14,15
   149.15 151.9
among 96:16 99:14
amount 157:9
analysis 14:11
another 11:7 22:25 40:22 41:18
   46:25 61:13.16 62:9.14 63:20
   64:3,6 103:23 109:18 112:25
   121:4 122:15 125:3 127:4
   131:22 135:8 139:10 145:22
   145:24 155:3
answer 39:19 58:14 60:21 68:15
   68:16 70:2 71:4,6,23 79:11
   81:4.5 83:23 84:1.25 85:4.6
   85:25 86:2,4 89:17,20 90:21
   91:1.5.9 93:12.19.23 96:10
   140:10 149:9,9 150:1
answered 59:3 65:15 70:24 85:9
  92:5 93:2.3
answering 68:15 89:15
anybody 135:17 145:17
anymore 140:3
anyone 94:5
anything 17:16 19:20 22:24
   27:14 46:3 60:15 66:20 78:11
   79:2 8 14 81:5 12 94:11 14
   102:5 110:25 118:1,21 127:25
   129:7 135:10,11 137:11
   138:15 140:9 145:12
anywhere 50:12
apart 110:16,16 150:6
apartment 64:3,6 74:18,24
apartments 114:14
apologize 5:17 21:23 67:19,23
   79:11 89:20,22 94:20
apparent 14:20,22 101:11,12,20
   104:10 106:14 117:23 121:25
   135:7.7.13 136:2.8.17.19
   140:15 151:16,23,24 152:3
   153:12,15 155:14
Apparently 25:23 127:19 141:8
appeal 157:8,10,12
appealing 108:20
Appeals 111:19 140:2 150:5
  151:21 157:13
appear 25:15,17 75:19
appearance 133:7 136:21
appearances 1:14 101:13 136:20
appears 7:7 20:8,20 26:20 29:25
   141:5 154:14
appellant 119:25 120:7
appellants 120:4
appellate 115:17
appellees 120:3
apples 113:23,23
applied 155:13
applies 138:11
apply 77:24 138:7,8
appraisal 97:8
appraisals 97:11
apprised 92:13 104:5 105:3
approach 119:19
appropriate 82:5 112:20
approval 24:23 37:14 46:12
   56:10 65:2,7,8,14,22 66:1,4
```

```
99:18 105:7,8 121:9 123:14
   123:17,22
approves 121:9
approximately 77:10
April 24:11 69:14,17
aptly 146:12
Arena 137:5
argue 98:14
arguing 29:13
argument 3:16,17,18 72:20
   94:17 102:8 121:7 124:24
   132:11 136:5,8 137:1 141:21
arguments 96:2 144:12 156:1
arm 11:13
around 8:2 34:7 71:17 86:25
   109:19 118:20
arrangement 55:13
arranging 97:8
aside 152:16
asked 27:13 38:4,20,20 39:1
   59:3 63:20 65:15 70:17,20
   71:25 83:14 89:14 93:2.3
   100:11 102:1 140:18
asking 11:20,21 16:25 26:11
   47:8 62:5 63:5,5 65:10,10
   80:5 90:22 111:7 112:11
aspects 81:17
assent 63:9
assert 104:20
asserted 63:22 100:10
assertion 63:24
assessment 114:8
assets 77:5,15 98:12
assign 35:1
assisting 157:12
associating 68:19
association 68:20
assume 30:5 135:23 157:1
assumed 22:11
assuming 8:7 29:5 61:8 115:8
attachments 149:25
attack 98:25
attempt 97:7
attempted 6:10 54:16 62:16
   82:20 92:16,25 93:21 99:23
   145:10
attempts 112:24
attention 143:17
attorney 21:12 24:23 27:12,18
   28:9 29:6 66:21 116:11,24
   117:12,14 120:7,12,21 121:19
attorneys 154:6
attorney-in-fact 120:24
attorney/client 66:23 67:3,25
attributed 156:24
authored 133:19
authorities 111:14
authority 14:20,22,24 15:3
   21:20 22:10,11 23:6,13,24
   37:21 43:8 44:5,17 47:4,9
   53:8 56:6 63:25 65:2,7,14
   74:3,5 76:12 79:18 80:1,16
   81:2 91:22 92:2,10,14,19,23
   93:11,18 94:5 97:18,24,25
   98:5 99:5,21 100:1,19,20,24
   101:9,11,12,2 0 102:14 104:10
   106:13,14,17,2 4 117:16,24
   119:13 121:1,25 132:8,10,14
   132:17,21,23 133:8,14,16
   134:10,20 135:5,7,7,13
   136:17.19.22 140:15.16
   141:22,25 142:13 146:24
   147:7 149:3 151:14.16.16.23
   151:24 152:2,3,8 153:11,12
   153:15,15 154:8,8,20 155:2,3
   155:3.6.12 157:19
authorization 129:18
authorize 60:4 72:7 74:1,5 98:15
```

117:16 154:9 155:7 authorized 48:24 49:18 58:12 59:13,21 68:23 70:22 72:12 81:10.12 97:17 99:15 100:15 103:10 104:14 105:3 134:7,8 135:15 141:24 147:4,5 154:19 authorizing 106:7 availability 114:16 available 108:14 139:2 Avenue 1:20 2:7 148:2 156:16 158:9 average 18:6,7 award 140:12 aware 41:2,3 55:18,19 58:21,25 59:10 69:1,5,17 71:2,8 75:2 77.6 79.5 away 41:15 70:7 143:5 awhile 6:20 a.m 5:1 10:18,19 22:16 30:3,6 R **B** 36:3,12 baby 149:18 back 13:1 24:18 26:4,5 27:20 30:1 41:19 43:24 49:10 53:19 57:4 74:9 95:7 102:10 107:24 110:3,7,8 117:18 118:25 124:9 139:10 143:22 154:7 backed 108:1 backpedaled 39:4 153:5 backpedaling 25:2 bad 31:12 111:5 144:8 bank 78:5,7 98:12 bar 124:11 bargain 111:2 143:6 144:9 145:6 145.7 Barrasso 98:4 based 18:2 51:15 91:23 92:4,8 100:2 101:15 107:21 113:25 137:17 143:19 151:4,5,14 153:9 154:21,21 basically 126:13 basis 62:23 76:24 78:22,24 105:5 118:19 bears 43:14 134:10 beauty 88:13 became 61:24 Beekman 154:7 before 1:12 10:8 28:5 31:15 39:17 51:2 73:24 74:9 82:4 86:23 127:6 130:16 146:6 151:20,20 152:13 beginning 25:21 26:14 69:9 behalf 14:19 15:13 33:18 43:9 44:16 52:16 53:24 55:11.23 62:11 66:1,6 74:24 75:3,17 79:21 80:2,9,20 94:11 96:7 98:7 99:15 101:1,7 102:11 104:15 117:10 121:1 126:22 135:6 141:25 behind 39:2 73:21 being 55:19 67:19 69:4 77:8,9 81:19 86:25 104:23 109:7,22 138:23 140:8 141:22 147:3 151:4 156:4 **belied** 98:18 helief 49:15 believe 6:19 13:18 17:3,18 19:6 19:11 22:12 24:1 27:18 28:19 34:7 38:19 47:11 56:7,12 72:20 76:21 77:18 78:9 81:16 82:17.21 84:5 86:13.23 89:3 105:12,13 107:12,12 108:8 112:21 132:11 133:10 135:15 135:17 140:13 believed 14:23 15:3 122:2,6 Bell 149:16 Bellaire 154:24

below 49:10 124:23

bench 1:12 141:15 148:24 158:1 buying 41:6 benefit 111:2 114:13 143:5 145:7 Benner 138:20 Benson 101:18 151:20 152:4 best 11:14 49:14 65:8,11,17,19 78:15 82:12,13,2 2 87:17 bet 128:24 129:1 better 40:2,4,21 75:6 107:17 113:18 129:14 between 5:23 6:1,14 18:14 24:25 77:22 80:19 97:25 102:18,22 115:18 120:3 121:8 122:22 123:7 125:5 132:5 148:9 151:11 152:4 156:13 beyond 144:2,2 153:18,19 bias 133:12 biased 128:16 big 70:4 108:25 120:17 bind 101:20 106:17 119:1 132:15.18 133:17 136:2 146:24 153:12 155:4 binder 6:24 19:11 24:1.2 29:15 30:16 32:16 34:8,9,14 37:4 42:3 43:11,25 44:1,24 56:13 56:13 57:23 70:15,15 73:18 binding 14:14 15:14,15 16:1,6 52:23 96:4 100:13 101:3,10 103:13.21 107:1 121:21 142:1 142:4,5 154:20 156:4 binds 15:19 birth 54:24 55:2 Biscayne 137:6 bit 27:1 30:1 128:15 151:9 153:5 154.5 black 6:24 19:11 29:16 30:16 37:4 42:2,3 43:11,25 44:1,24 51:5,6 56:13,13 57:25 70:14 70:15 73:18 74:8 83:1 bluffing 143:9 boat 124:18,18,19,20,23 boats 124:18 bond 108:20 157:9 book 73:10 both 5:3 8:23 21:7 27:4,9 28:22 29:11,22 31:25 46:10 54:13 55:11.13.24 64:12 99:8 100:19 101:1,7 103:20,21 105:5 108:21 123:6 125:23 135:12 139:23 140:6 141:25 142:2,4 144:11,12 147:20 151:5,9,10 bottom 20:17 30:3 45:1 48:11,13 48:16,20 76:2 bought 41:14 **Boulevard** 2:2 bound 142:14 143:10 149:8 152:7 breach 140:4 147:18 155:20 breached 97:4 break 95:6 Brickell 1:20 brief 97:22 146:10 bring 155:10 broadest 154:2 broker 132:13.17.20 brought 107:5 134:1,4 146:5 Brown 154:24 budging 11:9 build 109:17 building 40:22 built 109:7,14 142:18 143:7 business 29:11,22 98:8 buy 124:18,20 140:22 141:1,3 144:1 145:22 146:21 buyer 23:3 40:18 57:10 120:3

buyers 6:9 8:24 11:14 16:3

19:18 24:6 117:25 118:13

bypass 38:24 B-e-e-k-m-a-n 154:7 148.8 C 158:3,3 cahoots 121:8 California 110:3 121:4 call 5:4.5 23:14.17 33:15 72:23 85:14 126:6 129:25 called 40:17 130:3 149:15 calling 27:24 calls 33:19 42:10 71:15 came 40:24 90:10 111:15 126:13 127:11 135:1 140:6 150:7 Canada 110:3 Canadians 81:25 canceled 120:12 capacity 148:4 Captain 150:10 care 70:4 121:10 123:3,4 139:5 careless 143:17,18 Casablanca 150:11 case 1:3 13:6,7,8,1 2 16:23 17:14 19:7 20:7 31:23 32:15 33:16 37:10 43:4 51:19 58:24 63:23 64:5,7 65:4 67:20 68:24 73:23 77:14 96:4 97:16 98:4,5,19 101:4,6,18 102:7 103:13 104:5,8 106:25 107:22 112:20 115:23 117:25 119:9,24 120:10,11,18,1 9 121:2 122:10 122:15.16.126:11.130:20 129:21 131:22 132:3,22 133:4 134:17 135:1,4,10 136:1,13,24 137:4 137:8 138:17 140:16 144:23 145:25 146:5,12,15,22 147:10 147:19 149:15.17.18.19.21 151:19,21 152:4,6,16 154:4,7 154:13,24 155:5,6,15,17 156:2,9 157:15,16,17 cases 97:21 117:15,18,1 9 132:11 135:3 138:8 144:10,11,13,22 146:4,10,14 149:18,20 151:15 152:14 154:6,18 155:9,22,23 156:6 55:23 caught 125:15 centered 113:2 certain 64:22 84:6,7,17,18,19 90:21 91:13 120:1 149:24 153:6 certainly 91:2 95:1 108:21,24 155:5 109:3 128:5 145:21 153:11 Certificate 3:19 certify 158:4 cetera 56.9 chambers 154:6 chance 115:20 change 12:1 101:14 135.2 changed 64:19 129:13 changes 28:13 96:19.21 changing 41:7 137:7 character 150:10 characterization 27:24 charge 134:1 charged 130:22 134:5 147:3 charging 143:2 check 79:4.5 checks 77:11 79:6,6 **CHIEF** 1:13 **child** 70:5 Chris 58:5 **CHRISTOPHER** 1:15 circles 18:10,11 Circuit 98:4 104:13 111:18 112:15 149:14,17 circumstances 89:7 97:21 132:19,20 155:14

cited 97:21 149:18 151:15,20

156:6 cites 136:23 citing 132:11 137:5 civil 147:21 153:19 claim 64:4 99:17 112:6 132:4 claims 99:9 149:20 Claude 150:11 clear 16:4 41:13 43:20 85:15,17 96:4 106:16 114:22 145:13 clearly 100:17 132:21 133:21 135:4 147:2 client 51:17 67:20 68:3,5 126:23 clients 11:5 14:15,23 16:2 20:11 107:23,23 109:23 110:5 119:2 128:16 129:1.3.15 client's 67:5 cloaked 101:20 close 31:15 41:16,18 46:25 61:13 62:1,2,12 110:15 140:7 closed 113:4,25 140:5 closing 3:16,17,18 61:13 94:17 95:16 96:2 97:11 107:4 156:17 157:5 cloud 107:14 108:22 clouds 107:13 colleague 155:15 collect 129:8 Collins 148:2 156:16 combination 69:4 70:7 come 7:24 8:15,22 23:3 40:25 57:9 77:17 87:22 89:19 92:7,8 92:8.21 95:6 124:16 129:13 comes 17:19 105:25 129:17 150:1 156:11 coming 31:12 114:21 commencement 65:21 79:17 80:5 122:18 133:6 136:9 commencing 79:25 comment 110:22 Commercial 2:2 commission 125:23 133:12 commissions 157:18 common 13:9 51:16 communicate 6:21 54:22 55:8 communicated 57:13,15,18,21 58:11 59:7 85:24,25 88:3 communicating 54:19 149:4 communications 58:17 116:5 company 107:8 120:3 138:20 comparable 113:23 115:9,10 comparables 113:25 compare 113:22 Complaint 69:14 149:25 complete 96:12 97:2,7 completely 13:11 97:14 103:14 compliment 18:21 concede 81:7 115:9 122:2,3,8 conceded 99:10 100:5 106:11 121:18 concerned 156:18 concise 32:3 conclude 151:13 153:14,23 155:13 concluded 158:1 concludes 153:7 concluding 156:12 conclusion 157:2 conclusions 147:23 concur 56:2 concurred 52:17 55:25,25 condition 138:19,21 139:4 conditional 81:13 conditions 61:8.10.12.89:6

```
134:13
condo 6:10 26:3 35:12 41:14
  50:10,13 53:24 62:17 77:4
  82:20 109:11,12,22 123:5
  126:7 145:22
condominium 18:5 75:6.12.17
  77:9 79:7 90:13 92:1,9 144:24
  147:18 148:1.12 151:18
  152:14 153:8 156:15,24
condos 109:7,15 111:20 115:8
  129:22 141:7
conduct 97:20 100:24 103:18
  104:3,16 123:7 142:11,12
conducted 152:5
confer 79:4,10,10
conferred 97:19,24 98:1,5
conferring 79:3,9,15
confirming 147:11
conflict 102:18
confused 86:24 87:12 99:17
  105:22 106:5
confusing 21:21
Congrats 27:4,9
congratulating 25:1
congratulations 42:11
conjunction 35:15
connection 6:10,18 54:20 56:8
   62:16 92:15,16,23,25 93:21
  99:21,23 104:7 105:7 147:8
cons 89:5
consent 65:8 122:24 126:4
consented 122:23
consider 31:16 32:14 33:1,5
  41:17 54:5
considerable 121:2
consideration 115:23
considered 100:13 101:2 111:20
  111.21
consistent 47:1 99:1,6 132:19
consistently 153:16
constantly 35:25
construction 46:16 135:20
construed 154:1
contact 19:7
contemplated 154:25
CONTENTS 3:1
contest 12:20
contested 63:21 97:15
context 26:15
continuation 26:24 27:15
continue 85:8
continued 97:7
contract 8:23 9:18,20 11:11
   12:15 14:17 16:1,12 19:14
  20:24 24:24 25:1.24 26:17
  27:19,21 28:7,9,10,25 31:24
  32:21 37:9.12.15 39:9 42:23
  43:1,9 47:4 63:8,10,17 72:7
  72:13 73:19 74:6 90:8,9,11
  96:4,13,24 97:1,4,5,7,9,14,15
   101:10,21 106:23 107:1,3
  108:4.9.12.15 111:22 116:10
   117:10,11,13,16 119:8 120:2
   120:6.22 121:3.22 123:18.19
  125:17 126:18 127:24 130:21
   131:14 132:5 133:14,19 134:2
   134:8,12,13,15 135:21 137:11
   137:15 138:1,3,25 140:4,8,14
  143:12 145:10 146:25 147:3
   147:11,18 148:11,23 149:1,11
   153:3,13,17 154:9 155:7,19
  156:13
contracted 145:5
contractor 46:7,10,13 48:19,21
   50:6,25 65:24 75:15,24
  143.18
contracts 37:1 135:21 142:19
  143:6 144:9
contradict 103:14
```

```
contradicted 13:11 99:13
contrary 100:11 144:1 152:10
  155:23
contravention 140:14
control 134:24,25 152:25
controlled 29:5.7
controlling 44:8
conversation 10:13 11:1,5 23:22
  24:21,25 46:8,21 52:16 61:6
   81:13 82:17,18,22 83:12 84:8
  85:11 87:10,14,15,15,16,16,20
conversations 41:20 43:3,6
  61:21 89:4 90:6 91:24,25 92:4
  92:6 100:2 118:3
convey 96:16 155:4
convince 11:13 88:6,6,7
convinced 11:15
Coomes 121:20
cop 17:14
copy 25:3,3 44:3 51:10,25
  131:24
Corporation 149:16
correct 6:11.12 9:19 11:3 13:16
   19:20,21 20:7,18 22:16,17
   23:7 25:15,19,21 26:15,24
  27:2,4,5,11,15,1 6 28:3,22
   29:23 30:9,10 35:6,17,19 36:1
  36:15 42:21.24 44:6.20 49:15
   49:19 50:1,13,15,18,20,24
  51:19,23 52:12,19,23,25
   53:12,25 54:13,17,23 55:14
   55:17,24 56:6,16,20,25 58:13
   59:9.22 60:5 61:3.4.9.16
   63:13,18 64:18 65:2,22 66:18
  68:14 69:18,22 70:23,25 71:9
   71:17 76:2,4,20,2 5 77:5,22
   78:14,19,19,20,2 2 79:1,16,18
   79:21 80:2.13.17.20.22 81:2
   81:11 85:20 86:6,22 87:6
  91:17 92:11,13,17,19,22 93:1
   93:23,25 107:16 112:13
   113:14 116:16,20 122:21
   123:24,25 124:2,7 135:25
   137:22 139:7
correctly 7:8 89:20
correspondence 22:5
cost 113:21
costs 142:24
counsel 5:3 91:20
count 15:5 54:15 137:13 157:3
counter 10:13 11:2
countered 148:15
counteroffer 7:7,23 8:16 11:4
   14:14 15:3,25,25 16:6,9 23:23
  31:4 32:6,12,12 41:4 61:5
   64:1 66:5 96:6,11,19,21 97:17
   98:15 100:13 16 18 101:3 6 8
   101:23 106:13,15,17 141:21
   148:16,22 153:23
counteroffers 6:14 41:1.21 56:9
   56:20,25 57:4 59:14,21 60:4
   70:22 76:10 80:25 81:7 99:15
   103:10 123:21,24 124:2,9
   137:19 148:9 149:5 150:9
   151:3 152:9
country 145:1
counts 15:8 111:10 157:4
county 109:9 148:2
couple 24:11 34:7 54:1 127:4
   147:20.22
course 97:24 100:24 103:18,20
  104:3 119:20 123:7 125:13.25
   142:9,10,12 147:17 150:14
   151:11 156:23
court 1:1 2:6 5:2,7,10,15,2 3 6:1
  6:6 7:9,11,15 8:4,6,8 9:9 10:8
   10:12,16,19,21,2 3 11:18,21,24
```

12:2,6,8,10,16,21,2 4 13:4,6

13:10,14,17,20,22,2 4 14:2,5,7

```
14:10.16.22 15:4.8.10.14.16
   15:18,21 17:6,8,11,13,21,25
   18:4,10,15,18 19:2 20:19
   21:24 22:3,22 28:1 30:12 31:6
   31:9,12,13,16,19,2 1 32:7,10
   32:14.17 33:1.5.7.9.11.14.18
   33:20,23 34:2,13,15,17 35:23
   36:4 5 8 10 13 16 19 22 24
   37:2,25 38:1,6,9,15,18,22,25
   39:3,7,21,24 40:5,8,12,16,25
   41:3.9.19.2.4.42:16.44:9.12.22
   44:25 45:2,4,6,9,12,17,19,22
   47:6.10.13.15.24.48:2.4.7
   49:22 52:7 53:16 59:4 62:20
   62:23 63:1,3,5,11,14,16,19,23
   64:2,5,9 65:16 66:22,24 67:1
   67:4,6,11,15,17,21,2 4 68:4,8
   68:10.15 70:2.10 71:12.19.24
   72:2,9,16,22,2 4 73:1,6 76:7
   76:15 86:11,16 88:4,8,10,12
   88:15,17 89:11,15,17 93:3
   94:2,9,11,14,16,19,2 4 95:2,4
   95:5,10,11,16,20,23,2 5 97:1
   98:3,16,20,23 99:6 100:9
   101:17,25 102:4,18,24 103:2
   103:15,23 104:1,23 105:10,12
   105:15,20,24 106:3,19,21
   107:5,10,14,17,20,2 3 108:1,6
   108:10,16,25 109:5,11,13
   110-13 19 21 2 3 111-3 6 9 13
   111:17,19,24 112:1,3,5,8,10
   112:12,14 113:7,11,13,16,19
   114:11,14,17,20,2 4 115:1,8,14
   115:20,24 116:1,7,11,13,15,18
   116:23,25 117:4,7,9,15,21
   118:2,6,9,12,15 119:4,6,9,11
   119:18,20,25 120:5,11,15,19
   120:23 121:6.15.18 122:1.5
   122:10,14,20 123:16,19,23
   124:1.3.6.10.2 2 125:5.10.13
   125:25 126:3,11,15,19,22,25
   127:2,6,10,13,15,17,2 1 128:2
   128:5,9,15,19,23,2 5 129:3,6
   129:11,15,21 130:1,5,10,13,18
   130:23,25 131:2,5,9,11,13,22
   131:24 132:4,10 134:19
   136:15,21 137:2,7,10,14,17,20
   137:23,24 138:1,7,10,12,13,15
   139:5,8,11,13,15,17,20,25
   140:1,2,18,22,2 5 141:7,9,13
   141:15,18 142:5,7,10,17,20
   143.13 22 24 144.10 145.4 12
   145:19 146:4,7,14,17,21,23
   147:12,15,22 149:21 150:5
   151:13.21 152:6 153:6.19
   154:5,24 155:10 157:12,23
   158:8
Courthouse 2:7 158:8
Courtroom 1:6
courts 17:22 115:17
Court's 115:3
cousins 15:5
covered 100:22
co-trustee 32:2 50:18 64:23 96:7
  148:17,21,25 152:13
co-trustees 1:9 32:22 66:1 80:2
   96:8 99:16 101:2.7 104:21
   109:24 123:8 148:3 149:12
crane 109:20,20
crash 109:18
crazy 18:7
create 35:1 136:21
created 101:13 136:4,20 142:16
   143.11 155.2
credibility 39:11 98:25 105:24
```

```
criteria 61:20.22.23
                                     cross 30:20
                                    Cross-Examination 3:6,10,14
                                       19:2,4 47:15,16 76:15,16
                                     current 65:25
                                    currently 107:11 113:2
                                    D 2:7 158:8
                                     daily 76:24 78:24 105:5 118:19
                                     DALE 2:1
                                     damage 114:10
                                     damages 111:22 112:7,17,22,23
                                       114:1,9,9 115:5,13 137:20,21
                                        138:16 140:3,10 144:14
                                       146:19 156:18
                                     darker 25:16,16
                                     Dartmouth 17:16
                                    date 8:2 9:6,23 10:1 27:8 61:13
                                       74:20 75:15 80:3,3 117:18
                                       158:7
                                     dated 150:18,22
                                     dates 77:11 80:12 150:24
                                     daughter 40:2,21 117:10,14
                                     daughters 120:11 121:4
                                     David 5:13
                                    day 11:7,16 16:11 31:11 59:10
                                       92:21 96:23 102:9 115:2
                                       129:20
                                     days 147:20,22 157:6
                                     day-to-day 77:3 78:22
                                    DCA 134:12 136:17,24
                                     deadlines 149:24
                                    deal 6:17 15:12 69:8 84:23
                                        101:19 109:16 121:20 122:2,5
                                        122:6,8 125:12,13 126:3
                                        143:14 144:17,19,20 156:3
                                     dealing 60:13 97:25 135:14
                                       144:10 151:11,25 155:22
                                     deals 17:15 126:2
                                     dealt 135:16.16
                                     December 104:5
                                     decide 38:11,14 90:8,9 115:4
                                        141:1 156:25
                                     decided 40:12 46:10 61:7 75:6
                                       75:16 88:24 153:22
                                     decision 25:9 40:13 88:20,25
                                       89:25 90:3,7 91:7,10,12 100:5
                                       113:19 149:13 152:20,24,24
                                       153:3,24
                                    decisions 35:11 89:4 90:6 123:14
                                       143:19
                                     declare 49:13
                                     decorator 140:7
                                     default 108:5,8,13
                                    defendant 2:1 6:22 32:5,11,13
                                       33:18,19,22 72:17,25 94:11
                                       94:18 96:7 98:10 123:8
                                        147:25 148:14 149:7 150:2
                                     defendants 1:10 4:7 5:2 6:14.17
                                        12:3,14 13:12 16:16 19:7 20:7
                                       31:22 32:15,23 33:11 34:18
                                       36:8,9 64:4 73:9 96:5,22 97:3
                                       97:4,5,8,14,16 98:14,14,16
                                       100:9,10,14,2 1 101:2,10
                                        102:8 103:5 104:20 105:5
                                       106:14,18 116:6 128:13
                                       131:11 142:1,3,16,22 144:5
                                        145:14 147:19 148:18,19
                                       150:21.22 151:8 153:12
                                        155:18 156:19 157:7
                                     defense 33:16 34:15 94:13 95:2
                                       97:16 104:19 116:2,2 149:10
                                     defenses 32:19 96:10
                                     definite 61:22
   106:1,3 156:10,11 157:15
                                     definitely 87:20 125:8
\textbf{credible}\ 98{:}18\ 99{:}13\ 105{:}16
                                     definitively 65:3,3
   126:9 151:1,4 153:4
                                     degree 150:8
criminal 17:14
```

delegate 155:3

delete 53:18,20
deliver 59:14,21 60:4 70:22
delivered 71:3 81:7 demeanor 151:5
demonstrate 15:1
demonstrated 106:23
denial 150:8
denied 132:9 deny 33:15
denying 155:18
depending 39:13
depends 64:19 77:6 deposit 96:23
deposited 143:25
deposition 24:5 57:22 58:20
59:16 60:3,18,22 61:2 67:21 70:14 82:23 90:15 93:6 98:18
98:22,24 99:9,11,16,17 103:6
103:10 104:5 105:18,23
106:11 118:7 149:4 151:6 153:6
depositions 142:25
deprived 114:13
Description 4:4 deserve 143:1,2
desperately 145:2
despite 77:2 103:12 142:2
details 77:12
determined 140:11 150:4 detriment 82:6
detrimentally 101:15
difference 15:4 38:15,16 63:19
63:23 113:20 120:17 125:5,9 156:23
different 14:3 41:10 57:2 58:16
58:21 109:20 121:6 122:10,14
122:24 140:9 152:9 differential 110:9
difficult 68:17,20 151:10
direct 3:5,9,13 6:7 34:3 52:5
73:8 121:14 directed 33:12 66:2 79:25 80:14
directly 6:21 38:21 75:4 119:23
director 48:25 49:18
disagree 12:22 87:6 disagreeing 88:2
disayowed 97:14 102:13
disclose 16:24 50:3,9 52:11
disclosed 50:5
disclosure 26:2,11,12 discovery 130:1
discretion 115:4 149:22 150:5
discuss 55:2 65:11 72:4 80:8
86:5 93:1 discussed 42:17 44:19 66:3,19
66:21 74:23,25 75:13 82:15
88:22 89:2 90:2 100:7 101:17
121:12 151:20 discussing 85:18 88:19 102:9
discussion 54:21 55:5,22 64:17
74:19,22 82:14 85:2,23 86:6
discussions 82:7 83:21 84:22 92:11 106:6 107:22
dismiss 32:24 33:2,4 111:10
140:21
dismissed 157:4
displace 140:23 dispose 98:13
disposition 77:24
dispute 12:2 13:17,20,2 1 37:9
143:25 147:24 150:24 152:12 disputed 149:3
disputes 109:16 152:15 157:17
disqualified 16:23
disregard 97:18 118:5,9 distant 105:10 153:7
distinction 156:7
distinctions 154:18 155:24
156:2

```
distinguishable 146:16
distinguished 115:18 146:12
district 1:1,1,13 111:18 149:21
  151:21
diversity 147:17
DIVISION 1:2
DK 137:5
docs 26:3
doctrine 104:13 136:17,19 138:5
doctrines 101:11
document 7:20.24 8:13.15.20.22
  34:20 43:12 46:1,3 49:20 50:8
   50:12 53:8 63:12 69:12 73:11
   73:24 74:1,3,17 75:10,11,13
   76:4 99:5 104:21 132:8
documentation 45:14
documents 101:1 102:13 136:12
doing 7:8 39:2 46:18 52:17
   60:14 87:17 129:19
dollars 91:11 109:24
done 53:3 64:11 68:18 104:15
   105:4 107:12 117:24 121:8
   143:7 154:5
door 40:23
doors 41:15
double-check 47:12
doubt 16:5 88:16 144:2,3 153:18
  153-19
down 11:6,15 27:1 29:25 31:23
  39:15 42:19 45:4 46:19 54:11
   72:16 79:12,13 85:21 94:21
   147.14
downstairs 46:18
drawn 132:18
drive 1:16 24:15
drug 17:15
due 138:24
duly 154-19
duplicative 111:11 157:5
during 55:21 72:4,22 110:17,19
  153:6
duty 68:2
E 158:3.3
each 21:5 35:25 38:7 41:16
  54:13 79:5 86:12 88:5 127:2
```

146:15

early 6:14 81:1

easiest 140:19,23

East 2:2 124:13

economy 111:3

effect 133:18 135:8

effectiveness 134:13

effectuate 133:23

eight 75:19 112:25

123:15 141:3

elements 102:23 106:22,23

election 112:20

elegant 108:6

134:21

149:14,17

118:10.11 125:1

efforts 146:1

ego 108:25

EB 137:5

easier 127:22,23 141:3,4

earlier 19:6 24:7 70:17 107:22 effective 27:22 117:11 127:19 either 6:21 39:8 52:3 54:22 61:19 75:3 82:10 84:7 86:23 89:11 104:15 107:24 108:5 Eleventh 98:4 104:13 112:15 email 5:23 6:1 9:15,16 10:9,25 16:12 22:5,7,12,20,22 23:17 24:8.14 35:19 42:9 43:22 44:2 44:2 47:1 53:5,7,14 56:15 69:20,21 85:9,12 97:3 118:8 emails 24:9 44:7 53:11 97:13

```
130:11
embarrassing 53:17
embodied 96:11
emphasize 150:22
employed 132:14
employment 132:19
encompass 115:5
encumber 98:6
end 33:16
ended 127:15
endowed 132:17
enforce 107:2 143:21 145:11
enforceable 126:18 127:25
enforcement 143:6
enforcing 111:2
engage 54:6
engaging 102:9
English 108:11
enough 14:24 18:16 21:24 66:15
   66:17 68:14 110:8 119:12,15
   123:3.5 155:12
ensure 79:6
enter 44:5,17 47:4 72:7,12 94:6
  97:18
entered 48:6,10,12,13 76:11
   120:2 148:11
entering 37:14 135:11
entire 38:5
entirely 99:10 132:19
entitled 52:18 112:5 126:19
  144:21 151:17
entity 101:4
entries 48:23
equally 62:2
Equitable 138:19
error 12:22 13:9
escape 142:18 143:7
escrow 26:1,18 96:24 139:17
   143:21
ESQ 1:15,19 2:1,1
essential 63:9 96:12 134:20
essentially 76:24 78:21
establish 14:20.22
established 106:22 112:17 136:9
establishes 100:18
establishment 150:13
estate 6:9 14:19 17:9,10,19 18:2
   18:19 25:6 43:9 54:20 57:1,9
   62:5 66:17 68:14 71:21 82:15
   96:6.13 98:17 101:19.20.21
   116:7 119:9 120:3 125:24
   130:21 132:12.16 133:17
   135:6,22 146:17 149:19
   151:12 157:17
estimate 113:21
estimated 114:6
estoppel 137:2,3,12 138:4,6
et 56:9
EVANS 2:1
even 11:15 22:5 41:12.17 45:18
   45:20 78:8 80:7 87:12 90:11
   101.8 103.21 106.13 109.11
   110:16 111:3 117:11 118:6,21
   119:6 120:7,8,21 122:11
   123:24 137:14 140:4.7 144:17
   150:4 151:22 152:2 155:12
   156:20
evening 22:23
event 108:4,13 152:24 157:3,7
eventually 105:17 119:1
ever 6:21 8:25 16:8,16 21:12,15
   23:14 28:19 37:14,21 43:6,8
   44:3 47:8 50:3 51:10 67:17,18
   67:18.18 72:7.12 73:23 74:1.3
   74:5 82:17 90:7 93:10,17 94:5
   106:10 123:16 128:6 129:2
   134:10 136:3 146:6
every 38:3 53:20 56:5 57:15
```

79:5 102:9,17 129:20 144:3

```
everybody 110:15 116:21 127:2
   130:13 141:9
everyone 15:2 112:4
everything 19:17,19 30:5 35:15
   37:25 40:20,24 46:14 92:14
   92:23 99:19 21 102:5 104:6
   105:4,7,9 130:9 147:8
evicted 131:5
evidence 4:3 6:4 34:12,13,18
   47:22 48:8 76:11 97:20 98:24
   99:2 100:17.20.2 3 103:11.13
   103:14 104:3 106:16 112:21
   113:2,5 118:1 139:3 140:5
   142:12 146:23 147:22 152:10
   153:20 156:7
evident 50:12
exact 8:2 80:3
exactly 25:7 26:13 77:10 119:23
examination 3:5,7,9,11,13,15
   6:7 30:13 34:3 52:6 70:12
   73:8 94:3
examine 151:8
example 17:14 77:14
except 142:10
exception 137:3
exchange 6:13,18 56:24 84:24
exchanging 148:8
exclamation 27:25
exclamatory 27:21,25
exclusion 144:3
exclusively 15:13 101:19
excuse 24:10 63:2 66:25 87:3
   108:17
excused 31:6,8,9,11,1 4 138:24
execute 32:21 43:8 44:18 74:1,3
   74:6 80:1 120:6 132:8,24
   149:11 153:3,17,24 155:7
executed 8:23 9:18,20 16:12
   24:24 25:1,24 27:21 28:7,10
   28.13 32.12 96.6 116.10
   131:12 132:25 133:13 148:16
   155:1
executing 46:9 146:25
execution 120:13 154:15
exercise 67:24 115:3
exercised 64:21,25 65:5,12
exhibit 4:6,7,8 5:24 6:3,24 7:2
   7:17,18 8:11,18 9:14,22,23
   10:3,7,9 11:19,19,25 15:25
   19:11 20:18 22:12.19 23:19
   23:20 29:15 30:15,17 31:18
   32:16 34:14.15.1 8 36:5.6.8
   37:4 43:11 44:9,23 47:18 48:8
   50:9 51:4 56:12,13 58:4 63:17
   68:22 69:10 70:15 73:9.10.17
   73:20,21 74:8,16 75:9 94:6
   96:9,12,17 103:25 148:13,19
   150:17,19,20,21,2 5 151:8
exhibits 4:1,3 5:17 6:25 9:21
   41:9 157:11,21
existed 41:12
existence 134:21
existing 80:11,12
exists 135:13 140:14
expects 126:15
expenditures 35:11
expenses 77:9,20
experience 18:2 135:19
expert 16:23 17:11,13,15,21,23
   18:1.18
expertise 17:4
expired 149:24
explain 17:24
explaining 103:17
explanation 36:22
explanations 105:19
express 44:5 157:18,19
expressed 100:19 101:9 134:12
   148:7 155:11
```

```
extensive 147:6
extent 135:13 149:24
extra 123:4
extreme 64:20 102:7
extremely 86:24 138:17
F 158:3
face 84:22,22
fact 12:19 15:2 17:3 23:1,9,10
  24:24 50:20 52:10 57:13,17
   57:17 60:24 62:4 64:8 65:5,12
   68:25 78:18 87:11.11 92:14
   100:22 102:14 103:12 116:22
   121:2 147:23.24 150:8 154:14
factor 40:22
facts 17:2 32:4,9,24 49:13 97:20
  105:3 119:11 152:6
factual 17:1 108:21 151:7
  154:12
fact-intensive 156:8
failing 70:6
fails 136:10
failure 16:23 148:21
fairly 45:14 127:17
fakes 102:5
fall 131:13 137:1
fallback 152:1
falls 25:22
false 99:11 103:6
family 1:9 22:23 40:7 61:14
  126:6 133:22 140:24 147:25
   148:19 156:13
far 9:21 59:10 69:3 110:16,16
   135:17 156:18
fatal 148:22
faucet 64:19
favor 108:17 109:22 119:25
  125:15
favors 143.6
Fax 1:18,22 2:4
FDIC 98:4
February 1:8 6:15 8:2,17 9:3,7
  9:23 10:10,25 16:11 22:15,19
  23:5,15,18 26:20,22 27:8,10
  28:8,19 30:3,6,18,23 47:2
   55:17 56:9 66:5 71:6 72:5
   81:1 96:5,18,20 97:3,17 98:15
   100:12,15 101:23 106:16
   150:18,23,24
Federal 147:21
FEDERICO 1:12
fee 123:4 129:9
feel 25:4 126:7 145:6
feeling 86:25
fell 88:21 89:1 90:1 100:6
felt 25:2 78:13 145:6
Ferguson 2:7 158:8
few 70:8
Fifth 107:22 111:18,18
fighting 130:1
figure 144:4
figured 38:23
file 157:21
filed 50:23 68:24 69:6 149:25
files 157:8
Filing 157:11
fill 46.3
filled 19:16.17.18.2 0 46:6 75:24
final 116:20 137:1 148:16
  150:23
finalizing 126:3
finally 32:23 121:16,18 136:8
finances 98:11
financial 77:5 78:22 98:9 100:4
find 44:8 53:20 57:4,10 62:9
   68:17,20 126:8,10,11,12,18
   127:24 143:25 144:21 146:15
```

expressly 43:8 104:16

```
149:15 152:6,10 153:21
   154:22
finder 15:2 17:3
finding 64:2,6 148:22 151:7
   152:1,7 157:3
findings 39:11 147:23 153:19
   154:12 156:11 157:15
fine 91:3
finish 70:2 89:17 113:22
finished 40:15
fire 66:17 68:14
first 14:2 23:20 25:15 28:23 45:6
  69:7 74:25 90:10 118:2
   121:17 133:1 146:12,20 149:6
   149:13 156:9
fit 61:14
Fitzgerald 1:16,20
five 31:23 72:18 88:14,16 95:24
   146:10
fix 124:12
flexible 149:23
flip 10:7 22:18 26:23 32:3 56:12
  58:2 70:17 73:9
floor 113:1 118:17 157:1
flooring 113:13,15
Florida 1:1,7,21 2:3,8 49:12
   63:11 97:1 107:22 111:19
   117:15.22 119:11 132:4
   134:11 136:24 137:2,4,25
   148:2 151:18 154:25 158:9
flurry 130:10
fly 11:6.15
focused 60:11
follow 115:11 117:21,22 137:9
following 5:1 11:6 95:15
follows 114:2
footage 114:1
foregoing 49:13 100:17 158:4
Forgive 104:12
forgot 73:2
form 50:3
formality 46:15
former 129:15
Fort 2:3
forth 57:5 80:20 85:23 94:6
  96:12 118:25 124:9 146:9
forwarded 24:15 42:21
forwent 143:20
found 28:23 101:18 117:14
  136:1 144:23 146:23 154:17
four 30:9 62:7 68:23 69:20
  75:18 130:9
fourth 29:18 136:17
FPR 2:6 158:7
frame 61:25
fraud 126:25
frauds 116:17 130:22 131:19
   133:25 137:4 138:3,4,9
   140:15 147:2 155:1
Freudian 22:3
frivolous 155:25
from 6:17 9:4,5 10:9 12:2 16:1
   16:10 19:23 21:12,15,16
   22:15,20 23:24 24:14,16,23
   25:1.17 30:19 37:14 41:15
   44:3 50:12 51:15 52:21 56:10
   58:17 60:17 61:2 62:21 64:12
   68:6 78:11 96:5,8,24 97:20
   98:16 100:11 101:23 103:5,7
   108:18 109:6 111:3 116:4
   121:7 122:10,19 127:17
   128:25 130:15 132:19 133:7
   133:14 135:1 138:24 140:25
   140.25 142.2 144.8 146.13
   147:19 149:14 150:6 151:10
   151:21 154:8,13,24 155:6,15
   155:17
front 6:24 24:1 34:9 117:20
   118:1 139:3
```

```
fully 9:18,19 27:21 75:2 104:4
  105:3
fun 127:8
function 22:23
funds 78:11,16 139:3
furnished 113:7 140:7,8 156:24
furniture 140:7,9 141:6
further 18:25 22:24 30:11 31:5
   47:14 69:25 72:15 76:14
   91:20 94:1,8 100:20
furtherance 59:12,20 70:21
future 128:6 157:22
F.3d 149-16
                G
gamble 111:9
gambling 150:12,12
gave 44:5,15 47:4,8 52:14 56:2.5
   58:20,21 60:7,22 76:6 105:8
   105:22,23 106:14 123:16,22
   129:18 134:10 141:25 142:13
   152:8
general 41:22
generalities 71:10 77:12
generally 56:21 72:6 77:3 79:5
generic 41:22
gets 129:19
getting 40:2.4.21 129:23
GILDA 2:6 158:7
give 11:11 13:6 29:14 37:14 43:8
   74:3,5 75:11 76:11 83:8,10
   92:10 94:5 95:5 120:9,16
   122:15,25 129:18 131:22
   133:7,9,16 137:4 143:8,10
   152:18
given 63:25 99:11 112:16 115:11
  120:11 122:19 132:8 147:7
gives 123:14
giving 18:1,1 53:8 58:16 75:4
   79:20 126:7 127:25
glasses 73:2
go 5:15 6:6 10:8 11:8 14:4,5
   15:21 16:18 17:16 24:18 28:1
   29:12 34:2 38:9 39:15,18
   41:19.24 45:22 52:7 59:24
   62:10 69:21 72:19 73:3,6 74:9
   75:4 77:18 78:5,7,8 85:21
   86:17 87:4,22 89:15,17 90:4
   90:15 91:8 94:21 95:25 110:3
   110:3.14 116:25 118:16.23
   119:11 121:5 123:2,9 124:11
   124:20.23.23 125:2.6.16
   126:6,8 127:9 133:11 139:25
   140:1 144:11 145:8 147:16
   154:6
goal 88:6
goals 91:15
goes 28:21 69:3 107:1 118:25
   121:25 129:23
going 11:6 18:20 25:8 33:1,5
   35:21 36:17,25 38:4,17,20,24
   39:18 40:23 41:7 46:23 50:6
   53:19 56:21 57:4 61:23 69:1
   70:6 72:20 74:24 80:9 86:13
   90:9 91:11,12 112:11 124:8,9
   124:10 125:12,15,18 126:1,4
   128:20 129:2 131:13,22 143:4
   143:5,16 147:16 150:2 151:1
   151:3,13 152:8 156:16 157:2
   157:4,13
gone 12:16 144:8 145:6,7,9
   146:18
good 53:18 79:7 119:15 123:1
   144:12 156:1
gotten 57:20 141:5
```

gphofficialreporter@gmail.com

fruition 87:23 89:19 90:10 92:8

frustrating 86:18

full 75:3

```
2:8 158:10
grab 73:20
grand 108:7 110:8 115:2 122:6
   139:9 144:16
grandchild 61:24 62:1
grant 111:9 115:4 132:21,22
   135:5 146:23
granted 138:18
greater 132:21,22 135:5
Greensboro 1:16
grief 142:25
grounds 128:5
Group 2:2
guess 38:13 44:7 54:25 60:21,23
   69:19 70:6 85:10 107:14
   118:19 141:7
guidance 149:14
guy 18:6 24:22 108:10
guys 35:19 110:14 113:16 126:6
   141:15
guy's 24:22
               Н
half 38-13
hall 155:18
Hancock 119:13
hand 5:8 11:11 33:21 72:24
   131:21
handed 146:10 154:5
handle 53:23 77:3 98:8 100:4
handling 100:23
hands 132:13
handwriting 49:3
happen 30:25 46:23 61:23 75:14
   108:16 109:1,1 110:1,7,10
   118:15 128:24
happened 28:19 30:5 41:23
   126:16
happening 40:20,23 69:3
happens 109:5,21 110:2 118:18
hard 21:24 24:15 110:21 114:21
Harris 1:15 3:5,7,10,14,16,18
   5:5,16,20,2 5 6:5,8 7:10,16
   8:10 9:13 10:24 11:20,23,25
   12:13.18 13:18.21.23.25 14:3
   14:6,8,12,18 15:1,6,9,11,15
   15:17,20,23 16:25 17:7,10,12
   17:20.22 18:3.9.13.17.25
   21:21 27:23 30:14 31:5,15,20
   31:22 32:8,11,18 33:3,6,8,10
   34:16 35:21 37:23 38:3,8
   47:17,21 48:1,9 49:23 52:9
   53:21 58:6,7 59:6 63:8,13,15
   63:18,21,24 64:4,7,15 65:18
   68:12,21 69:25 70:9 71:25
   72:8,19 76:17 86:15,20 88:7,9
   88:11,14,16,1 8 89:13,16,21
   93:5 94:1,15,25 95:9,18,22,24
   96:3 98:22 99:4,8 102:3,7,21
   102:25 103:4 17.24 104:3
   105:1,11,13,17,2 1 106:1,4,20
   106:22 107:9,11,16,19,21,25
   108:3,8,12,24 109:3,10,12
   110:11,18,20,2 2 111:1,4,7,12
   111:14,18,25 112:2,4,6,9,11
   112:13,15 113:10,12,14,18,21
   114:12,15,19,22,2 5 115:3,11
   115:16,22,25 137:9,12,16,18
   137:22 141:19 142:6,9,12,18
   143:4.14.23 144:7 145:3.5.13
   146:1,5,9,15,19,2 2 147:14
   157:25
Harris-in-Hollywood 136:14,16
Harvard 17:16
hatch 142:18 143:7
Haugseth 134:11
having 25:1 64:17 87:16 99:5
```

106:10,22,23 120:5 121:1

138:23,24 141:22 151:7

hear 31:10 heard 14:3 51:15 69:7 96:24 98:10,16 101:17 116:3 131:17 150:8 152:11 154:21 hearing 86:13 147:22 heck 31:10 held 5:1 55:13,16 73:15 95:15 104:13 120:5 137:3 145:10 151:18 hello 68:19 help 39:19 87:17 123:15 129:15 150:10 157:11 Hence 121:20 her 21:7,7 24:13,21 35:17 36:10 36:13 47:8,10 50:19 53:3 56:10 62:1 63:20 65:8 66:1.4 67:7,11,16 76:2 79:24 80:15 80:20,24 81:6,14 88:6 89:13 91:14,15 94:22 99:5,13,16 102:1 105:8,11,19,25 106:11 117:10,13,14 121:9,10 123:14 134:6 141:25 149:1,2,2,3 151:19 153:10,10 hereunder 152:22 herself 121:1 hey 124:17 125:16 130:8 143:15 151:17 Hi 42:5 hide 130:14 high 114:3 higher 145:14 157:1,2 highest 128:12 him 9:19,19 11:4 14:11,23 16:12 16:24 21:22 24:25 25:1,20 27:13 28:22 30:1 46:10,21,24 47:1,4 53:8 56:3 58:12 59:21 61:21 62:10 66:17 68:14,19 68:19.23 70:22 72:7.12 85:12 102:10,12 106:7 126:8 127:1 128:20 129:2 130:3,5,6 132:1 132:18 134:6,23 himself 66:9 139:21 hire 128:20 hired 133:18 historically 41:15 Hoffar 1:16,20 hold 56:17 120:19 143:5 150:2 155:23 holding 120:25 123:5 138:5 149.22 holds 34:22 home 25:25 26:18 125:16 honest 80:7 140:10 honestly 87:24 honev 1:9 3:12 35:6,25 37:14 41:18 44:17 46:12,14 50:3,10 50:13 52:11.25 54:24 55:2.6 55:22 56:5 64:17 72:12,23,25 73:4 101:5 103:15,22 104:4 105:5.8 117:1.4 123:14 130:20,24 133:14,15,21 134:9 135:23 140:17 141:23 147:7 148:3,17,22 149:7 152:13 Honey's 65:2,6,14,22 105:7 honor 5:5,16,21,25 6:5 7:13 12:13,22 13:2,13,16 14:6,8 15:6 16:22.25 18:3.25 19:3 21:21 27:23 30:11 31:5,15 32:23 33:3,6,12,17 34:16 35:21 36:6,9,15,17,21,25 37:23 38:8,17 44:11 45:21 47:14.21 48:1.3 49:20 52:5 59:3 62:18,22 63:13,18 65:15 67.9 14 19 2 3 68.2 69.25 70:11 71:20 72:8,15,18,23 73:7 76:14 86:15 88:7,9,11 89:14 93:2 94:1,13,15,18 95:8 95:9 96:3 97:5 102:3 103:17 103:24 104:12,12,19 105:11

110:18,22 111:15 112:4 114:16,19 115:25 116:3 119:19 120:9,14 122:16 123:12 125:1 131:23 133:4 136:14.17 137:22 138:16 141:19 142:15,19 143:7 145:3 146:1.3.11 147:6 157:25 HONORABLE 1:12 hope 115:22 Hospital 137:6 house 125:17,20 Huck 155:16,17 huh 114:21 139:15 145:25 hundred 29:6 91:11 144:16 hurdle 140:13 Hurricane 109:16 husband 40:10 116:25 117:1 hypothetical 119:11 H.S.A 136:13,16

106:20 107:19,25 109:10

idea 84:10 124:1,3 150:16 **Identification** 4:3 **identified** 20:9 32:1 identifies 20:4 96:13 identify 20:1,3 idly 102:10 III 6:11 16:21 148:1 156:15 ill 40:2 immediately 51:22 118:17 135:23 implication 104:16 implicitly 50:11 implied 97:20,24,25 100:19,20 100:23 101:10 **important** 14:16 25:3,5 39:8 61:25 62:2,7 133:10 149:21 156:6 imposing 61:18 62:3,8,15 improper 131:14,15 improvements 75:12,16 inability 112:24 inadequate 111:22,25 112:18 inadmissible 18:22 inapplicable 138:6 Inc 119:17 136:13 137:5 include 93:13,20 111:20 including 92:15,24 97:8 99:22 101:2 104:8 126:3 147:9 income 77:4,17 98:12 incomplete 113:14 inconceivable 150:15 inconsistencies 151:5 inconsistent 99:1 103:2,4,7 104:17 127:7 129:11 153:25 indeed 152:7 155:1 independent 152:25 indicate 30:25 135:4 indicated 11:6 indicating 132:21 indication 120:23 individual 1:4,5 21:4,5 29:5 117:6,8 133:1,3 144:24 individuals 6:2 20:1 29:1 35:2,4 133:20 **Industries** 136:23

ineffective 117:14

infer 128:23,25

inference 132:16

influence 124:14

information 19:22 133:20

initial 21:16,16 32:5,11 37:12

80:15 96:23 116:20 148:25

initialed 12:6 32:13 37:16 79:24

inform 69:4

informed 69:8

informing 9.19

ingenuously 136:21

80:14 148:16 initialing 96:21 initialled 43:1 initialling 96:19 initially 69:2 initials 8:4,5,24 9:9,10 11:18,24 12:4 13:14 20:13,16,23 45:7 80:15 24 81:6 11 98:21 99:5 103:16 116:18 117:13 125:14 126:5 149:2 153:10,10 initiated 150:13 inquire 21:15,17 inserted 149:1 insistent 45:14 instances 103:9 instant 136:1 instead 109:22 114:18,19,20 instructed 80:25 instruction 56:5 instructions 54:19,23 55:8,23 56:3 91:23 92:3 100:1 115:11 instrument 154:14 insurance 107:18 109:16 intend 156:9 intended 16:17 intent 142:10 154:4,11 156:7,8 intention 104:17 interest 71:16 72:6 120:1,5 148:7 interested 40:18 41:6,8 interesting 39:10 40:19 124:15 125:22 126:10,11,12 127:11 interpret 29:3 interrupt 14:7 23:11 86:12 88:4 88:5 introduce 7:9 introduced 7:10,13 introducing 47:24 invalid 120:7 130:25 131:9 investigate 81:23,24 82:4 92:7 investigating 91:25 investment 123:6 involve 149:19 involved 6:13 23:24 24:13 46:12 46:14 115:23 118:20 135:18 143:9 145:23 149:20 156:5 iPhone 24:16 irrelevant 64:8.10 irrespective 150:4 Isles 6:11 148:2 156:16 issue 17:24 25:2 97:23 99:15 101:4.6 106:13.24 111:15 112:21 133:3 152:24 issued 133:19 153:23 issues 81:21.22.22.22.2 4 82:1.8 104:5 105:24 106:2,3 108:21 131:19 157:16 Item 32:5,8

JK 21:1 Joanne 1:5 9:5 20:20 21:1.7 96:25 116:4 133:4 148:7 job 29:3 Joel 27:12 John 119:12 joint 102:15 jointly 46:11 153:21,24 Joseph 5:24 6:19 9:6 10:5.6 12:11 13:14,24 16:1,8 21:15 22:20 23:5,14,22 24:10 25:18 28:6,21 30:19,20 37:21 41:20 42:21 44:3 46:20 51:15 52:14 53:7,11,23 54:6,20,23 55:9,23 56:5 57:13,18 58:11,17,19 59:1.7.14 60:4 61:6.19 62:4.9 62:15 63:25 66:2,5,8 68:13 69:21 71:2 74:1,6 75:4 79:25

i-d 5:13

80:15,25 81:6 82:15,19 83:22 84:8,23 85:18,24 86:7 87:10 91:22 92:3,6,11 96:6 98:17 99:10,15 100:1,12 101:23 102:9 103:18 106:6,10 118:11 118:16.16 121:8 122:22 124:24 125:10 126:13 128:1 131:17 133:13.17 136:1 140:10,15 141:22 142:14 148:5 149:1,4 150:15 151:12 151:13 152:8 153:10 154:22 Joseph's 98:17 102:13 151:4 Jr 2:7 158:8 judge 1:13 34:12 53:10 71:23 108:20 117:19 118:5,10 119:22 121:13 124:15 125:22 126:10 128:14,22 129:18 130:15,20 132:2 134:17 136:25 137:1,24 139:14,25 140:1 141:11,17 155:15,17 157:24 judgment 33:12,14 97:22 119:25 146:10 155:18 iudgments 127:7 judicial 12:18 July 120:8 iurors 18:11 jury 127:9 147:20 just 7:15 9:11 14:6,10,11 15:1 18:23 22:1 15 26:24 31:9 35:21 36:25 37:1,23 38:1,4 39:21 41:22 43:21 45:15 47:8 47:11,18 48:7 50:6,19 53:20 60:17 61:1 62:4,6 65:23 66:8 67:5,19 70:3 71:16 72:6 73:2 77:14 79:13 89:13 95:21 98:25 100:11 101:3,5 106:4 107:5,21 109:19 110:9 113:19 119:16 122:25 123:9 124:10 130.6 133.17 135.5 139.11 141:19 142:2 144:25 146:5 153:9 155:11,22 156:25 justice 109:25 131:8

K

K 26·1 Katsantonis 1:4,5 9:5 21:1,2 24:12,19 96:25 116:4,5 133:5 133:5 148:6,7 Katsantonises 128:20 keep 22:25 25:3,5 92:13 125:12 126:1 139:9,11 144:24 keeping 22:1 keeps 139:20 kept 24:15 59:1 143:20 kids 40:10 62:1 $\pmb{kind}\ 17{:}4\ 18{:}21\ 20{:}4\ 41{:}22$ 46:21 53:17 78:2 105:10 124:19 153:5 knew 50:6,7 56:19,21,24 74:23 76:4 91:1 122:18 124:8 know 8:8 12:24 14:2 17:6,15 18:15 21:1,10,17 24:22 25:7 25:20 26:8,12 27:17,24 33:5 33:20 35:4 38:24 39:3,16 40:12 41:12 42:18 43:23 45:13 46:6 53:19 54:4,10,15 56:10 57:15,20,2 1 58:19 60:13,14,15 62:20 64:7,11 69:3 72:12 74:16 75:22,24 76:1,1 77:7,8,9,10,11,12,12 78:7,8 80:3,3,7 81:9,21 82:1 82:11 85:11 87:1,13,23 88:12 88:13 90:16,21 91:2,13 107:7 108:18 109:14 110:2,13,15,24 111:1 112:9 114:11,15,16 115:1 117:21 121:19.23 122:12 123:20,24 124:9,13,17 125:4,15 126:5,5 128:2,4,10

```
knowing 102:5 151:2
knowledge 17:1,3 18:17 49:14
   53:3 65:8,11,17,19 74:14
   78:15 82:12,13 93:12,19
known 50:11
knows 17:8,17,18 18:21 129:23
   143:17
lacking 146:23
lady 121:4
land 50:23 63:11 97:19 111:19
  111:20,21 112:16,17 115:12
   115:16,19 132:13,14,16 134:2
   155:1.5.8
landlord 45:1
lands 111:22
Lanier 121:2.22
large 99:13 105:18,23
last 5:11,12 6:19 10:7 23:9 24:5
  32:19 33:23 37:19 39:16
   53:10 104:6 134:14,16 140:6
   147:20,22 150:24
later 14:11 25:8 69:20 108:18
Lauderdale 2:3
law 2:2 33:15 97:1 111:23
  117:22 131:20 142:5 143:6.18
   144:8 147:23 151:18 153:14
lawfully 134:6.8
lawsuit 41:11 66:11 68:24 69:1,5
   69:17 73:23 83:20 92:17 93:1
   93:22 99:24 139:10
lawver 18:23 66:19 130:3.4
  131:19 142:21,23
lawvers 82:8 135:19
lay 17:1,25 18:1,4
leading 35:22 38:4,5,8 47:6
   71:12,19 72:1,2,8 76:7
learned 58:21
lease 43:13,14 44:3,6,12,14,18
   44:18,23 51:1,11,16,21,22,25
   52:10.11.15.18 54:10.14
   55:13 65:25 68:22 69:22
   74:18,20,23,25 75:1,1,1,5,5,8
  77:13,15 78:2 79:25 80:11,12
   80:16 101:3 103:19,20,24
   104:1 114:5.9.9.11.2.5 122:23
   122:24 123:2 130:23,25 131:9
   131:14,14,1 5 132:24,25 133:1
   133:2 142:1,3
leased 75:7,7 114:12,14
leases 54:2,3,6,9,1 2 75:2 122:18
  133:6 136:9
leasing 40:19 74:24
least 23:4 100:14 102:12 109:8
   118:6 121:7 147:24 153:11
leave 33:16
leaves 152:12
led 117:25
left 25:17 73:10 76:2 121:16
legal 14:11 101:1 102:13 108:21
   135:8 149:13 156:12 157:16
legally 78:16,17 131:18
Length 88:12
less 23:1 64:11 88:14 114:4,7,8
  118:3,21,23 132:25 141:6
lessor's 43:17
let 25:14 28:12 34:25 50:8 74:8
   76:6 83:16 89:9.22 119:18
   126:6 147:12 150:21
letter 26:1,18
let's 11:8 14:11 20:13 22:12
  25:13 29:8 84:20 85:21 90:15
```

128:22 129:3,6,21 130:12,14 130:15,16,17,1 8 133:5 140:18

142:21,22,25 143:10 144:4

150:11 152:7 153:18 154:7

145:21 146:7.17 147:17

157:13

```
90:16 93:6 95:25 108:16,19
   109:14,21 118:15 124:12
liable 151:23
life 25:7
lighter 25:17
like 5:13,13,13,1 8 12:18 14:6
   15:4 17:17 25:6 31:15 32:14
   35:19 37:24 47:22 51:1 60:13
   72:18 82:23 87:24 88:13
   89:13 90:14 91:6 97:12 101:3
   101:6 103:19 105:10 107:2
   108:10 109:7 110:14 112:8
   120:9 124:10,18,19 126:4
   134:18 140:19 143:14 144:23
   145:6,6 149:18
likes 128:16
likewise 99:20
line 4:4,4 16:21 23:9 32:19 38:5
   48:16 58:8,10 59:17 71:2
   74:11,12 83:5,7,18 84:16,20
   85:21 90:15,15,16,18,25
   93:10,14,15 112:25 113:1,4
   114:1 117:5
lines 31:23
lis 107:11 109:3 145:15,17
list 5:17 38:11,14 48:23 58:13
listed 31:25 39:2 46:20 57:14,19
   113.3 133.21
listen 41:7 110:21
listening 113:16
listing 19:24 40:1,16
lists 101:4
literally 11:12
litigation 25:6 52:3 102:14
  135:20,25 145:19,23
litigators 128:11
little 27:1 29:25 64:12 117:13
   123:4 128:15 145:20 151:9
   153:5 154:5,13
live 61:13,16 62:15 125:3 126:9
   144:25
lived 41:16 121:4
livelihood 68:18 70:7
living 40:11 129:8,8 131:3
LLP 1:16,20
local 19:23
located 156:15
long 88:11,21 89:1 90:1 121:11
  123:3 153:3
longer 24:17 87:1 88:10
look 6:25 8:11,18 9:14 11:7
  19:11 20:13 22:12 24:18
   25:13 28:12 29:8 30:15 34:8
   34:11 35:8 37:4 42:1 43:11
   45:6.24 47:18 51:1 57:22
   69:10 70:5 73:17 74:16 75:9
   78:10 82:23 84:2.20 90:14
   93:6 109:13 115:21 118:10
   119:22 120:20,24 124:17,20
   125.1 129.12 140.4 145.22
   146:14 149:14 151:10 152:15
   156:21
looked 9:21 28:25 51:1 56:15
  68:22 69:22 87:25 103:19
looking 9:23 20:18 29:17 30:18
   43:23 44:22 47:19 50:9 61:15
   74:11 109:19 123:12 146:12
   146:16
lose 108:1,7 110:9
loss 111:5
lost 5:17 109:25 110:1,7
lot 55:3 57:1 69:2 122:7 126:1,2
   127:21 141:21 145:23 151:15
   154:3.4
Louis 150:10
loved 145:3
low 110:5.8
```

lower 145:8

lowest 125:2,4,5,6

McLean 1:17

```
luck 157:21
                                   mean 16:3 24:10 29:4 36:19 39:8
lunch 72:22
                                      64:8,19 77:6 91:6 108:25
luncheon 95:12
                                      110:1,25 114:16 123:2 125:14
luxury 24:18
                                      129:6 137:9 143:1 145:13
lying 60:16
                                      156:1
                                   means 26:12,13 28:12 88:12
               M
                                      128:15 130:13 139:8
                                    measure 115:18
M 1:19
                                    mediation 110:14
made 16:4 21:19 25:9 28:8 32:23
                                    Mellon 132:3
  58:23 90:5,7 97:17 100:18
                                   memorandum 132:6 134:4
  101:8 103:10 106:17 125:23
                                      146:25 154:25
  126:13 134:2 136:3,6 137:18
                                    mention 22:7 28:8
  139:2 141:22 143:19 144:17
                                   mentioned 16:19 22:6 24:21
  146:1 151:7 153:4,24 156:11
                                      27:18 56:22 109:7 152:12
magical 119:15
maiden 37:17 44:20 45:14
                                      156.1
                                    mentioning 115:2
mail 121:3
                                   mentions 140:9
mailed 97:3
                                   mere 132:20 135:5
mailing 97:2
                                   merely 62:13 63:24
maintenance 123:4
                                   mergers 135:20
majority 77:22 148:20 152:20
                                   mess 128:9
  152:23.25
                                   messages 24:6,10 25:5,10 30:6,8
make 22:9 28:12 35:11 38:15,16
                                      129:25 130:7,7,11,16,16
  39:11 45:16 63:19,23,25
                                    messing 118:20
  87:21,22 88:21 89:1,4 90:1
                                   met 6:23 46:10 50:6 61:8,10,23
  91:7 94:21 100:6 102:8
                                      61:25 75:15 81:17 89:8,19
  106:24 113:19 115:23 120:17
  121:6 129:8 133:12 149:13
                                      90.13
                                   Miami 1:2,7,21 2:7,8 156:16
  152:8
                                      158.99
makes 18:22 57:12 96:4 122:14
                                    Miami-Dade 50:23 148:2
  129-22 147-22
                                   middle 20:13,18 22:19 44:1
making 26:10 41:13 72:2 96:20
                                      121:17 124:12
  99:9 129:7 149:13 154:12
                                   midmorning 95:6
MALFELD 1:19
                                   might 16:21 55:3 64:13,19
man 127:11
                                      105:21 157:16
manage 78:21 98:11
                                   million 7:7,23 15:25 16:9 18:6
manager 48:25 49:19
                                      20:19 23:1 39:16 61:5,9 64:1
manner 154:2
                                      81:15,16,18 88:20,25 89:7,25
many 38:12 54:6 87:23 129:22
                                      91:2.12.96:14.100:8.106:8
  130:10 146:1 152:14 155:22
                                      109:23,24 113:3,6,6,24 114:3
March 34:7 107:4 108:18,19
                                      114:5 118:4,18,21,23 124:23
  157:5
                                      125:4,8 139:3 141:24 144:15
MARIELA 1:19
                                      150:18,20,21,2 2 153:22
mark 7:15 27:25
                                      156:23
marked 4:3 7:11 42:1
                                    mind 22:25 157:12
market 91:13 109:18 113:3
                                   mine 25:16 48:19 146:9
  145:6.7.9
                                   minimum 42:17 46:23 61:8,10
Mary 1:8 3:8 12:1,4,14 14:4
                                      81:18 89:6 90:22 91:2 100:14
  16:17 21:19,21,23 22:10 32:2
                                    minor 35:11,13,15
  33:19,22,25 37:4 43:19,21
                                   minutes 72:18 88:14,16 94:25
  44:2 48:20,21 49:2 74:3,5,23
                                      95:1.4.18.20.22
  74:23 75:3,5,13,14 76:24 77:3
                                    missing 140:16
  78:5.21.24 79:14.17.20.23.24
                                    mistake 13:8,9 126:13
  80:8,14,19 81:5,10,12,12
                                   mistaken 51:4
  82:10 89:3 90:16,20,21 91:22
                                    MLS 19:23
  91:24 92:2,4,5,10,14,2 3 93:10
                                    modified 150:2
  93:17 96:7 99:4 101:4,7
                                   moment 83:8,10 93:8
  102:12 103:7 104:6 105:4,5,6
                                   money 78:18 111:21,24 112:1,3
  105:9 130:20 133:14,15,21
                                      112.4 17 115.13 122.7 123.5
  134:9 135:22 148:3,15,24
                                       123:15 126:8 142:25 143:1,1
  149:8 151:12
                                      143:20 144:19 145:24
Mary's 88:21 89:1,25 91:6,10,12
                                    moniker 120:21
Mason 146:22
                                   month 24:5 52:19 53:20 114:7
materialized 90:11
                                      130.3
Matienzo 155:17
                                    monthly 114:7
matter 13:25 33:14 37:2 46:15
                                   months 24:7,11 54:14 68:24
  76:11 102:20 116:6,7 118:14
                                      69:20 114:7,8 122:25
  119:13,13,14 125:25 128:11
                                   moot 156:20
  140:17 141:12 142:8 153:14
                                   more 95:1,4 99:25 104:4 109:22
  158:5
                                      115:14 118:24,24 122:21
Matthew 138:20
may 7:11 72:16 87:12 91:19
                                      123.8 128.16 145.23 146.3
                                      151:10 152:21 153:4,7
  93:8 97:19,20,24 98:1 110:23
                                    MORENO 1:12
  112:6 119:19 122:20 127:7
                                   morning 8:17 10:22,25 16:11
  128:5 136:14 145:23 155:1.2
                                      27:6,7,9
maybe 22:3,4 115:14,20 122:5
                                    mortgage 98:7 110:6
  127:8 143:14,15,16,17 155:9
                                    most 18:11 31:9 87:20 143:4,5
ma'am 72:16 73:13,20 94:9
```

mother 117:9,11 120:12,21,25

```
mother's 121:21
motion 32:24 33:2,4,14 140:21
  155:18
motivation 39:11
mouthpiece 55:3
move 5:18 11:8 33:12 34:13
   36:17 38:17 42:19 47:22 88:9
  110.2
moving 34:12 125:16
much 46:14 77:6 88:10,15 94:16
  95:1 118:17 127:23 129:22
   141:13 143:1 144:5 153:4
  155:15
multiple 54:2,4 142:13
must 29:11,23 46:25 66:13
  86:16 131:20 138:22 147:3,4
   152:22 153:24
mutual 63:9
myself 35:5 40:10 62:12 140:18
M-a-r-y 33:25
M-a-t-i-e-n-z-o 155:17
```

N name 5:10,11,12,12 6:19 33:23 33:23 37:17,21 43:14 44:20 45:14 48:12,14 49:2,5 52:14 53:8 66:5 68:23 69:21 73:1,4 78:14 79:24 80:15,24 81:6 99:5 101:7 102:12 117:13 142:14 154:16 155:19 names 20:5,6,7 22:1 29:1 74:12 81:10 102:12 Nancy 5:13,14

necessary 17:23 121:3 necessities 87:21 need 21:17 26:5,10 36:22 38:24 39:16 42:18 47:13 50:19 64:7 78:3,3 80:11 81:19 89:22 90:12 116:11 125:3 130:5 151:7 155:4

nature 35:22 112:16 138:19

necessarily 153:2,17

140.11

needed 26:8 62:14 65:8,10 89:8 89:19 90:7,8 91:14 113:14 133:23 needing 90:20

needs 87:21 126:8 negotiate 151:17 negotiating 15:11,12 negotiation 62:16 84:23 143:8 negotiations 55:16,18,20,21 56:8 59:13.20 70:21 83:22.23

124:8 147:9 152:4 neither 18:12 84:13 136:2 142:7 nervous 60:8.10

never 6:23,23 16:19 19:10 21:19 22:11 25:7 29:1 41:10,12 47:4 56:19 64:16,21,25 65:5,12 67:17 75:2 76:6,19 79:20,23 81:5,12 82:3,7 90:10 97:16 102:13 122:19 123:16 125:8 126:16 133:15 135:10,10,15 136:6 140:8 157:14

nevertheless 154:17 new 109:17

newborn 149:18 newer 154:13 155:9,15 next 5:4 20:19 22:18 26:23 27:7

next 5:4 20:19 22:18 26:23 27:7 27:9 29:25 40:23 43:20 48:20 64:14 106:20 nice 124:19 141:5 157:16

Nod 85:4 None 16:7 36:23 nonetheless 77:3 97:7 111:7

112:21 nonexistence 77:2 nonexistent 57:11 non-penthouse 113:8 115:15 normal 157:2 north 2:7 109:8 158:9 notary 48:24 note 134:4 Notepad 24:17 notes 147:16 nothing 25:12 28:13 72:15 109:5 118:3 122:18 132:23 135:21 notice 12:18 31:16 65:21 79:17 122:18 123:13 131:7 133:6 136:9 146:20 157:8,10,10 notified 71:11 97:1 notify 71:15 nowhere 52:25 number 1:3 4:7,8 8:18 22:13 23.4 24.2 29.15 19 34.11 14 34:15,18 36:6 37:5,6 38:12 42:1,6 43:11 44:23 45:24 48:3 48:8 51:7 53:23 73:17,20,21 74:8,9,11,16 75:9 83:2 87:10 87:11 94:6 101:1 102:11 150:17

nonleading 76:8

150:17 numbers 4:6 6:3 71:17 114:6 118:21 152:9 numerous 103:9

nuts 24:22

0

O 2:1
oath 70:17 99:11
object 35:21 38:4,6,7
objection 5:21 7:12 16:22 18:20
27:23 34:15,16 38:8 48:2,5
49:20 52:5 59:3,23 62:18,22
62:24 64:10 65:15 72:8 93:2
obligation 62:3,5,9,15
obligations 61:18 97:6
obtain 16:21

obtaining 138:21 obviously 12:23 23:2,10 28:6 30:23 77:7 122:23 132:9 133:11,13 134:25 138:18 145:16 148:10 150:13 occasion 142:13

occasions 142:13 occur 17:15 107:4 157:5 October 80:8

off 41:10 66:8 94:20 129:14 145:10 offense 109:2 157:14

offer 22:25 31:24 39:12,12,16 41:3,6 57:7,8 87:22 88:20 106:24 118:2 143:8,9,10 148:4 150:18,19,20,22,23 153:25

153:25 offered 134:12 143:22 offers 6:13 39:17 41:1,9,20 46:21 56:9,19,22,2 4 57:4,14 57:19 58:12,18,23 59:2,8 71:8 84:24,25 124:9 133:18 148:8 149:5 150:9 151:2 152:9 office 46:19 50:5

officer 48:25 49:18 95:11 157:23 Official 2:6 158:8

offset 77:20

often 45:15 136:21 oh 13:6 23:13 33:10 42:13 44:2 47:6 48:12 72:22 88:17 89:11 95:20 110:5 111:4 126:11,15 128:23

okay 5:10,15,23 6:2,13,17 7:1,15 7:19 8:6,12,19 9:6,8,15,18 10:16,21,23 11:17 14:5 16:20 18:3 19:13,22 20:9 22:9,14 23:9,14 24:3 26:17,23 27:3,3 27:20 28:21 29:8,21,21 31:5,8

32:10 33:6,20 35:8 36:10,24 38:14 43:24 44:2 48:7 49:17 50:17 51:10,13,2 1 52:18 53:10 54:19 55:11,13 56:12 56:15,23 57:3 58:9 59:5,20 61:15.18 62:6 64:21 65:12.25 66:13 68:10,22 69:5,17,24 70:20 21 72:15 23 24 73:1 75:9,24 77:13,15,19 78:24 79:23 80:14,24 81:6,10 82:1,7 82:14.23 83:15.25 84:9.18.21 85:2,21 86:1,3,17,21 88:8 89:24 90:8.14.18.19 91:18 93:10,17 94:16 95:5,10,23,24 95:25 106:21 107:20 108:16 109:5,6,17 110:13,21 111:6 111:13,17 112:14 113:20 115:24 116:13 118:24 129:11 136:15 137:23 139:25 144:14 144:15 145:4,12 147:12 old 146:14 149:18 older 109:15

Olmsted 149:16 once 12:25 31:10 42:11 125:13 153:23 one 5:24 7:18 12:6 13:25 14:2 21:12 22:15 23:21 28:4 29:5 29:16,16,17,1 7 32:1,22 40:21 41:14 42:9,11 54:4,13,14,22 55:8,22 57:16 61:14,20,22 62:7,10 67:24 71:25 75:1,11

62:7,10 67:24 71:25 75:1,11 75:18 76:6,19 81:18,19 82:4 84:1,6,14,16,1 7 87:10 89:5,5 92:21 103:18,19 104:1,11,20 104:23 107:5 109:17 113:3,8 113:10,11,2 0 115:9 118:15 120:7 121:10 123:8,9 129:3 130:22 134:8,10,16 135:11,24 140:1,6,24,2 5 142:3,14

145:24 146:3,12 147:24 148:15,17 149:11 152:16 156:24

ones 25:11,16,16,1 7 117:19 134:17

one-year 51:22 only 16:25 25:11 32:1,22 39:10 41:5 46:23 48:10 54:12 57:11 61:20,22 62:11 76:1 85:2 101:6 112:25 113:3 118:22 130:9 135:13,23 138:13 139:1

142:3 143:24 148:15 149:11 156:21 open 6:25 operate 152:20

operations 77:4 opinion 12:25 17:1,23 98:4 142:5,7 157:18,19

opinions 115:12 opponent 64:9 131:24 opposing 29:3

opposite 135:2 opposition 97:22

order 39:23 54:25 55:2 79:6 87:21,22 89:19 91:14 107:15 108:17 120:4 131:2 156:17 ordered 53:10

ordering 156:20 original 28:8 108:19 originally 49:10 106:9 151:16 Osteopathic 137:6

other 5:24 13:3 14:23 21:13 25:5 30:8,8 35:25 37:1 39:17 41:16 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:22 61:8 61:10,12,22 62:11 63:6 76:20 81:19 86:12 88:5 89:7,7,18 90:12,19,19 96:16 99:14 102:4,13 109:15 111:10 115:2 115:8 120:24 121:4 123:9,9 123:19 126:8 127:2 128:10

132:11,20,23 133:10 134:6,9 138:11 139:2 140:25 143:20 152:17 156:6 157:15,17 others 109:17 otherwise 21:24 86:12 98:6 152:10 out 11:15 13:1 14:11 18:4 28:23 29.9 31.10 46.3 6 57.4 10 75:24 78:19 80:9 87:20 107:21,24 108:1,9,12 110:7,8 121:1 124:10 126:14 127:13 129:21 130:2,6 133:18 134:10 135:2 143:11.16 144:5.6.25 144:25,25 145:1,14 150:7 outcome 119:23 outgoing 77:11 Outside 52:5 over 5:7 22:25 23:23 24:20,25 72:19 84:2 85:8 123:22 125:13 134:24,25 140:5 overbuild 109:17 overrule 35:23 Overruled 28:1 38:9 49:22 52:7 59:4 65:16 72:9 Owen 136:23 own 24:17 86:14 102:5 136:22 owner 48:24 49:7,17,18 50:4,10

owner 48:24 49:7,17,18 50:4,1 50:13 101:4,5 116:8 118:23 132:7,9,1 3 147:25 148:14 owners 48:24 49:17,18 54:7 114:15 ownership 50:18 owner's 132:7 O-l-m-s-t-e-d 149:16

P
page 3:2 4:4,4 9:11 10:7 20:14
22:18,18,19 23:20 25:15
26:23 29:8,8,18,18,25 30:18
31:23 32:8,18 37:19 42:3,4,12
43:20,24 44:1 45:6 48:11,17
58:2,5,6 59:16 70:17,20 74:20
83:4,5 84:2,20 85:21 86:21,23
87:11,25 90:14,15,17,18,25
93:6,7,15 116:20 121:14
132:12 149:9
pages 30:9 43:15 97:22
paid 77:8 113:17 133:1 138:23

157:19 paper 57:6,11 60:15 paperwork 46:19 paragraph 32:3,18,20 36:3,12 97:9 121:17 134:15 149:9

paragraphs 75:18 parameters 82:16,19 83:24 85:3 85:18,23 86:5,9 88:22 89:2,5 89:10 90:1,19 91:10,15 100:7 106:6 121:11

parol 97:20 117:16 154:8 155:2

part 31:19,21 32:17,18 36:11 48:19 55:18 61:20,22 105:15

48:19 55:18 61:20,22 105:15 110:21 125:22 127:11 149:21 participate 152:23

participation 153:7
particular 25:10 28:5 41:14 65:4
95:17 96:13 120:18 130:20
132:22 133:4 134:15 148:20

parties 23:24 29:11,22 74:12 101:19 102:22 120:5 132:5 135:10,15,25 139:23 149:22

152:5 154:4,11 156:8,9 partner 48:25 49:19 parts 132:12

party 29:4 108:5 134:5 147:3 151:25

past 42:17 140:13 **PASTOR-HERNANDEZ** 2:6 158:7

88:4 93:6

pointing 130:2

politics 124:12

positive 85:4

possess 136:1

154:2

154:1

powers 35:1 64:22 120:12

121:19 154:6

preceded 51:22

preceding 48:23

predicting 109:19

preexisting 51:21

prepare 26:12

156:7

preserve 130:8

presumption 144:7

149.22 150.1

109:13

157:1

prices 41:10 145:8

154:20 155:4,20

print 49:2 130:6

printed 86:22

printing 75:20

printout 30:19

principals 101:21 122:19

135:11 142:10 149:3 151:6,11

privilege 66:23 67:2,3,4,5,7,9,25

previous 136:9

102:15.19.21.2 5 103:12

100:3.7 103:9 105:18

prepared 19:14

preponderance 153:20

present 5:2,3 20:23

possibly 28:12

possibilities 110:12

portions 31:17 90:12

plus 69:4 81:16 114:5

108:9,12 146:3 157:8

plural 49:18

```
patience 141:11,13
pay 96:15 123:3 138:24
paying 138:24 143:17
payment 139:1
payments 77:13,15,17 78:2
   114:6 133:2
pays 123:15
penalties 49:12
pendens 107:11 109:4 145:15,17
penthouse 113:8,9,10,11,13
  115:9,10,14 141:6 156:25
penthouses 140:6
people 15:7 18:11 31:9 45:13,15
   110:14 124:16 125:9 143:4,5
   155:10 157:12
per 10:12 11:1 43:19.21 44:2.2
  53:5 114:6
perfectly 135:24
perform 97:6 138:23 139:8
performance 33:8 106:25
   108:14.18 111:8.10.15 112:2
   112:19 114:18,21,22 115:4
   120:4 138:18.22 139:6
performances 156:20
perhaps 39:8 99:1 130:13
period 51:23 72:4 80:5 130:17
   138:10
perjury 49:12
permanent 104:2
permission 8:25 9:1,4 44:15
  52:14 75:4 152:18
permitted 66:20 67:1
person 11:12 18:4,7 40:19 60:9
   63:6 116:14 125:19 134:1,6
   135:8,14,24
personal 18:17 78:5 123:5 147:5
personality 70:6
personally 44:15 118:22 147:4
persons 120:1 135:9
persuasive 100:14
phone 10:12 11:1,5 23:17,22
  24:14,14,16,17 42:10 84:22
   85:9,12,14
photocopy 26:24
photograph 28:15
physically 50:7 65:23
pick 27:3 62:6
picture 24:12,13
pictures 24:20 25:9 130:7
piece 57:11
Piotrowski 27:13
place 40:3 41:16,18 46:25 61:13
   61:16 62:9,15 63:20 90:20
   125:3 126:8 145:9 156:9
places 132:13
plain 108:10
plaintiff 11:22 24:19 94:17,19
  95.16
plaintiffs 1:6,15 4:6,8 5:2,5,9
   6:3.14 11:18.19 14:19 15:2.12
   15:16,17 16:2 20:9 30:15 31:7
  33.7 36.8 37.1 39.4 42.1 43.4
  43:12 44:9,23 48:8 50:9 51:4
  51:5,6 55:17 58:4,23 59:14,22
  60:5 61:15 63:16,17 68:23
   69:10 70:15,23 71:3,3 73:17
  81:1,8 96:5,8,9,11,15,16,18
  96:20,23 97:6 101:14,15,23
   104:9 106:25 108:14 112:22
   112:24 113:2,21 121:7 122:1
   136:4,7,11 137:7 142:22,23
   144:1 145:1 147:10.19 148:6
   148:8,13 150:17,18,19,19,20
   150:21.25 152:17 156:13
played 150:11
plays 154:22
pleading 32:15 104:19
pleadings 13:19 100:9 102:14
   141:20 147:10 150:3
```

```
please 5:4,7,11 8:11,18 9:14
   11:1 22:22 30:16 33:21,24
   58:2,4,6 59:17 69:10 70:14
  72:24 73:9 74:8 83:8,10 86:8
point 12:20 28:10 29:12 30:21
  39:13 84:1,6,14,16,17 86:23
   86:24 87:8,12 99:10 107:21
position 88:19,24 89:23 101:15
  104:20 131:11 148:18 152:1
possible 28:5 51:13 128:12
potential 81:13,22 87:22 92:1
power 21:12 64:21,25 65:5,13
  116:11,24 117:12,13 120:6,12
   120:21 127:24 128:2 135:7
practice 51:16 53:22 55:5,21
precedent 138:19,21 139:4
presented 90:12 96:3 112:21
   132:6 140:5 147:6 155:24
presume 50:25 53:9 119:22
pretrial 12:13 13:1,10 14:8
  31:17 72:19,21 96:10 100:10
   104:21 147:10 148:14 149:8
pretty 46:14 66:13 68:12 77:6
previously 61:6 91:24 92:4
price 9:12 12:1 16:20 61:8,11,20
  61:22 81:18 88:21.25 89:1.6
   89:24,25 90:1,22,24 96:14,15
   96:19,22 100:8 113:22,23
   114:4,4 115:6 143:15 146:17
principal 15:19 97:19,25 98:5,7
   98:8 101:13 102:5 104:14,15
   117:17.24 132:15.17 133:7
   134:22,24,25 136:20 146:24
   146:25 151:18,23 154:9,16,17
principal's 98:1 154:16 155:8
prior 23:15 28:19 30:5 44:18
   46:8 73:23 98:25 99:1,13
   103:5 120:13 122:17 123:21
```

```
67:25 68:6,8
Pro 119:16,21 121:18,20
probably 8:2 18:6,10 26:12
   51:15,17,25 52:2 64:10 102:7
   118:18 129:1 138:11 144:12
   146.19
problem 69:7 81:3 145:20
problems 107:7
Procedure 147:21
proceed 153:24 156:17
proceeded 86:5
proceedings 1:12 5:1 95:15
   158:5
process 131:7
procure 133:18
produce 30:8 53:11,14
produced 24:4 51:19 52:3
professional 150:14
promise 134:3
promissory 137:2,3,12 138:4,5
pronunciation 22:2
proof 32:4,9 102:22 139:1,2
properly 31:25 116:10 131:12
properties 143:20
property 11:7 16:17 34:5,23
   38:11,14 46:20 50:4,10 53:24
   54:7 55:14 57:14,19 58:12,18
   59:8.13 61:7 63:12 64:17 65:1
   65:6,13 71:16 72:6 73:15
   77:24 79:3.9.10.1 5 80:12
   81:14,18,19 84:24 88:20,25
   89:24,25 91:23 92:3,12,15,21
   92:24 96:14 16 97:10 98:6.11
   99:19,22 100:2,5 101:5 104:7
   105:8 106:8 107:10 114:13
   115:18 120:2,6 129:18 132:10
   133:24 138:8,12,22 144:1,13
   145:14 147:9 148:21,23
   153:22 154:1
proposed 134:12
pros 89:5
prosecutor 127:20
protect 68:3
protected 68:5
protest 98:9 102:10
protestation 106:4
prove 101:25 112:10
proves 143:24
provide 24:9 61:15 91:22 92:2
   97.10 100.1 103.20 107.4
   129:24 130:2 134:17 136:25
provided 24:6 32:15,25 51:25
   100:6 103:20 108:4 132:1
   135.3
provides 64:22 108:9,13 147:2
provision 127:25
pull 13:8,12
pulled 111:16
purchase 6:10 31:24 57:8,14,18
   59:8 62:16 63:11 83:19 96:14
   96:19,22 112:25 131:16
   135:22 145:17 147:18 148:12
   148:23 156:14
purchaser 14:25 111:22 112:17
  132:5 138:22 141:2 145:21
purchasers 144:19 148:9
purchasing 148:7
purportedly 104:15
purposes 149:17
pursuant 33:15 49:11 147:21
   149:20
pushing 11:7
put 20:23 26:14 37:1 39:1,15,19
   45:4.8.9.1 0 55:19 57:6 60:15
   119:12,14 122:6 126:5 133:20
puts 125:14
pyrrhic 110:23,24,24 111:1,4
p-a-r-o-l 154:8
```

```
P.A 2:2
p.m 9:24 23:5,15,18 30:19 53:12
   95:15 158:1
qualified 17:15 152:23
Query 126:16
question 11:23 14:14 15:22 22:9
   25:4.14 34:25 38:4.19.23 39:4
   39:20 47:6 50:8 62:18,21
   64:14 68:10,12 71:2,6,23,24
   74:9 79:8 83:7,14,16,19,25
   84:21 85:2,22 86:1,11 88:2
   89:3.9.13.20.90:19.91:3.6
   92:10 93:13,17,20 140:19
   148:1,4 152:19 156:10
questioning 127:17
questions 14:10 19:1 28:17
   30:11 31:5 35:22 38:5 47:14
   69:25 71:12,19 76:7,8,14
   91:20 94:1,8
quick 128:11,12
                R
R 45:2 158:3
Rains 150:11
raise 5:8 33:21 72:24 111:14
rather 64:11 128:12
ratification 101:11 104:11.11.14
ratified 13:18 105:2 106:15
ratify 104:14 116:21
Raul 3:4 5:5,9,12 22:23 43:6
   129:23 133:9,11,19
Rav 124-19
read 22:15,22 23:9 32:20 36:3
   36:10,13,14,16 42:16 49:13
   60:17 61:2 86:11 102:21
   115:12 119:22 135:21 146:11
reading 85:8
ready 57:10 95:25 96:1 138:22
real 6:9 14:19 17:9.10.19 18:2
   18:19 25:6 43:9 54:20 57:1,9
   57:12 62:5 66:17 68:14 71:21
   82:15 96:5,13 98:6,17 101:19
   101:20,21 116:7 119:9 120:1
   120:3 125:23 130:21 132:12
   132:16 133:17,23 135:6,22
   138:8,12,22 146:17 149:19
   151:11 157:17
reality 57:2 60:12
realize 68:6
really 13:1 18:1 22:23 39:13,14
   41:7 86:16 87:17 91:6 110:22
   111:5 118:6 123:4 125:14
   142:8 144:24 156:8,10
realm 110:11
Realtor 7:25 14:24,24,24 15:8
   63:20 102:1,4 124:25 126:7
   128:10,21 144:18,18,21 149:1
   149:4
Realtors 71:18
Realtor's 142:7
realty 117:17 119:17.21 154:10
   155:4,16
reargue 33:16
reason 14:13,21 15:24 16:5 17:8
   36:13 39:10,15 136:5 155:9
reasonable 88:22 91:10.12.13
   101:13 135:14 144:3 153:19
reasonably 135:17 151:24
reasoning 39:2
reasons 40:21 41:14 87:21 123:6
   155:10
rebuttal 3:18 63:24 94:14
   141:18
recall 8:1 51:10 87:15,16,18
   106:9
```

recant 99-16

recanted 99:9 103:5

ruled 33:13

rules 87:20

ruling 149:6

	receive 21:12
	received 4:3 6:3 16:1,9 22:20 34:18 48:8 59:2 96:5
	receiving 133:2
	recently 137:3 recess 95:12
	recognize 7:2,20,24 8:13,15,20
	8:22 9:16 20:16 34:20 37:9 43:12 46:1 69:12 73:11 75:9
	75:22 recognizing 18:20
	recollect 44:4 87:9
	recollection 82:22 84:25 85:1,10 85:12,15,17,2 2 86:19
	record 32:20 37:23,25 38:1,2
	recorded 50:23 records 50:24
	recounted 103:8
	redirect 3:7,11,15 30:12,13 70:10,12 71:13 94:2,3
	reference 26:10 50:17,20 54:11
	154:14,16 referenced 23:17
	references 81:21
	referred 7:17 98:3 referring 48:11
	refused 97:5,9
	regard 98:3,15 100:25 101:17 107:2 112:22 113:4
	regarding 77:5 79:2,7,8,10,14
	81:23 82:8,19 83:22 84:23,24 86:9 91:23,25 92:3,6,11 97:22
	99:14 100:2 106:6 156:14
	regardless 68:18 regularly 57:14,15,18 58:11,17
	59:1,8
	Reich 1:8 3:8 32:2 33:22,25 37:17 101:4 133:21 135:22
	reiterate 141:19
	reject 39:12 151:1,3 related 53:24 77:4
	relations 135:8
	relationship 122:22 125:19,21 128:13 134:21 142:21,22
	150:14,14 relative 72:19 74:19
	released 139:24
	relet 145:16 relevance 63:1,3,4,7,8
	Relevancy 62:25
	relevant 25:12,12 53:20 122:21 130:8 132:12 133:11
	reliance 101:14 137:19 143:19
	relied 121:21 122:17 135:11 151:24 156:3
	relieve 143:18 144:8
	rely 117:25 122:11 remainder 120:1
	remedies 108:3
	remedy 108:8,14 111:23,25 112:20 114:23 138:20
	remember 84:21 85:14 87:24
	88:1 91:1 98:24 105:20,21 110:24 113:8,18 115:1 153:5
	remorseful 128:7
	remove 39:6,7 109:3 removed 39:20,21 40:1 135:17
	Renault 150:10
	reneged 121:20 reneging 144:19 156:2
	renewal 54:4,15 80:13 133:3
	renewed 75:2,8
	renewing 40:19 renovation 65:22
	rent 77:8,8,10,1 6 80:9 144:25 rents 115:6
	repeat 15:22 47:13 86:8 88:23
	replace 109:15 replacement 81:19 90:20
-	1 repracement 01.19 90.20

```
replied 26:17
reply 30:1
REPORTED 2:6
reporter 2:6 38:1 95:5 158:8
Reporter's 3:19
represent 29:3 54:6
representation 101:12,14,16,22
  122:19 133:7
representations 21:19 22:9
  136:3,4,7 137:18
representative 14:18
representatives 94:12
represented 21:18 29:4 119:14
representing 16:3
repudiate 104:17
repudiated 97:5
request 24:7 31:16 33:8 107:3
  154.22
requested 24:12
require 17:2 32:4,9 108:19
required 16:9 17:5 29:2 96:23
   102:23 153:2
requirement 62:14
requirements 89:8,18 90:13
  92:9
requires 63:12,12 77:21 97:10
   101:12 148:20 151:8 152:19
  153:16
requiring 82:20
requisite 106:22 146:24 147:7
Reserve 95:24
Residential 31:24 73:19
resolve 145:24
respect 61:5 64:16 65:1,6,13
  83:19 99:19 104:8 152:22
respectfully 98:3
respective 152:5
responded 27:20
response 33:1 42:9
responsible 102:6 151:19
rest 33:9.10
rests 94:13 136:19
result 114:5
retained 53:23
returning 96:22
reverse 157:14
reversed 109:1 119:25
review 27:19 28:9,11,12,13
right 5:7,8 7:12,15 10:17 15:19
   15:21 17:19 18:15 20:13.17
   20:18 21:25 25:15 27:8 30:21
  30:23 33:21 36:18.20 40:14
  41:1,24 43:23 45:17 48:15,20
   49:5,7 51:13,17 52:10,21,21
  54:2 55:21 61:12 62:3 4 63:14
  66:11,13 68:1 72:24 74:21
   76:22 77:15.17.21 78:8.10.12
   78:12,16,25 79:3,9 80:11,12
   80:20 81:15 84:18,20 85:3
   88:1 91:4,24 95:6,7 108:2,16
   109:5,25 110:10,13,17,23
  112:10 118:19 120:16 124:6
   127:3,10 128:17,19 129:10
   130:1 137:11,14 142:11
   143:22 144:14,17 145:15,18
  146:20 147:13 156:19
rights 78:10 79:20
righty 95:25
rise 95:11 131:13 157:23
road 42:19
robe 127:21
rogue 124:24
role 154:21
room 94:22 109:14
rose 67:12
```

round 114:6

147:21

RPR 2:6 158:7

rule 33:15 108:17 109:21 145:24

153:25

```
R-e-i-c-h 33:25
r-i-a-n 5:14
safe 30:5
sale 16:17 31:24 39:2.12.15.19
   43:9 55:19 59:13 81:13,17
   82:20,20 87:22 90:13 91:23
   92:1,3,9,11,16,2 5 93:21 97:19
   99:23 100:2 104:2 107:1
   114:4 117:17 118:2 122:24
   125:25 128:11 131:14 132:13
   132:15.18 133:11 134:2
   141:24 146:25 148:5 151:17
   154:10 155:1,7
sales 54:16 56:8 96:13 104:8
   113:4,23,25 114:4 120:2
   134:12.16 156:14
same 9:11 10:9 21:4 24:11 29:17
   40:20 58:5 81:20 96:22 101:4
   105:6 113:25,25 114:1 131:3
   133:3 136:5
Samsung 24:17
Santidrian 3:4 5:6,9,12,23 6:9
   14:13 17:18 18:17 19:6 30:15
   43:6 96:25 133:9,19 136:3,7
satisfied 144:2
saw 28:25 40:4.23 41:10.12 75:2
   130:16 135:1
saying 16:5 23:11 51:16 57:17
   58:19 60:12,14 68:6 71:22
   87:1,5,8,19 95:18 108:6
   121:13 122:17 125:7 127:13
   127:15 130:3 137:10 142:3
   145:10 153:5 155:25
savs 9:10 12:25 13:7 15:18 17:14
   25:24 27:3,4 29:22 30:3 31:23
   32:8 36:19,20 42:11 43:17,17
   44:19 45:1,6 48:21,24 49:2,7
   49:11,16,17 53:4 57:7 58:10
   60:6 66:24 67:1 83:12 85:8
   86:22 87:6,6,7 108:20 117:1
   118:16 119:1 121:10 125:2,16
   129:17 131:20 134:20 135:22
   136:18 140:1 144:18 154:25
scanned 24:7
scientific 17:4,13
scope 154:19
scratched 41:10
screen 27:1
scrolled 27:1
scrolling 29:25
Sea 124-19
Sean 6:19 8:16,23 9:6 10:5,6,12
   11:1.10 13:14.24 16:1.3 24:10
   25:14,24 26:5 30:19 37:21
   40:17 41:5.7.17.20 42:9.21
   43:8 44:3,5,7,15,1 8 45:5
   51:15 52:14 53:7,11 66:2 69:2
   69:7,21 71:9 72:4 74:1,6 75:4
   80:15 82:14,17,19,22 84:8
   91:25 96:6 100:1 101:23
   102:9 106:6,10 118:11 125:3
   132:23 133:13,16 140:10
   149:1 150:14 151:12,13 152:8
search 107:12 154:6
seasonal 54:9
seat 5:10 73:1
second 21:16 22:18 23:9 28:23
   29:14 42:3,4,12 43:14 56:17
   60:13 66:22,24 74:20 75:1,1
   75:11 76:6 90:11 104:11.23
   133:2 134:12 136:24 151:21
   155:19
Section 36:12 49:12 152:20
```

```
SECURITY 95:11 157:23
see 10:8,9 28:4 31:12 48:12,25
   49:24 57:8 58:8 60:1,23 61:1
   68:19 84:2.3 92:6 95:10
   113:16,20 119:18 122:11
   123.7 129.11 133.5 135.21
   142:19 144:10 156:2,8
seek 112:23
seeking 106:25
seem 155:23
seemed 128:6
seems 120:15 140:19
seen 50:19 73:23 109:11,12
   135.11 146.6
Seestrom 101:18
seldom 108:25
sell 22:6 23:1 40:22 41:17 42:18
   61:7 62:11 81:14 82:4.5 88:20
   88:25 89:24,25 92:20 100:5
   106:7 126:4 132:10,14 140:22
   141:3 144:14 145:15 153:22
   154.1
seller 7:25 8:7 10:13,16 11:2,19
   14:25 16:10 20:1 21:16 23:1,6
   23:11 26:6,9,11,1 1 27:12 66:6
   96:15 97:10 134:14 141:2
   147:19 148:9,10 151:11
sellers 9:10 16:4 19:16 29:4
   31:25 54:7 97:6 108:13
   121:19 122:22
seller's 26:2,12
selling 25:6 41:17 125:8,20
   152:14
sells 129:22
send 9:1 10:14 22:25 24:13,20
   25:25 26:1,2 51:16
sending 9:19 23:23 30:1
sent 7:25 8:1,16,23 9:6,9 10:1
   16:12 24:13,14 25:14,20
   27.12 31.4 42.9 47.1 53.7 11
   69:20,21 81:1 118:11,13
   123:22 143:22
sentence 120:25
separate 147:23 150:6
September 80:1,6,8
served 69:14
service 91:14 119:17,21
SESSION 95:14
set 85:23 87:20 94:6 146:9 153:1
   157.8
sets 96:12
setting 80:20
several 40:6 81:17
Shackleford 120:10 132:3
   146:22
Shechtman 1:8,9 3:8 6:22 12:1,5
   12:14 14:4 16:17 21:23 22:10
   31:25 32:2,13 33:19,22 34:1,5
   38:11 43:19,21 44:2 48:10,21
   49:2 59:12 62:13 64:16 96:7
   98:11 99:7,17,20,2 5 100:3,4
   100:12 15 25 101:5 102:1 16
   103:7 104:7 105:5,6,9 121:8
   123:23 130:24 133:14,15,21
   133:22 134:9 135:22 136:2.5
   136:10 141:20,25 147:8,11,25
   148:3,16,19,24 149:3,8 150:8
   151:12,16 152:7 153:21
   154:22 156:13
Shechtman's 48:20 78:5 99:4,12
   100:6 101:7 102:12,19 105:2
   150.7 151.2
Sherman 1:9 3:12 6:22 21:19,21
   31:25 32:5.11 35:6 50:3.10.13
   52:11 72:12,23,25 73:4 76:18
   86:6 94:5,23 98:10 99:20
   101:5 103:22 104:24 105:2.6
   105:8 121:9 133:14,15,22
   134:9 135:23 136:3,6,6
```

140:17 141:23 147:7 148:3,17 148:22 149:7 152:13 153:21 Sherman's 44:17 52:25 56:6 99:18.21 100:1 102:20 103:16 104:4 105:15 153:4 shocked 41:23,23 56:19,23 57:3 57:6 124:6 125:17 150:9,12 short 88:17 shorter 75:5 Shortly 83:6 show 122:21.23 **showed** 100:23,25 113:5 141:13 showing 97:13 106:11 147:6 shown 100:9 133:12 shows 100:21 142:9 sic 22:24 85:15 side 11:10 15:2 18:12 20:13 48:18 109:8,8 121:16 140:25 140:25 sides 5:3 64:12 105:6 135:12 147:21 sign 10:13 11:2,10,14,16 12:7,8 12:10 21:13 29:2,12,23 32:5 32:11 37:21 44:12,14,15,16 45:16 52:11,14 53:8 57:12 66:2,5 68:23 69:21 76:12 79:21 80:15 81:10,12 92:20 102:12 103:19.22 116:14.23 117:1,2,4,5,10,1 2 120:8,21 121-1 130-21 23 134-8 17 142:14 148:22,25 153:17 signature 28:24 37:19 43:18 46:4 48:11.13.18.20 52:25 53:4 76:2 103:16 105:2 116:15 119:15 121:21 125:14 134:13 140:16 151:9 signatures 16:9 28:22 48:24 49:17 98:20 116:18 130:5 131:20 133:23 156:3 signed 7:7 8:4 10:16 11:4,14 12:11,14 14:4 16:2 21:4,7 31:4 32:1.22 43:1 44:21 45:5 46:15 48:18 49:11,19 50:1 59:14,21 60:4 65:21,25 66:4 70:22 76:19 79:17.24 80:14 80:24 81:5,6 99:4 100:12 101:1,6 102:16 104:21,24 117:11 119:7 132:6.7 133:16 134:5 136:10 141:21 142:3 147:4.11 149:11 152:17 155:20 signing 46:18 75:4,17 76:4 98:7 117:6,7 120:24 121:22 132:15 154.15 Siler 119:16,21 156:2 similar 98:9 similarly 99:12 112:15 simple 108:10 144:16 simply 87:19 since 24:16 40:4 58:21 64:12 106:15 111:19,21 121:22 145:9 156:19 157:3,19 single 57:16 singular 148:10 sir 5:7 29:20 31:6,12 sister 21:8,13 35:5,6,16 41:15 46:8,25 52:16 54:21 61:6,14 61:24 62:2,12 74:5,19,22 75:13 76:18 77:22 117:4 121:10 152:17 sisters 109:24 123:8 128:16 148:3.6 152:17 sister's 58:2 74:12 75:19 sit 94:21,21 102:10 147:14 situation 60:9 142:15 143:11 six 54:14 122:25 146:10 Skinner 134:11 sleeping 143:16 slip 22:3 45:18,20

slow 79:12,13 slowly 86:12 Smith 120:10 132:3 Smith/Shackleford 135:4 soft 129:4,7 sold 92:21 96:14 113:5,6 114:3 140:8 144:13 156:22 sole 21:20 solely 136:10 some 17:24 20:4 28:5 57:20 75:12.16.81:21.86:23.92:8 105:18 109:15 112:6 115:3 117:24 123:15 126:8,25 128:5 134:4,6 135:5 138:11 143:9 somebody 40:18 41:5 70:5 139:20 144:8,13,21 somebody's 68:17 70:7 someone 15:18 18:4 39:11 68:4 116:23 119:10 124:22 125:16 126:4 144:15,17 155:20 someplace 42:19 something 30:25 38:1 39:12 43:19 56:21 62:6 67:12 68:6 71:22 72:19 78:13 82:4 110:2 114:17 120:15,16 122:17,25 123:15,17 124:17,23 127:12 130:14 137:14 140:22 141:1,3 145.22 146.16 sometime 8:2 26:21 27:20 sometimes 45:9,9 124:16 somewhat 128:7 somewhere 18:14 53:19 81:20 soon 26:17 sorry 7:17 11:23 14:7 16:14 31:20 32:7,8 37:8 40:14 42:6 42:13 45:19,21 55:1 58:2 59:5 65:9 79:11,11,24 83:9,15 84:10,16 85:5 86:15,18 89:16 90:4 94:19 96:20 126:7 sort 17:24 40:20 60:9 Sotheby's 26:1 73:19 139:19 **Soto** 2:1,2 3:6,9,11,13,15,17 5:19,21 7:13 12:4,7,9,11,22 13:2,5,8,11,16 16:22 19:3,5 20:22 21:23 22:1,4,8 28:2 30:11 33:12,17,19 34:4,12,14 34:19 35:24 36:6,9,12,15,17 36:21,23,25 37:3 38:10,16,19 38:23 39:1,6,18,22,25 41:25 44:11.13.23 45:21.23 47:8.11 47:14 48:3,5 49:20 52:5 56:15 56:18 58:5 59:3.23 62:18.22 62:25 63:2,4 65:15 66:20,23 66:25 67:3,5,9,14,16,19,23 68:2,5,9 70:11,13 71:14,20,23 72:3,11,15,18,2 3 73:3,7 76:9 76:14 90:4 93:2 94:4,8,13,18 95:3,8 108:20 116:2,3,10,12 116:14,16,20,2 4 117:3,5,9,19 117:23 118:5.8.10.13 119:3.5 119:7,16,19,2 1 120:14,18 121:5,13,16,25 122:4,8,13,16 123:12,18,21,2 5 124:2,5,7,15 125:1,7,11,2 2 126:1,10,12,18 126:21,24 127:1,4,7,11,14,16 127:19,23 128:4,8,14,18,22,24 129:1,5,10,12,17,2 3 130:2,6 130:12,15,19,2 4 131:1,4,6,10 131:12,17,23 132:1,3 134:20 136:16 137:24 138:2,8,11,13 138:16 139:7,10,12,14,16,19 139:23 140:3,21,23 141:5,8 141:10,14,17 142:2 146:5 155:24 156:6 157:24 sought 128:6 147:3 sounds 91:6 124:19 south 109.8 southeast 113:1

SOUTHERN 1:1

speak 26:5,9,11 76:24 78:24 91:19 108:10 138:16 speaking 79:5 92:6 speaks 49:20 96:17 special 112:6 147:23 specialized 17:2 specific 33:8 56:19 64:17 82:1 83:21 84:21 85:22 86:9 106:25 107:3 108:13,17 111:7 111:9.15 112:2.19 114:18.20 114:22 115:4 120:4 138:18,21 139:5 156:20 specifically 31:16,17 32:19,21 74:22 79:4 81:23 98:12 99:25 108:4 120:20 138:2,5 141:24 specifics 77:7 speculative 138:17 140:4,11,12 156:19,25 spell 5:11 33:23 spelled 5:13 spent 78:18 split 95:16,18,21 spoke 15:5 27:13 105:4 135:10 spoken 106:10 sports 124:12 square 114:1 stamp 12:4 stand 33:20 74:14 standing 79:7 stands 20:4 133:12 start 29:8 46:15 90:16 124:16 127:13 started 68:15 80:7 starting 80:5 121:16 Starts 42:5 state 102:15,15 119:11 120:20 140.2 144.25 145.1 stated 49:14 83:12 90:5 119:16 136:11 statement 31:22 32:4,24 58:17 61:2 62:19,20 99:1,2 106:4 states 1:1,13 2:6 136:21 158:8 stating 62:4 statute 49:12 116:16 130:21 131:19 133:25 137:4 138:2,4 138:9 140:14 147:1,2 155:1 statutory 149:20 stay 62:12 108:19 step 72:16 stiff 144:18 still 27:10 101:10,25 102:6 105:22 110:16 119:12 130:1 141:21 151:7 152:12 stip 72:19.21 stipulation 12:19 13:1,10 14:9 31:17,21 96:10 102:15,19,21 102:25 103:12 104:22 148:15 149:8,23 150:1 stipulations 12:13 100:10 147:11 stop 50:8 66:20 67:16,18 68:4 89:9,11,12 stopped 68:18 stories 14:3 straight 22:2 street 18:5 strictly 55:25 strike 53:22 127:25 string 25:22 strong 41:6 strongly 46:24 stuck 12:24 104:2 stupid 60:9 subject 83:20 84:24 92:16,25 93:21 99:23 120:6 submit 96:17 100:17 103:8,11 104:11 111:21 112:19 113:24

114:1

So.2d 134:11 151:21 154:13

submitted 103:13 subpoena 24:4 substantive 98:24 99:2 sudden 25:2 92:20 129:24 sue 126:19,22 127:1,2 135:24 139.13 155.20 suffered 112:22 sufficient 155:7 suggesting 104:24 105:1 Suite 1:17,21 2:3,7 158:9 sum 138:24 summary 97:21 146:9 155:18 Sunny 6:11 148:2 156:16 super 124:24 supersedeas 108:19 157:9 support 32:24 132:4 **Supreme** 117:9 132:4,10 137:2 137:24 138:13 154:24 sure 8:5 17:25 39:8 45:16,20 47:21 74:25 75:15 81:24 83:7 83:11,17 84:12,13,13,14,15,15 86:18 91:20,21 93:9 94:25 115:16 117:1,4 124:18 134:19 137:9 142:20 145:17 146:17 surely 122:1 surgery 46:18 suspect 17:18 143:2 sustain 18:20 47:7 64:10 sworn 5:9 33:22 72:25 98:18 99:9.13 system 109:25 131:8 S-a-n 5:13 S-h-e-c-h-t-m-a-n 34:1 S-h-e-r-m-a-n 73:5 T 5:13 158:3.3

tab 32:25 73:20 table 3:1 15:2 Taco 149:16 take 11:7 12:18 19:11 20:13 22:12 24:12 25:9,13 28:14 29:8 31:16 34:8.11 35:8 37:4 42:1 43:11 45:24 46:24 70:7 73:17 74:16 75:9 94:25 109:1 115:22 118:3 119:22 120:20 130:7 139:5 141:15 145:8 157:10.14 taken 24:13 64:16 takes 108:21 109:6 153:7 taking 70:4 121:10 talk 7:18 18:23 35:17 40:5 54:17 57:1,2 110:14,17,19 116:8.8 123:13,13 124:10,11,11,12,13 125:9 talked 48:7 71:8 120:19 talking 10:9 17:6,8,17,1 9 18:21 26:8 29:14 36:5 44:10 48:16 62:10 77:13 82:16 124:16 talks 9:18 119:9 154:8 Tanebaum 137:5 tanks 111:3 task 76:20 **Tatum** 155:5 taxation 81:24 82:1,8 taxes 114:8 123:3 **Taylor** 136:23 TD 78-9 **TDR** 6:11 148:1 156:15 tea 124:11 technical 17:4 technology 53:18 telephone 23:14 35:25 71:15 129:25 tell 5:10 7:6 28:21 33:23 38:18 40:1,8 43:17 67:12,18 68:4 69:2 73:1 87:14 116:25 118:17,24 126:16 130:5 138:13 147:12

trips 142:25

147:19

Triplett 155:6

unilaterally 98:13

157:1

unique 111:20,21 112:16 115:12

unit 6:10 16:21 53:24 57:8 62:17

113:5.6.24 114:4 140:8 148:1

73:15 81:13 82:20 112:25

148:4,8,14 156:14,21,21

United 1:1,13 2:6 158:8 units 112:25 113:5,25 114:3

unless 110:7 116:24 134:2

140:5 156:22

unobstructed 113:1

115:14,16,18,19 141:7,8

telling 24:22 30:20 44:18 60:10	157:2
61:1 66:8 86:10 87:1 118:22	thinking 40:3
tells 27:6 119:23 137:25 138:14	thinks 14:16 15:14,15
temporary 104:1	third 29:17 37:19 43:15,24
tenant 52:21 120:1 131:3	81:19 135:9,14 149:10
tend 45:13	Thomas 5:13
tense 60:10 term 51:22 75:5	though 39:8 99:18 103:2,2 117:11 118:6,22 120:7
terms 63:10 96:12,17 100:20	144:17 148:10 151:7
107:3 153:12	thought 29:1 30:20 40:22
testified 12:11 19:6,10 30:19	63:11,16 84:11,14 92:5
48:10 59:1,7 99:20,25 100:3	113:10 122:8 146:11
105:3 106:9 112:24 123:14	thousand 91:11
124:5 133:8,13,1 5 148:25	three 58:23 75:18 134:23
testify 142:1 testifying 53:7	through 5:16 10:8 12:16 1 16:18 39:18 73:9 87:22
testimony 17:1,23,23,25 18:1,1	100:21 101:19 104:16
18:13 52:15 54:12 56:18 57:3	107:1 128:21 129:24 13
57:22 58:16,20 59:16 60:3,7	137:18 141:20 147:16
60:17,18,22 62:8,13 69:20	148:11 149:7 156:14 1:
82:18,23 84:4,5,11 85:7,17,19	throwing 71:17 126:14
86:21 87:25 90:15 91:16 93:6	thrown 127:8
93:24 96:3,8,24 97:13 98:10 98:16,17,18,2 5 99:10,11,12,14	Thursday 53:12 tie 152:24
99:16 100:11,25 102:19,20	Tieder 1:16,20
103:3,5,6,7,8 104:4 105:11,12	time 10:1 25:20 28:5,23 3
105:13,16,22,2 3 106:10 116:3	40:20 42:18,23 47:23 6
116:4 120:25 121:3 124:4	60:13 61:25 64:11 75:6
125:11 131:18 134:9 135:1,9 141:23 142:2 147:6 149:4	84:6 88:19,24 89:23 91 94:16 109:6 121:23 123
150:7 151:2,4,6,10,1 4 153:4,9	130:17 135:21 138:11
156:4	142:24 145:9 146:11,21
text 16:13,15 24:6,10,25 25:5,10	timelines 81:21
25:14,20,21 26:14 27:15 28:4	times 40:6 56:2 68:5 87:24
28:6,8,14 30:6,8 129:25 130:6	timing 69:3
130:7,11,15,16 texted 26:4,5 28:6	tinkering 118:20 tired 86:14,14,16
texts 24:4,12,20 25:15 133:9,10	title 107:6,7,10,12,13,1 7 1
thank 5:7,15 6:5 10:23 18:25	145:20 149:20
19:3 31:6 33:6,17 34:2 70:9	Title/Office 49:7
70:11 72:16 73:7 87:5 93:16	today 16:20 28:14 35:19 5
94:8,9,10,23 115:25 116:1 119:20 141:11,17 157:22,24	58:16 60:12,14 83:21 9 93:1,22 98:10 99:8 106
157:25	116:3 148:24
Thanks 42:20	together 40:24,25 65:24 7
their 6:10,19 11:12 13:18 15:5	79:22 89:4 90:6 124:16
15:12 21:13 24:7 32:19,23,24	told 21:4,7 23:5,23 24:19
33:3 63:24 98:16 99:9 101:19 101:21 102:11,12,14,15	46:24 67:16 126:13 130 142:2
112:24 120:12 130:9 135:6,16	tomorrow 26:2,3,18
136:22 142:5 152:5	tonight 10:14 25:25
thereof 54:10 134:4	top 9:16,23 42:5,14 70:20
thereon 137:19	Toronto 132:24
thereunder 97:6 thereunto 134:6	total 114:10 115:7 totally 41:23 152:5
therewith 134:5	touch 124:21
thing 29:10 43:23 54:25 55:1,2	tough 22:6 23:2,3
60:8 70:4 105:6 123:23	Tower 6:11 16:21 148:1 1
124:13 129:12 140:19,23	track 78:18
146:20 157:16	trailed 94:19
things 37:16 41:7,22 45:16 53:18,19,20 55:2 57:6 62:7	transaction 54:16 83:20 10 119:10
70:8,8 96:16 99:14 110:14	transactions 53:24 54:20
140:1 141:1 144:11 149:23	77:5 102:11 135:8
150:16 154:3	transcript 58:3
think 5:21 7:17 15:24 17:22 18:8	transcription 158:5
18:9,9,13 33:13 36:14,16 39:1	transfer 133:23 transmission 101:22
39:19 43:20 45:7,10,15 47:24 74:20 81:3,16 82:13,21 84:1,6	transmission 101:22 traveling 55:4
84:15,16,17,1 8 85:1,8 88:10	Treatise 138:20
92:5 105:16 106:11 107:7	Treister 154:12
110:11 113:12,16 119:23	trial 1:12 32:5,9 67:22 98:
122:20 126:15 128:20,23	99:3 102:23 103:3,4,7
129:19 130:7 131:6,17,18	106:23 110:17,19 117:2 123:20 141:15 148:24
135:3 137:1 138:17 141:2,4 141:13,14 142:8 143:4 144:7	158:1
141:13,14 142.8 143.4 144:/	tried 86:13 99:16 117:10

144:23 145:13,19,21 146:15

147:12 149:23,24 150:1,10

152:2,10 153:11 156:18,24

```
third 29:17 37:19 43:15,24
   81:19 135:9,14 149:10 151:25
though 39:8 99:18 103:2,22
   117:11 118:6.22 120:7 122:10
thought 29:1 30:20 40:22 48:5
  63:11.16 84:11.14 92:5
through 5:16 10:8 12:16 14:23
   16:18 39:18 73:9 87:22 97:22
   100:21 101:19 104:16 106:23
   107-1 128-21 129-24 133-11
   137:18 141:20 147:16 148:5
   148:11 149:7 156:14 157:7
time 10:1 25:20 28:5,23 38:3,7
   40:20 42:18,23 47:23 60:3,7
   60:13 61:25 64:11 75:6.14
   84:6 88:19,24 89:23 91:3
   94.16 109.6 121.23 123.13
   130:17 135:21 138:11 141:11
   142:24 145:9 146:11,21
times 40:6 56:2 68:5 87:24
title 107:6,7,10,12,13,1 7 108:22
today 16:20 28:14 35:19 54:17
   58:16 60:12,14 83:21 92:17
   93:1,22 98:10 99:8 106:11
together 40:24,25 65:24 75:14
   79:22 89:4 90:6 124:16 127:8
told 21:4,7 23:5,23 24:19 41:5
   46:24 67:16 126:13 130:6
top 9:16,23 42:5,14 70:20
Tower 6:11 16:21 148:1 156:15
transaction 54:16 83:20 104:8
transactions 53:24 54:20 55:14
trial 1:12 32:5.9 67:22 98:23
   99:3 102:23 103:3,4,7 106:10
   106:23 110:17.19 117:20
   123:20 141:15 148:24 149:3
tried 86:13 99:16 117:10 143:21
```

```
trouble 150:6
TRS 20:4 29:1 74:14 101:5,5
true 23:22,25 49:14 55:13,16
   58:16,20 59:12 65:19 76:18
   76:22 78:21 82:14 84:4 86:6
   91:16 92:2 110:18 146:19
Trump 6:11 16:21
trust 1:9 20:4 29:4,6 32:21 34:5
   34:22,22 35:1,10,14 36:6,19
   50:17.21 53:25 64:21.22.25
   65:1,1,5,6,12,1 3 73:12,15
   77:4,5,15,17,18,21,24 78:22
   79:2,2,8,9,14,1 4 80:9 92:15
   92:24 93:10,17 94:12,12
   98:11,12,12 99:19,22 100:5
   100:23 104:7 105:8 133:22
   136.2 147.9 25 148.4 10 11
   148:18,19 149:7,10 151:8
   152:15,19,22 153:1,15 154:1
   156:13 157:7
trustee 74:14 76:19,20 105:10
  151:12
trustees 32:1 35:1 94:12 118:16
   133:21,22 148:11,15,20 149:7
   151:9,11 152:20,21,22,23,25
   153:2,16,23 156:14 157:8
truth 60:10.14
truthful 60:7,17,20 61:2 85:7
  93:24
try 79:13 115:18 118:16,24,24
   126:1
trying 14:20 15:1 42:20 62:13
   69:8 125:12 144:18
turn 24:2 59:16 70:14 74:8
   132:12
turned 86:25
turns 11:15
twist 11:12
two 6:1 14:3 16:9 20:1.5.6.9
   28:25 29:1 35:1 41:15 43:3
   54:2,8,9,12 55:13 70:8 75:18
   80:25 87:11 98:16 99:8
   103:19 104:20 105:6 111:10
   111:14 113:5 115:8.12 123:8
   124:16 130:5 133:20,22
   135:19 140:1,5 152:21
type 19:20 137:8 157:15
types 38:1
typical 53:22 55:5
typically 54:19
T-r-e-i-s-t-e-r 154:13
ultimately 100:6
Um-hum 10:11 19:25 69:11
  70:16
unauthorized 98:6 101:9 104:17
```

151:22 unaware 136:11 uncontested 32:4.9 under 22:6 24:4 49:12 52:18 65:1 70:17 80:9 86:25 93:10 93:17 97:1 99:11 101:11 108:14 117:23 119:10 130:21 131:19 133:25 138:9,24 147:1 understand 18:24 58:23 64:22 68:2 77:21 87:5 121:13 144:15 155:11 **understanding** 16:20 35:10 54:21 92:8

understood 123:10

undertaking 134:23

underwater 110:6

unfortunately 86:19

unfurnished 113.7

unilateral 35:11

135:9

undisputed 97:4 116:4 133:8

```
unofficially 109:19
unreasonable 144:3 150:15
unreliable 103:8,11,14
until 41:10 102:14 108:22
   121:19 122:9
untoward 78:13
untrue 60:22
unfront 133:1
upgraded 24:16
upset 66:13,17 68:12
upstairs 46:17 50:6
use 16:17 35:19 36:25 40:3
   126:6 129:2 148:9
used 77:9.20
usually 54:24 144:13
U.S 158:8
v 101:18 119:16,21 120:10
   134:11 146:22
valid 15:3 120:2 131:15,18
   148:23
value 91:14 115:23 128:12 144:7
   145:14
variance 114:5.10 115:6
various 149.5 152.9
verdict 33:13
verdicts 127:8
Verification 49:11
versus 57:2 98:4 136:13,16,23
   137:5,6 149:16 154:24
very 11:16 16:4 40:2 41:13
   45:15 46:24,24 48:11,16
   53:18 55:25 57:1 60:8,9,10
   61:22.25.25.64:12.88:11
   122:24 125:22 126:11 127:19
   129:4,6 141:7 144:16 147:2
   149:18 155:24
via 16:12
vicinity 81:20
victory 110:23,24,24 111:1,4
view 13:25 39:13
views 113:2
violation 97:9
Virginia 1:17 17:16 128:16
Vivian 1:4 9:5 20:21 21:2 24:12
   24:19 116:4 133:5 148:6
VK 21·1
voice 86:13,14 94:19
vs 1:7
```

wait 66:22,24 104:11

waited 143:21

waiting 57:10

waive 67:11

waives 67:9

walk 46:19 143:4

walk-throughs 97:11

want 7:18 14:22 36:10 13 37:2

38:3,6 39:14 47:11 64:20

68:10,16 94:16 95:16,23

112:1,2 114:17,19,20,22

106:19 110:2,3,3,4 111:24

115:5 118:19,25 124:18,20

125:25 126:4 128:11 129:7

```
138:15.16 143:15 144:5.5.18
   144:20,20 145:1,7,14,22
  146:7 147:14
wanted 18:12 27:18 28:9 39:3
  62:1,1 78:12 81:23,23 90:21
  107:21 131:21 144:14
wants 82:4 112:4 133:11 144:24
War 117:18
wasn't 10:16 21:15 38:12 40:23
  41:2 48:7 58:25 60:15 61:23
  69:1.8 81:24 88:19.24 89:23
   122:5 130:10 153:2,6
Watt 1:16,20
way 16:15 50:17 60:23 61:1
  62:11 95:17 108:6 109:18
  113:20 118:18 124:12 145:24
  150:7 152:16 153:1,1 154:7
ways 144:11
wear 127:21
weather 124:11
Wednesday 22:19
week 53:10
weigh 89:5
welcome 94:24
well 11:25 14:3 15:18 17:12
   18:13 24:1 25:4,13 27:21 28:8
  28:14,16 38:18 39:3,13 40:8
  45:6 52:1 53:17 54:2.9.10
  56:2,10,12 57:3,17 58:11
  60:24 61:25 62:5 23 63:8
  64:13 66:6,22 71:12 77:4,13
  78:5,14 80:2 81:14 89:15 91:1
   100.21 102.21 103.4 17 105.1
   105:17 106:3,4 107:11,14
  108:3 109:13 112:16 114:12
   115:22 118:9 119:16 120:11
   120:23 121:24 123:19 124:15
  127:7.13.2 1 128:18 131:17
   136:25 137:10,12 139:5
  143:16 146:9,15 153:9 155:24
went 25:7 66:8 77:7 110:8
  120:19
were 5:1 6:3,9,13 11:6 15:7
  20:23,23 21:4,4,7 24:19,22
  25:8.11.11.29:1.2.4.17.47:18
  51:25 53:10 54:2,2,9,12,13
  55:19 56:2,3,18,23 57:3,4
  61:8,10,12,19,2 1 62:4,8,15
  65:23 69:17 70:17,20 75:14
  79:22 80:25 81:7,17,22,22
  82:19 88:19 89:7,10,18,24
  90:13 91:3 95:15 102:9
   105:19 106:1 110:15 112:25
   119:14 120:12 122:19 124:8,9
  130:16 131:22 133:10,10,21
   135:2 136:10,11 143:16,17
   145:21 146:1,9 147:15 150:4
  152:5 157:11
weren't 41:3 56:23 61:15,18
  62:3 68:12 69:5,14 71:8 84:11
   156:21
we'll 127:9
we're 10:9 14:20 27:24 44:10
  50:9 54:17 83:20 92:17 93:1
  93:22 111:7 123:5 130:1
  139.10
we've 9:21 18:4 107:12 116:3
   123:4 135:9 149:18
whatsoever 16:7 36:23 125:19
Whichever 139:17
while 38:20
white 24:1,2 29:10,16,17 34:8,14
  51:5 57:24 73:10 82:25
whole 61:14 128:9
wholly 140:9 146:23
wife 116:25 117:1,1
wife's 24:14
Wilkie 2:7 158:8
willing 23:3 42:17 62:11 125:2,4
```

```
125:6,6 138:23
Wilma 109:16
wimp 69:4 70:1
win 107:6,23 111:5
window 126:14
winning 110:2 112:12
wired 96:23
wiring 25:25
wish 157:11,14,21
withdraw 40:16 72:20 78:11,16
withdrawn 120:16
witness 5:4,9,12,16 8:5,7,9 9:11
   10:11,15,18,20,2 2 15:22
   16:23 17:1 18:24 20:20 22:5
  31:8,11,14 33:20,25 40:7,10
   40:14.17 41:2.5.12.22 45:1.3
   45:5,8,11,13,18,2 0 52:8 53:17
   59.5 25 65.17 67.12 18 68.17
   70:1,3 71:21 72:10 73:2,4
   86:18 89:11,12,18 90:5 91:9
  94.10
witnesses 151:5
won 109:25
wonderful 109:25 127:10
wondering 107:6
words 11:12 24:22 101:12 102:4
work 23:4 65:22
working 57:7
World 117:18
worry 70:4
worse 110:25
worth 18:5 109:22,23 118:22
  145:23
wouldn't 40:3 41:19 57:20 76:1
  77:25 80:3 106:1 108:23
   128:24 129:13 131:10 153:18
Wow 124:19
wrap 93:3
writes 79:6
writing 48:19 75:18 79:4 134:5
  155:4
written 19:20 47:8 63:12,17
   76:19,22 77:2 79:20,23 80:19
   124:2,9 125:2 132:6,18 138:3
wrong 7:17 71:22 108:20 122:21
   123:11 127:12 152:2
wrote 13:15,22,23 49:5,8 79:6
yacht 155:16
yeah 9:22 11:21 12:16 20:20
  29:11 36:19 37:7 39:24 45:3
   56:14 59:18,19 95:20 109:13
   111:6 124:20 127:2
year 24:11 25:8 38:13 54:13
   75:8 104:6 108:18.22 109:6.6
   109:17 111:3 122:25 132:25
   140:6 150:24
years 34:7 38:12,12 98:9 127:5
  135:19
Yep 60:2
yesterday 7:14 12:12 27:13
  33:13 38:20 51:16 101:18
   109:7 111:16 156:22
$1,300,000 148:12
```

```
$1.2 150:18
$1.23 150:19
$1.27 150:20
$1.3 15:25 16:8 18:6 61:5.9
  63:25 88:20,25 89:24 96:14
   100.8 109.23 118.3 18.21
   124:23 141:24 153:22
$1.33 150:22
$1.48 156:23
$1.95 113:3
$10 91:12
$100,000 113:22
```

1978 136:24

1981 149:20

1982 151:22

```
$12,000 114:8
$18,000 114:8
$200,000 110:9 157:9
$240,000 137:21
$292,000 114:10 115:7
$3,000 77:10
$39,000 133:12
$40,000 114:17,24 126:7 129:17
  129:19,19,23
$42,000 114:24
$50,000 96:23 108:2 110:10
   139:1 140:2 143:20
$6,000 52:19
$72,000 114:7
               A
07 16:21 112:25 113:1,4
14:77:7,238:189:22,2210:3
   11:19,19,25 19:11 20:18
   23:19 34:11,14,15,18 36:6
   37:5,6 59:17 63:17 73:9,10,17
   73:20,21 74:10,11 90:15,25
   94:6 96:9,12 148:13,19
   150:25 151:8 157:3
1.2 118:22
1.25 139:3 140:10
1.3 10:13 11:2.13 20:19 22:6
   23:1,7,12 31:4 39:16 42:18
   46:24 81:15,16,18 89:7 91:2
   106:8 114:4 118:23 119:1,1
   125:2,4,8 144:17
1.4 119.1 144.15
1.45 113:6 156:23
1.48 113:5
1.5 119.1
1.55 113:24 114:3
1:45 95.7
10 97:22 150:17
10:00 5:1
10:45 30:3.6
100 1:17
101 144.9
11 1:8
11:20 22:16
11:21 10:10,18,25
1127 134:11
116 3:17
12 7:18,19 114:7,8 150:21
12:10 95:6
1200 1·20
13 6:25
13-21482-CIV-MORENO 1:3
13-3 1:6 2:7 158:9
14 6:25 7:2,3,4,9 83:5,7,18
   150:19 157:5
141 3:18 149:16
1457 149-17
15 80:1,6 97:22
158 3:19
15811 148:2 156:16
16 150:20
17 120.8
172 151:21
18 4.7
18L 97:9
1800 1:21
19 3:6
1914 154:8
1926 117:9 132:4 146:13,22
  155:4
1927 155:6
1936 154:25
1956 154:13
1973 136:17
```

```
1983 134:12
1986 120:8
1998 149:17
2 9:14,22 10:9 22:13 23:20 30:15
   30:17,18 157:4
2:15 95:15
20-plus 135:19
2011 34:7
2013 6:15 9:24 23:18 30:18
   55:17 56:9 66:5 69:15,17 71:6
   80:1,6 81:1 96:5,18,20 97:3
   97:17 98:15 100:12.15 101:24
   106:17 150:18 157:16
2014 1:8 107:4 157:5
21 83:25 90:18
22 8:11 84:1,16 93:10,15 96:9
23 4:6 5:18,25 6:1,3 90:16
230 7:7
2400 2:2
25 90:15,23 95:22,23
250,000 114:5 115:6
26 83:5.7
27 4:6 5:18,19,23 6:3
28 84:20
29 58:4,4 70:15 85:21 86:21
   87:11,25
292,000 137:22,23
3 4:6 24:17 29:8.14 31:23 43:11
   43:12 44:9,23 51:4,8 68:22
   74:8.16 84:20 85:21 103:25
   121:14 157:4
3B 134:15
3.2 35:8 36:3,12
3.5 36:3 152:20
30 3:7 90:16,17,18 94:25 95:1,4
   95:18,20 157:6
305.523.5118 2:8 158:9
305.693.7797 1:22
305.777.3572 1:22
31 57:23 82:24 83:2 90:14,25
   107:4
32 69:10
33 32:16,18,20 149:9
330 7:23
33128 2:8 158:9
33131 1:21
33308 2:3
34 3:8,9 4:7 32:25
35 31:18
35th 113-1
36 56:12.13
3807 6:10 34:6 73:15 114:4
   148:1 156:15
4 24:16 29:8 32:18 132:12 149:9
   150.18
4th 71:6 72:5 150:23
4.1 153:25
4:00 158:1
4:42 23:5 30:19
40 115.2
40,000 125:23 126:14
400 2:3,7 158:9
4007 113:3
409 151:21
42,000 114:9,20 115:6
4207 113:5 156:21
426 134:11
4307 113:6,12,24 156:22
47 3:10
48 4.8
```

491 119:19

-21482-FAM Docum	ent 86	Entered
	I	
5		
5 32:3,8 53:12 71:3 95:23		
5th 8:2		
50 26:1 33:15 108:7 110:8 122:6		
139:9 143:20		
52 147:21		
56 93:6,7,15		
6		
6 3:4,5 4:6 24:2 29:15,19 30:18 30:23		
6th 8:3 22:19 23:5 47:2 150:24		
6,000 114:6		
605 154:13		
7 4:8 9:23 23:18 45:24 47:18		
48:3,8 50:9 66:5 75:9 81:1		
96:5,18,20 97:3,17 101:23		
106:16 149:20		
7th 8:17 9:3,7 10:10,25 22:15		
23:15 26:20,22 27:10 98:15		
100:12,15 150:24		
70 3:11		
703.749.1000 1:18		
703.893.8029 1:18		
725 140:14		
725.01 133:25		
73 3:12,13 58:2,6		
76 3:14		
8		
8 4:8 30:3,6 42:1,7,8 71:2		
8th 16:11 27:8 28:8		
8:26 9:24 10:17 23:15,18		
8:55 27:6,8		
8405 1:16		
85 59:16,18 70:18 154:13		
9 58:8,10		
92.525 49:12		
94 3:15		
954.567.1776 2:4		
954.567.1778 2:4		
96 3:16		